



## Acknowledgments

The writer is indebted to Dr. Donald Greenspan, University of Wisconsin, Madison, who provided much helpful advice regarding the use of finite difference methods. A large share of the cost of computing was borne by the Small Scale Waste Management Project, University of Wisconsin, Madison, directed by Dr. Johannes Bouma and funded by the State of Wisconsin and the Upper Great Lakes Regional Commission. Marion Mazzacco of the USDA-ARS Watershed Research unit, Columbia, Mo., has been most helpful in preparing the final version of the model.

In cooperation with

Wisconsin Agricultural Experiment Station

Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station

Applicants for all Department programs will be given equal consideration without regard to race, color, sex, age, creed, or national origin.

## Contents

Introduction - - - - -	1
Partial differential equation- - - - -	1
Finite difference model- - - - -	2
Finite difference equation- - - - -	2
Overrelaxation- - - - -	3
Iteration scheme - - - - -	4
Nonlinearity and convergence- - - - -	5
Digital computer model - - - - -	5
Model philosophy- - - - -	5
Solution mesh - - - - -	6
Boundary geometry - - - - -	6
Solution mesh and the Cartesian coordinate system - - - - -	6
Hydraulic boundary conditions - - - - -	7
Subdivision of flow cross section - - - - -	8
Soil units- - - - -	10
Computer program- - - - -	10
Model control and options- - - - -	10
Case termination- - - - -	10
Initial PHED-array- - - - -	11
Pressure head--hydraulic conductivity relation- - - - -	11
Overrelaxation factor - - - - -	12
Model output- - - - -	12
Sample problem- - - - -	13
Determination of acceptable convergence - - - - -	24
Changing mesh increment size- - - - -	25
Model dimensions- - - - -	26
Computer facility adjustments - - - - -	26
Literature cited - - - - -	27
Appendix A: STDY2--The generalized steady-state, two-dimensional porous media flow model- - - - -	27
Program listing - - - - -	27
Flow chart- - - - -	40
Glossary of input variables - - - - -	40
Glossary of noninput variables- - - - -	48
Appendix B: CARRY--To facilitate changing finite difference mesh spacing - - - - -	50
Glossary of input variables - - - - -	50
Program listing - - - - -	51
Glossary of noninput variables- - - - -	56
Sample problem- - - - -	57
Appendix C: COMPAR--For comparing two-dimensional data arrays -	60
Glossary of input variables - - - - -	60
Glossary of noninput variables- - - - -	60
Program listing - - - - -	62
Appendix D: List of non-Fortran symbols - - - - -	62
Appendix E: Program updating- - - - -	62

# Waterflow in Soils: A Generalized Steady-State, Two-Dimensional Porous Media Flow Model

C. R. Amerman<sup>1</sup>

## Introduction

Understanding water movement through soil and underlying unconsolidated material is basic to understanding important aspects of other such phenomena as the hydrologic cycle and the movement of waterborne substances through the landscape. Unfortunately, subsurface water movement under natural conditions cannot be directly observed. Methods of indirect observation are usually difficult, tedious, and expensive. Further, instrumentation for such methods may modify the system under observation. Although there is no real substitute for carefully made field observations to provide initial concepts and to check theoretical results, computational efforts can save large amounts of field labor and expense. Such methods also often provide clearer, broader concepts than would be available analyzing field data alone.

This report discusses a finite difference model of the hydraulic head distribution within two-dimensional regions of porous media subject to steady flow. Soil water content, water table position and shape, pathlines of flow, and flow velocities can be estimated from such a distribution.

The range of applicability and limitations of the model may be summarized as:

1. Flow system boundary geometries must be approximated with straight-line segments. Straight-line boundaries which do not parallel Cartesian coordinate axes can be modeled but require considerably more effort than those that do.

2. The Cartesian coordinate system may be rotated so that the major axis of the flow system is on a slope.

3. Boundary conditions must be in terms of pressure head or flux, or both; at least a portion of the boundary, however, must have a known pressure head.

4. A cross section may contain several soil units. The boundaries between units may be geometrically complex.

5. Soils within each unit of a modeled system are considered to be isotropic and homogeneous.

6. Hysteresis in the hydraulic conductivity pressure head relationship is ignored.

7. Spacing of nodes within the finite difference solution mesh may be irregular.

The usual assumptions regarding porous media flow apply to this model:

1. Inertial forces are not significant as compared with viscous forces.

2. Water is continuously connected throughout the system.

3. Flow is isothermal.

4. Air escapes freely from all parts of the flow system.

The flow equation modeled may be described as an elliptic partial differential equation with mixed boundary conditions. The finite difference method is used, and the resulting system of equations is solved by the successive overrelaxation (SOR) method. The model takes the form of a digital computer program written in USASI Fortran.

The following pages describe the model and its application in detail. A sample cross section is modeled; the sample input and results given may be used to check the operation of the model when implementing it for the first time. Appendixes document the program and describe two useful auxiliary programs.

## Partial Differential Equation

A number of textbooks discuss the theory of soil water movement. Childs (2)<sup>2</sup> gives a detailed but quite readable mathematical description, while resumes are given by Hillel (5) and by Bayer and associates (7). In general, porous media flow may be modeled

<sup>1</sup>Hydraulic Engineer, Watershed Research, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 207 Business Loop 70 East, Columbia, Mo. 65201

<sup>2</sup>Italic numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 27.



by a partial differential equation, called Richards' equation, and associated initial and boundary conditions. Solution of a steady-state version of Richards' equation in two dimensions requires only boundary conditions and is approximated by the model presented here.

Richards' equation is derived by combining equations of state and continuity with Darcy's law. For steady state, it may be written

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (K \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (K \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}) = 0 \quad [1]$$

in which

$H$  = hydraulic head =  $h + z$  for porous media flow (L)

$h$  = soil water pressure head (L)

$z$  = elevation above a datum (L)

$K = K(h)$  is hydraulic conductivity ( $LT^{-1}$ )

$x$  = distance parallel to the  $x$ -axis of the Cartesian coordinate system, positive to the right (L)

$y$  = distance parallel to the  $y$ -axis, positive upward (L)

To accommodate a sloping soil, rotating the Cartesian coordinate axes through an angle,  $\alpha$ , is convenient. The tangent of this angle should be equal to the slope of the prototype system. Because of the dependence of  $K$  upon  $h$ , the so-called  $h$ -based form of Richards' equation is used. Stated for general orientation, this form of Richards' equation is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (K \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (K \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}) + \sin \alpha \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} + \cos \alpha \frac{\partial K}{\partial y} = 0 \quad [2]$$

Equation [2] is a nonlinear, elliptic, partial differential equation.

Boundary conditions are essentially of two types: (1) pressure head ( $h$ ) specified and (2) hydraulic gradient ( $\partial h / \partial x$  or  $\partial h / \partial y$ ) specified. Given a zero value, the latter represents impermeable boundaries or the coincidence of streamlines of flow with the boundaries. Given non-zero values, a hydraulic gradient boundary condition represents a flux boundary. The model to be described contains an algorithm that allows the flux boundary condition to be stated in terms of the flux itself.

There is no closed form solution for equation [2]. Analytical methods can be applied to the equation for certain special situations but, for the general case, it must be solved by such approximate methods as finite differences.

## Finite Difference Model

### Finite difference equation

[2] applies throughout a region, finite differ-

encing provides another equation at each of a set of discrete points, superimposed on the cross section of that region. Associated boundary conditions are applied at discrete points along the boundaries. The set of discrete points, called nodes, is arranged in a grid, termed the solution mesh in this report, such as that in figure 1.

The sizes of the mesh increments,  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$ , influence the precision with which the finite difference model represents the partial differential system. The smaller the mesh increment size, the greater the precision. These concepts are covered in many textbooks; for example, see Smith (8). In many flow systems, precision requirements are not uniform over the cross section and, for economic reasons,  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  are often varied. They may be varied independently.

The finite difference equation approximating equation [2] was developed using the central difference method. By this method, the pressure head at each node is a function of the heads at its four nearest neighboring nodes. For example, in figure 1

$$h_P = f(h_A, h_B, h_C, h_D)$$

To simplify and organize notation,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  gives column identification to all the nodes of each row, while  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  gives row identification to all nodes in each column. Thus node P is node  $7, 5$ . If  $h$  at point P is identified as  $h_{i,j}$ , then  $h$  at point A is  $h_{i-1,j}$  and  $h$  at point B is  $h_{i,j-1}$ . Note that the directions in which  $i$  and  $j$  increase are independent of those in which  $x$  and  $y$  increase. Because of Fortran limitations,  $i$  and  $j$  can take on only positive, non-zero values. The  $x$ -axis most conveniently coincides with the soil surface and, in this notational scheme,  $j$  increases downward even




Figure 1.--Square mesh for finite differencing superimposed on a two-dimensional flow field.

though  $y$  is considered to be positive upward. Using this notation and conditions at the

four neighboring nodes, a finite difference representation is expressed in equation [3].

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+} \left[ \frac{K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} h_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x_-} - \frac{(\Delta x_+ K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} + \Delta x_- K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}) h_{i,j}}{\Delta x_- \cdot \Delta x_+} + \frac{K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j} h_{i+1,j}}{\Delta x_+} \right] \\ & + \frac{2}{\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+} \left[ \frac{K_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} h_{i,j-1}}{\Delta y_-} - \frac{(\Delta y_+ K_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta y_- K_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}) h_{i,j}}{\Delta y_- \cdot \Delta y_+} + \frac{K_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}} h_{i,j+1}}{\Delta y_+} \right] \\ & + \sin \alpha \frac{K_{i-1,j} - K_{i+1,j}}{\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+} + \cos \alpha \frac{K_{i,j-1} - K_{i,j+1}}{\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad [3]$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} &= \frac{K_{i-1,j} + K_{i,j}}{2}, & K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j} &= \frac{K_{i,j} + K_{i+1,j}}{2} \\ K_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{K_{i,j-1} + K_{i,j}}{2}, & K_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{K_{i,j} + K_{i,j+1}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$\Delta x_-$  = mesh increment length to left of node  $i,j$  (L)

$\Delta x_+$  = mesh increment length to right of node  $i,j$  (L)

$\Delta y_-$  = mesh increment length above node  $i,j$  (L)

$\Delta y_+$  = mesh increment length below node  $i,j$  (L)

Because of the appearance of  $K = K(h)$  in equation [2], equation [3] was developed by inspection--simply transforming partial derivatives into ratios of differences. However, Forsythe and Wasow (3) on pages 187 and 188 give formal derivations of linear partial derivatives that support the validity of equation [3] for the nonlinear case. They also note that, if  $\Delta x$ , for example, varies over the cross section, one may expect an error of  $O(\Delta x)$ . For a square mesh in which  $\Delta y = \Delta x$ , the error is  $O[(\Delta x)^2]$ .

"Imaginary" rows and columns outside the cross section provide the fourth node for calculations involving a node on gradient-type boundaries. To illustrate, if the right side of the cross section in figure 1 is impermeable, then  $\partial H / \partial x = 0$  and  $H$  at each node to the right of the boundary is set exactly equal to the  $H$ -value for the node horizontally to its left immediately inside the boundary, for example,  $H_E = H_D$ . Because  $H = h + z$ , to convert  $H$  to  $h$  at the imaginary node is a simple operation regardless of orientation of the system.

For a given geometry and set of boundary conditions, the flow regime may be defined, with some error or lack of precision as noted earlier, by the distribution of pressure head ( $h$ ) that satisfies equation [3] at all nodes of the solution mesh. To find this distribution, a starting array of  $h$ -values, which may be completely arbitrary, is used. Equation [3] is then solved for

each node of the solution mesh except those on  $h$ -specified boundaries and except for the imaginary nodes outside the gradient (flux) boundaries. If subsectioning, discussed later, is carried out properly, solution starts with the left-most node on the top row and proceeds to the right along that row. Lower rows are processed in succession, also from left to right. A complete cycle of solving equation [3] once for all nodes constitutes an iteration, the  $h$ -array at the end of an iteration being in some way a closer approximation to the solution array than that at the beginning. Many such iterations are usually necessary before convergence to the final distribution of  $h$ -values.

### Overrelaxation

Experience has shown that an overrelaxation factor ( $\omega$ ) may speed convergence of a finite difference model of the type of equation [3]. If  $h = f(g)$ , then

$$\omega h + h = \omega f(g) + h$$

$$\text{or} \quad h = h(1-\omega) + \omega f(g)$$

where  $\omega$  has a value between 1.0 and 2.0. When full convergence is reached, of course,  $h$  on the left side,  $h$  on the right side, and  $f(g)$  are all equal. Before reaching convergence,  $h$  on the right side has the value calculated during the preceding iteration, whereas  $h$  on the left is the new estimate to be calculated during the current iteration.

Solving equation [3] for  $h_{i,j}$  and introducing the overrelaxation factor give the equation that is solved for each node during operation of the model.

$$h_{i,j} = (1-\omega) h_{i,j} + \omega$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{2}{\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+} \left( \frac{K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}}{\Delta x_-} h_{i-1,j} + \frac{K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}}{\Delta x_+} h_{i+1,j} \right) \right. \\ & + \frac{2}{\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+} \left( \frac{K_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y_-} h_{i,j-1} + \frac{K_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y_+} h_{i,j+1} \right) \\ & + \sin \alpha \frac{K_{i-1,j} - K_{i+1,j}}{\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+} + \cos \alpha \frac{K_{i,j-1} - K_{i,j+1}}{\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+} \Big] \\ & \div \left[ \frac{2}{\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+} \left( \frac{\Delta x_+ \cdot K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} + \Delta x_- \cdot K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}}{\Delta x_- \cdot \Delta x_+} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2}{\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+} \left( \frac{\Delta y_+ \cdot K_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta y_- \cdot K_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta y_- \cdot \Delta y_+} \right) \right] \quad [4] \end{aligned}$$

Equation [4] is a successive overrelaxation (SOR) model of equation [2] and, with associated boundary conditions, approximates two-dimensional, steady-state, saturated, unsaturated, or partially saturated porous media flow using a finite difference mesh in which the mesh increment size may vary from

one part of the flow region to another and in which the major axes of the mesh may be rotated to conform to the slope of the prototype. Greenspan (4) discusses SOR models as does Smith (8) and Forsythe and Wasow (3).

### Iteration scheme

The SOR model converges toward the actual  $h$ -distribution most rapidly if the new  $h$ -value at any node replaces the old value in the  $h$ -array as soon as it is calculated. Thus, when  $h_{i,j}$  is being calculated,  $h_{i-1,j}$  and  $h_{i,j-1}$  are new values calculated during the current iteration, whereas  $h_{i+1,j}$  and  $h_{i,j+1}$  are old values from the preceding iteration. New  $K$ -values would seem appropriate for use with new  $h$ -values, but experience has shown that this practice is less efficient than the use of old  $K$ -values. The latter practice results in a larger maximum overrelaxation factor ( $\omega_{max}$ ), hence more rapid convergence, than is possible with new  $K$ -values. The concept of  $\omega_{max}$  will be discussed in greater detail later.

Equation [4] may be modified to show the iteration scheme used. Also introduced at this point is simplified notation used in the computer program for the model.

$$h_{i,j}^m = (1-\omega) h_{i,j}^{m-1} + \omega \left[ \frac{EX(AX^{m-1} h_{i-1,j}^{m-1} + CX^{m-1} h_{i+1,j}^{m-1}) + EY(AY^{m-1} h_{i,j-1}^{m-1} + CY^{m-1} h_{i,j+1}^{m-1}) + DELTA^{m-1}}{EX \cdot XB^{m-1} + EY \cdot YB^{m-1}} \right] \quad [5]$$

where  $m$  refers to values obtained during the current iteration.

$m-1$  refers to values obtained in the preceding iteration.

$$AX = \frac{K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}^{m-1}}{\Delta x_-}$$

$$CX = \frac{K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{m-1}}{\Delta x_+}$$

$$AY = \frac{K_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{m-1}}{\Delta y_-}$$

$$CY = \frac{K_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{m-1}}{\Delta y_+}$$

$$XB = \frac{\Delta x_+ \cdot K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}^{m-1} + \Delta x_- \cdot K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}^{m-1}}{\Delta x_- \cdot \Delta x_+}$$

$$YB = \frac{\Delta y_+ \cdot K_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}^{m-1} + \Delta y_- \cdot K_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}^{m-1}}{\Delta y_- \cdot \Delta y_+}$$

$$DELTA = \sin \alpha \frac{K_{i-1,j}^{m-1} - K_{i+1,j}^{m-1}}{\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+} + \cos \alpha \frac{K_{i,j-1}^{m-1} - K_{i,j+1}^{m-1}}{\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+}$$

$$EX = \frac{2}{\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+}$$

$$EY = \frac{2}{\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+}$$

## Nonlinearity and convergence

As noted earlier, the Richards' equation, hence its finite difference approximation, is nonlinear. Because finite difference theory has been developed almost exclusively in the linear context, there are no firm guidelines on the application or operation of nonlinear models.<sup>3</sup>

The lack of a body of theory covering nonlinear finite differencing is felt most keenly when considering questions of convergence and the rate of convergence. A model converges if it converts an initial guess regarding the distribution of the dependent variable to an approximation to the true distribution. Fortunately, experience indicates that many finite difference schemes developed for linear systems also converge for nonlinear systems even though there is no theoretical proof that they should. However, sometimes certain modifications are necessary.

The rate of convergence is a concept of some importance to the economical use of finite difference models. The overrelaxation factor ( $\omega$ ) was introduced to speed convergence. Forsythe and Wasow (3) show on page 257 that, for linear systems, as  $\omega$  increases in value between 1.0 and 2.0, convergence rate increases until some maximum rate is reached. Further increases in  $\omega$  result in decreasing convergence rate until at  $\omega = 2.0$  there is essentially no improvement over  $\omega = 1.0$ . For linear systems, overestimating the optimum  $\omega$ -value ( $\omega_{opt}$ ) is usually better than underestimating it.

Experience with nonlinear models of unsaturated porous media flow systems indicates that  $\omega_{opt}$  cannot be estimated using the procedures that apply to a geometrically similar linear system. Further, Reisenauer and others (6) found that  $\omega > 1.15$  led to instability of their model, that is, the solution did not converge for larger  $\omega$ . The author's experience also indicates that, for nonlinear systems, the concept of  $\omega_{opt}$  should be modified to one of  $\omega_{max}$ , or the maximum  $\omega$ -value with which convergence can be obtained. Apparently, increasing  $\omega$  toward  $\omega_{max}$  increases convergence rate. The value of  $\omega_{max}$  differs between cases, that is, between different combinations of boundary geometry, boundary hydraulic conditions,  $\Delta x, \Delta y$  magnitudes and  $h-K$  relationships, and may vary between the first and last iterations for a given case. For the several cases investigated thus far, its value has been less than 2.0. In certain cases of complex geometry,  $\omega_{max}$  has had a value smaller than 1.0.

<sup>3</sup> Greenspan, D., 1973, personal communication.

The only method for approximating  $\omega_{max}$  seems to be trial and error. This reduces the economic advantage of finding  $\omega_{max}$ , so that exhaustive search for its value would probably be more expensive than simply running the model with some less exact value. Because different cases involve different convergence rates and different amounts of computational time per iteration, each user must develop from his own experience a feel for the amount of trial and error to be expended in approximating  $\omega_{max}$ . He should keep in mind that  $\omega$  simply influences convergence rate; it does not affect the accuracy of the approximation to the true  $h$ -distribution unless  $\omega_{max}$  is exceeded.

For fully saturated flow in which  $K$  is independent of  $h$ , equations [1] and [2] become linear. For such systems, the model described here also becomes linear, and the methods for approximating  $\omega_{opt}$ , given in the references previously cited, may contribute to considerable savings in the number of iterations necessary for convergence.

## Digital Computer Model

### Model philosophy

The only feasible way to apply equation [4] iteratively to a small mesh of few nodes is by digital computer. The objective of the effort reported here was to develop a computer program for the application of equation [4] using the iteration scheme portrayed in equation [5] to the solution of porous media flow problems under a variety of geometrical and hydraulic boundary conditions. Hopefully, users with little experience in computer programming and finite differencing can use the model. USASI Fortran was used to reduce problems when using the model on different computer facilities.

To model soil-water movement in all its complexity and to provide for all the possible contingencies encountered in hydrologic systems require a complex program difficult to understand, describe, or modify. Fortunately, considerable insight into porous media flow questions can often be gained without strict attention to emulating all details of the prototype flow system.

Some details cannot be measured with great enough precision nor at enough points in a given system to warrant trying to model them with great accuracy. For example, hysteresis effects in the hydraulic conductivity-pressure head relationships may exert less influence on the system than the errors inherent in establishing the relation-

ships themselves, particularly if they are to apply to flow regions of large extent and exhibiting spatial variation.

Again, for larger systems, closely defining the exact positions and shapes of all boundaries in the prototype is not usually necessary or possible. In many cases, satisfactory results can be attained using only rough boundary approximations.

The computer program, called STDY2, is documented in appendix A. The following sections discuss concepts that are helpful or necessary to the use of the model.

### Solution mesh

The solution mesh is represented in a digital computer by an array of storage locations identified with the variable PHED(I,J). The latter is the Fortran representation of the variable  $h_{i,j}$  (pressure head at node i,j) in equation [4]. Each storage location corresponds to a node in the solution mesh. The effect of solving equation [4] for a given node for a given iteration is to replace the value of PHED(I,J) calculated during the previous iteration with a new, improved value.

Mesh increment size is not physically reflected in the PHED storage array but is controlled through the use of four Fortran variables representing  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta x_+$ ,  $\Delta y$ , and  $\Delta y_+$ .

Through use of the Fortran EQUIVALENCE statement, the HEAD(I,J)-array corresponding to hydraulic head ( $h_{i,j}$ ) replaces PHED(I,J) at certain stages of program execution, thus avoiding the need for an additional storage array.

A second two-dimensional storage array, with a location for each node of the solution mesh, is occupied by HCON(I,J). The latter represents  $K_{i,j}$ , the hydraulic conductivity.

A fundamental concept necessary to understanding model control is that the model proceeds from an initially guessed array of PHED(I,J)-values by means of a series of iterations to a solution array of PHED(I,J). One may view the PHED-array at the end of any iteration as the initial guess for all the iterations to follow. Therefore, a computer run can be interrupted and restarted without loss of significant computer time if the PHED-array at the time of interruption can be returned as the initial guess when restarting.

### Boundary geometry

Two characteristics define boundaries, their geometric shape and their hydraulic condition or status. To avoid excessive

complexity and programming, the model was designed with the restriction that boundaries must cross rows and columns of the finite difference mesh at the nodes. Because the mesh is rectangular, boundary shapes must be composed of straight-line segments. Usually, these segments will coincide with portions of rows or columns, but placing them at an angle is possible by adjusting the relative size of horizontal and vertical mesh increments in the region crossed by this boundary. In a square mesh, for example, a boundary at a  $45^\circ$  angle will cross rows and columns only at their intersection nodes, as desired. Curved boundaries may be approximated in staircase fashion.

### Solution mesh and the cartesian coordinate system

Various data involving geometric information must be given as punchcard input for control of the model in a computer. The user will understand how to determine numerical values for these data if he thinks of the cross section of interest as being placed in the Cartesian coordinate system and the solution mesh superimposed thereon.

In a computer, control of the model is accomplished using the variables I and J, so the solution mesh must be placed on the model cross section in such a way that I and J may be calculated from  $x$ - and  $y$ -measurements. This means that a column of nodes which is fixed in space and whose I-value is known, regardless of  $\Delta x$ , must be identified and related spatially to the  $y$ -axis of the Cartesian coordinate system. The same may be said for a row of nodes in the context of the  $x$ -axis. Rows and columns coincident with boundaries of the cross section are fixed spatially, and the top and left-hand boundaries, if straight lines, may be made to coincide with the  $x$ - and  $y$ -axes of the Cartesian coordinate system. Even if a boundary is complex, one or more of its straight-line segments may be made to coincide with an axis, as in figure 1.

Because I and J can take on only non-zero, positive values, and recalling that J is positive downward, a cross section must be contained entirely within quadrant IV of the Cartesian coordinate system. Thus, proper model control requires that the uppermost straight-line segment of the upper boundary of the cross section be made to coincide with the  $x$ -axis and the leftmost straight-line segment of the left boundary be made to coincide with the  $y$ -axis. The type of boundary condition to be applied is of no consequence in these considerations. The solution mesh will be adjusted by a computational algorithm in the model without



loss of correspondence between  $x, y$  and  $I, J$  if the top row of nodes or the left-hand column of nodes, or both, must be imaginary.

Equation [1] was formulated for  $y$  positive upward, and this should be kept in mind for such purposes as assigning positive or negative sign to a surface boundary flux. But, to require that  $y$ -measurements for geometrical control be given a negative sign may lead to frequent errors of omission. Therefore, the model is programmed to accept positive  $y$ -measurements even though they are made downward from the  $x$ -axis.

### Hydraulic boundary conditions

The model simulates hydraulic boundary conditions of the following types:

1. Hydraulic head on any boundary (may vary hydrostatically along vertical boundaries).

2. Steady flux across soil surface boundary only (infiltration or evapotranspiration rates).

3. Impermeable condition on any boundary (may also be the vertical streamline boundary between two halves of a symmetrical flow region).

Type 1 is the so-called Dirichlet boundary condition. Types 2 and 3 are each implemented in terms of the hydraulic gradient perpendicular to the boundary, called the Neumann boundary condition. Many porous media flow regions have boundaries that are combinations of the Dirichlet and Neumann types and thus belong to the general classification of mixed problems (in the context of elliptic partial differential equations).

A unique solution is assured for Dirichlet and mixed problems but not for the Neumann. Greenspan (4) and Remson and others (7) note that nonuniqueness of a Neumann problem is limited to an unknown additive constant. Thus,  $h' = h + c$  would be calculated with  $c$  unknown so that the  $h$ - $K$  relationship could not be used. Therefore, at least part of the boundary of any porous media flow model must have a known pressure head.

Neumann-type boundaries also have the disadvantage that their implementation in finite differences can only be done by approximation. The resultant errors add to the errors inherent in the finite differencing technique. In general, the greater the proportion of Neumann-type boundaries, the greater the model error. This can be partially overcome by using smaller mesh increments near such boundaries.<sup>4</sup>

Later discussion will be clearer if the user understands that the  $h$ -values at all nodes except those on imaginary rows and columns and nodes on  $h$ -specified boundaries are calculated by means of the same version of equation [4]. To implement Neumann-type boundary conditions, the proper  $h$ -values at imaginary nodes are calculated and assigned before solving for  $h$  at the boundary node.

The equation by which a flux boundary condition is applied at the soil surface is derived from Darcy's law as

$$h_{i,j-1} = h_{i,j+1} - \Delta z \left( 1 + \frac{v}{K\alpha} \right) \quad [6]$$

where  $v$  = flux ( $LT^{-1}$ )

$\Delta z$  = vertical separation between nodes  $i, j-1$  and  $i, j+1$

$= \cos \alpha (\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+)$

$K\alpha$  = average hydraulic conductivity

$$= \frac{K_{i,j-1} + K_{i,j} + K_{i,j+1}}{3}$$

When  $v = 0$ , equation [6] reduces to the equation for calculating  $h$  for an impervious surface.

Dirichlet-type boundary conditions are implemented by assigning  $h$ -values at appropriate nodes and making certain that equation [4] is not processed for those nodes. The procedures for this are outlined in the next section.

In cases where a water table (zero isobar) intersects such a pervious boundary exposed to the atmosphere as the bank of a stream or ditch, a surface of seepage develops. The boundary above the surface of seepage, being a boundary to an unsaturated zone, is usually considered impermeable for modeling purposes. Water leaving the flow system across the surface of seepage is assumed to run down that surface as a thin film. The latter is usually considered, then, to form a saturated boundary with a pressure head of 0 cm of water.

The position of a water table is usually not known before modeling and, thus, the limits of the surface of seepage are not known. Considerable checking and cross checking would be necessary to determine these limits by means of the model, and part of these checks would have to be made for all boundaries under all conditions. The model was not, therefore, designed to determine automatically the position of a surface of seepage and, thus, will not determine automatically the correct shape of a water table which intersects a pervious surface exposed to the atmosphere.

Where a surface of seepage is expected, the following procedure will approximate its

<sup>4</sup>See footnote 3, page 5.

correct limits and, thus, the correct shape of the water table:

1. Assume the position of the zero isobar intercept on the boundary and assign an impervious condition to the boundary nodes above and a zero pressure head condition to the boundary nodes below.

2. Run the model to obtain the solution for the given boundary conditions.

3. When the solution shows positive pressure heads at boundary nodes above the assumed intercept, move the intercept higher and run again with boundary conditions revised accordingly.

4. When the solution shows no positive pressure heads above the assumed intercept, it may have been placed too high. This possibility should be checked by lowering the intercept, revising boundary conditions, and running again.

5. The best location of the intercept is the lowest node for which the solution does not show positive pressure heads on the boundary above the intercept.

### Subdivision of flow cross section

The key to making this model flexible regarding boundary geometry and boundary conditions is the concept of subdivision of the cross section. The parameters defining subsections are used to describe the geometry and boundary conditions of the cross section to be modeled. Specifically, they

1. Direct the flow of the program so that only appropriate nodes are processed by equation [4], that is, nodes outside the boundaries or on  $h$ -specified boundaries are not processed.

2. Control the calculation of  $h$  for nodes on imaginary columns and rows before applying equation [4] to the neighboring Neumann-type boundary nodes.

3. Cause the program to apply known or calculated pressure heads at nodes on  $h$ -specified boundaries.

There are two sets of subsections, one for rows and one for columns. The description of one suffices to describe the other. A given row subsection, for example, contains a group of rows which are identical from the program processing standpoint. That is, processing starts on the same column and ends on the same column. Beginning and ending boundary conditions are the same. Other such considerations as variable  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  and soil unit geometry do not affect the selection of subsections.

Consider, for example, figure 2 that portrays a half cross section of a typical septic tank disposal line. (A similar cross section will be modeled in the sample problem given later.)

Boundary AB represents the soil surface




Figure 2.--Half section of septic tank disposal field indicating finite difference mesh overlay.

and may have applied to it any of the three types of boundary conditions mentioned.

Boundary  $\overline{BC}$  may be a line of symmetry, hence a stream line, if the disposal field has several lines. When there is only one tile line,  $\overline{BC}$  may be arbitrarily positioned or positioned by trial and error at such a distance that further outward movement affects the solution little in the region of the tile line--in effect an infinite boundary. In either case, the hydraulic gradient normal to the boundary would be given a value of zero (0).

Boundary  $\overline{CD}$  may represent a water table by applying to it a zero pressure head. Boundaries  $\overline{DE}$  and  $\overline{TA}$  are boundaries of symmetry and, therefore, have zero normal hydraulic gradients. Boundary  $\overline{EFG}$  represents a crusted infiltration zone, point G being at the approximate level of the fluid in the gravel-packed trench. The crust dissipates a large fraction of the head in the trench so that negative pressures are maintained on the soil side of the crust. In the absence of infiltration across the soil surface and the development of a saturated zone in proximity to it, boundary  $\overline{GH}$  acts essentially as an impermeable boundary, so a 0 gradient may be applied to it.

Pressure heads at nodes on  $h$ -specified boundaries  $\overline{CD}$  and  $\overline{EFG}$  are held constant; hence, these nodes must be eliminated from processing by equation [4]. Pressure heads at imaginary nodes are calculated by special equations, and those at nodes inside the notch (parts of rows 7 and 8) are not part of the solution mesh. All these nodes must also be eliminated from processing by equation [4]. They are eliminated by failing to include them in subsections.

The first subsection consists of rows 2, 3, 4, and 5. Processing of these rows starts on column 2 and ends on column N. For each row, the beginning boundary condition is  $\partial h / \partial x = 0$  and the ending boundary condition is  $\partial h / \partial x = 0$ . Although row 2 and part of row 5 are themselves boundaries of the Neumann type, equation [4] is applied at each node on them just as it is at each node of rows 3 and 4 and the nonboundary part of row 5. This group of rows, then, forms a subsection for which the following parameters may be given as input to the model:

1. First row number
2. Last row number
3. Column on which row begins
4. Column on which row ends
5. Boundary condition at beginning of row
6. Boundary condition at end of row

Note that the first four items specify the first and last nodes in each mesh direction at which equation [4] is solved. Although row and column numbers have been mentioned for illustrative purposes, actual input data, as discussed in appendix A, are in terms of measured distances.

The beginning and ending boundary conditions on row 6 are the same as on the preceding rows, but processing begins on column 6 instead of column 2. So, row 6 must start a new subsection.

The beginning boundary condition on row 7 is a specified pressure head. This is different from the boundary condition on row 6 and also causes processing to begin on a different column. Either of these circumstances makes placing rows 6 and 7 in different subsections necessary. So, row 6 forms a subsection by itself. Row 8 has the

same characteristics as row 7. The first few nodes of row 9 are part of a boundary, as in the case of row 5. But, in this case, the boundary portion is of the  $h$ -specified type and so equation [4] must not be applied to them. However, the portion of row 9 that is to be processed has the same characteristics as rows 7 and 8, so these three form the third subsection.

Rows 10 through M-1 have common characteristics and form a fourth subsection. Row M, being a pressure head-type boundary, must not be processed, so it is not part of any subsection.

Row subsectioning is illustrated in figure 3a. Column subsectioning proceeds using the same criteria as row subsectioning; an example case is illustrated in figure 3b.

As stated earlier, under the finite difference scheme used in this model, processing should be from left to right along rows and from the top to the bottom rows in succession. This is what happens, with no further user control, within a subsection. But the order in which sets of subsection parameters are given in the punchcard data deck specifies the order in which the several regions of the cross section are processed. Therefore, the user must be careful in arranging the order of these sets.

The significant concern here is that for any iteration no node should be processed before the node above it is processed. For example, if figure 2 is rotated 90° clockwise, so that the notch is vertically oriented, the column subsections of figure 3b will become row subsections. The long subsection IV would underlie subsections II and III so that both of these must be processed before subsection IV.




Figure 3a.--Row subsectioning.




Figure 3b.--Column subsectioning.



Column subsection data are used only in setting boundary conditions, and the order of subsectioning is arbitrary.

The model is provided with the facility for setting hydrostatic boundary conditions along vertical boundaries. The boundary condition algorithms are flagged in the program listing in appendix A. If a user wishes to distribute pressure head in some other way, he may remove the hydrostatic algorithms and substitute others. As an alternative, he may define subsections whose boundaries coincide with changes in  $h$ , but this may become quite tedious if  $h$  varies continuously so that each row (or column) must form a separate subsection.

A boundary not parallel to one of the Cartesian coordinate axes involves a number of rows and columns of differing length. Each row and column then would form a separate subsection.

### Soil units

A cross section may be composed of several different soil units. The boundaries of these units may have complex geometry and are given in punchcard input as straight-line segments. Unlike the cross section boundaries, soil unit boundaries need not intersect rows and columns at node points. However, the program will convert the straight-line input data so the unit boundaries are represented in stairstep fashion during processing. Each soil unit is considered homogeneous, and a single  $h-k$  table or equation must be included in the punchcard input for each.

### Computer program

The program called STDY2 will be discussed in later sections as a source deck of punched cards. The program listing, a flow chart, and a glossary of variables are given in appendix A. Not shown are the job control cards which must precede and follow the program. These vary among computer facilities, and pertinent details may be obtained from consultants at the particular facility being used.

As noted earlier, USASI Fortran was used; but certain features of the program will require modification according to the computer facility being used. Again, facility consultants will be able to advise on the exact nature of the modifications needed. Program statements most likely to need modification are identified in the listing given in appendix A and discussed in a later section.

## Model Control and Options

### Case termination

A model run consists of execution of the program listed in appendix A together with input data and required job control cards. A given run may process, in sequence, a number of different cases or problems. A given case or problem is defined by a unique combination of geometry, boundary conditions, and soil properties.

Telling in advance how much computer time will be required to reach convergence for any given case is not possible. Yet, on one of the job control cards, one must usually specify a period of time which, when elapsed will cause the run to be automatically terminated. Progress made on the case thus far would be lost if one underestimated the time required.

The input Fortran variable ESTIME is used to prevent loss of the PHED-array, provided the user wants it saved, when the elapsed computer time is close to exceeding the limit estimated for the case. KARPCH, discussed later, is used to effect saving the PHED-array in punched cards or on magnetic tape in the event that ESTIME is exceeded. These data may then be used to restart the case in another run. The time limit on the job card should exceed ESTIME by a small amount to allow for job compilation, for recording the PHED-array, and for the time interval between ESTIME checks.

The time interval between ESTIME checks depends upon the value of INTPRT, a variable equal to the number of iterations to be processed between each time check. Experience with the model at a particular computer facility will give a user a basis on which to estimate ESTIME and job card time.

In a multicase run, an ESTIME value must be given for each case, so the job card time must exceed the sum of the ESTIME values. If one case exceeds its ESTIME value, the run will continue with the next case after recording the PHED-array, if desired, of the case stopped.

The input variable ITMAX is the primary control variable for case termination. When starting a new case, it is given the value of the number of iterations to be processed for that case during the first run. When restarting a case, ITMAX should be equal to the number of iterations to be processed in the new run plus the iteration number corresponding to the restart PHED-array. One cannot predict in advance how many iterations will be needed for convergence, so ITMAX is a guess. The user may not want to set ITMAX to reach complete convergence, because he may wish to change the overrelaxation constant occasionally.

ITMAX is checked at the same frequency as

When ITMAX is exceeded, processing case stops. The final PHED-array may be obtained in punched cards or on magnetic tape if desired. Again KARPCH effects this

ESTIME is a backup to ITMAX and tops the case if the user has under-estimated the amount of computer time necessary to process a number of iterations to ITMAX.

ITMAX also controls the segmentation of a when a predetermined set of changes in error relaxation factor is desired. Its use in this regard will be discussed

### Initial PHED-array

In considering a particular case for the first time, one usually has only a rough estimate of how  $h$  is distributed over the cross section. Computational savings might be obtained by offsetting the cost of keypunching a approximate initial PHED-array. The program has two alternative routines for initializing the PHED-array in the absence of data. In one routine, PHED is the same value at every node except on  $h$ -specified boundaries. This value is entered by the user as the input variable

This routine is used for a case if the user gives the input variable INISIG the value 0. Any other value causes the alternative routine (below) to be used.

The other routine assigns PHED-values to be distributed smoothly in a direction parallel to the  $y$ -axis of the Cartesian coordinate system. It uses the input variable KAREAD which is defined in appendix A. This is a starting estimate of the PHED-distribution that may have some advantages. The flow system is essentially one of gravity toward the water table.

The more closely the initial PHED-array approximates the converged (solution) array, the fewer the iterations needed for convergence.

If, somehow, one has an initial PHED-array from which to start, it may be used as part of the input data via either punched cards or magnetic tape. This, in effect, occurs when restarting following a case that has not reached convergence. In the PHED-array is to be initialized with data on cards or tape, the input variable KAREAD must be given the value 1. Any other value will cause one of the two options discussed to be used.

If an input PHED-array is in punch cards, then the input variable IFILE must be given the value 0. Data on a magnetic tape must be arranged in "files," one PHED-array to a file.

For each restarting case of a new run, IFILE must be assigned a number representing the position on the tape of the

restart file to be read. Determination of this position is dependent upon whether preceding files on the same tape have been used by an earlier case of the same run. IFILE is defined in detail, and its use is illustrated in appendix A.

### Pressure head— hydraulic conductivity relation

The program includes a table look-up routine as one means of determining hydraulic conductivity ( $K$ ) as a function of pressure head ( $h$ ). Linear interpolation is used between tabulated values. Alternatively, the user may insert into the program his own routine for solving an equation of the type

$$K = K(h)$$

The position for this insertion is noted in the program listing, appendix A. READ statements for parameter input may be inserted at the same place or among the other READ statements at the beginning of the program.

The program is set up for the insertion of only one equation. When a user wishes to use equations for several soil units, he must add the logic necessary to change equation parameters or the equation form from unit to unit.

Soil property data are given in a multiple card group of leader cards followed by one or more subgroups. Each subgroup contains the  $h$ - $K$  data and coordinate data from which the geometry of the soil unit lower boundary may be specified. The first leader card specifies the number of soil units and contains a signal variable. The second leader card gives the number of  $h$ - $K$  entries, NUMLIN(NS), in each table and the number of breakpoints in the lower boundary description, NUMBRK(NS), where NS = 1, 2, . . . , 5 is an index for identifying soil units. Appendix A gives a more detailed definition of these terms. When the  $h$ - $K$  relation for a soil unit is given in equation form, then NUMLIN(NS) is given the value 999.

The signal variable KHPRNT on the first leader card is given a value other than 0 when the user wants to obtain a printout of the hydraulic conductivity assigned each node before setting boundary conditions at the beginning of a run. This feature may be used to check for correct positioning of soil units in the solution mesh. Note that, because the  $K$ -array is printed before boundary condition setting,  $K$ -values at imaginary nodes do not necessarily correspond to the  $h$ -values at those nodes.

Use of KHPRNT  $\neq$  0 during restart results in a meaningless  $K$ -array. It produces a

useful array only when the processing of a case is being initialized or if MCHNGE  $\neq$  0.

If, during computation,  $h$  becomes smaller than the smallest  $h$  in the table, the case will be terminated after printing information helpful in locating the problem node. For some cases, however, the first few iterations produce overshoot with subsequent iterations converging smoothly toward a solution. Termination of such a case may be avoided by adding to the table an  $h$ - $K$  pair for which  $h$  is smaller than the overshoot values. The value of NUMLIN(NS) must then be increased by 1. Of course, if the converged solution contains  $h$ -values outside the valid range of the table, it is considered a faulty solution.

Because  $h$ - $K$  tables usually occupy a number of cards in the input and because more than one case may involve the same soil, the option of avoiding reading in a new table every time the processing of a different case begins is convenient. The input variable KTABLE, when given the value 1, causes a case to use the  $h$ - $K$  table already in storage and used during the processing of the previous case. Any other value of KTABLE causes the case to read and store a new table. The first case of a run must, of course, have KTABLE = 0 or some value other than 1.

### Overrelaxation factor

As mentioned earlier, the optimum (maximum) value of the overrelaxation factor ( $\omega_{max}$ ) can be determined for this nonlinear model only by trial and error. This could be accomplished by running a series of separate cases, each with a small number of iterations and each with a different value of the overrelaxation factor ( $\omega$ ).

Processing one case as a series of segments of a few iterations each (say 20 to 50) where each segment has a different  $\omega$ -value is more economical than processing a series of individual runs. The PHED-array at the end of one segment serves as the initial PHED-array at the beginning of the next. Thus, when one has determined the value of  $\omega_{max}$ , considerable convergence has been achieved.

Segmentation is accomplished by giving the input variable NOMEGA a value other than 0 and by adding segmentation cards to the input deck, as outlined in appendix A. NOMEGA must have the value 0 for a normal, unsegmented run.

The input variable ITMAX, the main function of which was discussed previously, is used to terminate the processing of each segment and to terminate the segmented case itself. Values for segments other than the first are given in the same series of segmentation cards as are subsequent OMEGA-

values. ITMAX for each segment after the first must be equal to ITMAX for the preceding segment plus the number of iterations to be performed in the segment in question. When segments of 30 iterations each are processed, for example, then ITMAX = 30, 60, 90, . . . for segments 1, 2, 3, . . . .

To terminate a segmented case, an extra segmentation card must follow that for the last segment and must contain ITMAX = 0. The corresponding OMEGA may be blank or have any value. As in unsegmented cases, ESTIME is given only once and will terminate the segmented case (with the option of restart data in cards or tape) if its value is an underestimation of the time needed to process the total number of iterations wanted for the case.

As noted earlier, in this nonlinear model instability may develop when  $\omega > \omega_{max}$ . The  $h$ -arrays at the end of segments in which  $\omega$  was too large will not be useful if the fluctuations covered too great a range. To preserve any progress made toward convergence, the model stores the PHED-array at the end of each segment on punched cards or on magnetic tape, provided KARPCH = 1, as discussed later. The most advanced PHED-array free of excessive fluctuation can then be used to restart the case in a later run.

### Model output

Model output consists of printed material and data in punched cards or on magnetic tape. Examples of printed output will be given with the sample problem discussed later. The main objective of running STDY2 is to obtain distributions of pressure head ( $h$ ) and hydraulic head ( $H$ ). From these two distributions, one can deduce almost anything he needs regarding water content and hydraulic status of the modeled system.

The  $h$ - and  $H$ -arrays may be quite large, and the model is provided with options to control their printing. When the input variable IPSIG is given the value 1, the initial PHED-array will be printed. Any other value will suppress printing. When the input variable ILSIG is given the value 1, the final PHED-array will be printed. Any other value will suppress printing. When a case terminates because ESTIME is exceeded, the final PHED-array will not be printed. When ILSIG = 1, PHED-arrays will be printed after processing each segment of a segmented case.

Imaginary rows and columns are printed in the PHED- and HEAD-arrays. Nodes on imaginary columns do not reflect the hydraulic condition of the neighboring boundary columns. Nodes on imaginary rows have values that are dependent on Neumann-type boundary conditions along the neighboring boundary

rows. For example, if a top boundary row is impermeable, HEAD-values at imaginary nodes above it will be equal to the HEAD-values at the nodes on the first row below the boundary. If a top or bottom boundary is partly Neumann and partly Dirichlet, then the imaginary nodes next to the Dirichlet boundaries will have meaningless values. One should keep in mind, then, that the real boundaries within a PHED- or HEAD-array may coincide with row 2 and column 2 and with the next to last row and the next to last column.

The final PHED-array at the end of a run may optionally be obtained in punchcard or magnetic tape form. For convenience, these data are called restart data in this report. These data may also be used as input for such other programs as convergence checking discussed later or machine plotting to produce isobars or to convert  $h$  to  $H$  for the purpose of plotting equipotential lines.

When the input variable KARPCH is given the value 1, restart data on punched cards or magnetic tape will be obtained. Any other value will suppress this form of output. When the input variable IFILE is given the value 0, the output will be in card form. Any other value will result in writing on magnetic tape provided the proper job control cards have been included so that tapes will be mounted. Computer facility personnel must be consulted for information on tape handling. The user will probably want magnetic tape for storage when the solution mesh is larger than 1,000 cards for the PHED-array.

## Sample problem

A small-scale, porous media cross section that has a geometry similar to that of figure 2 was modeled as an example. It, along with the boundary conditions, is shown in figure 4. The small scale was selected so that a user may, at small expense, verify the operation of the model on his computer.

Figure 5 shows the input data in a convenient assembly format. The input variables are defined and discussed in detail in appendix A. Before going further with the example, the reader should familiarize himself with that appendix.

The sample solution was accomplished in two runs. One run initialized the problem and was segmented to try various overrelaxation factors. The second run was an unsegmented restart of the first; its  $w$ -value having been assigned on the basis of the first run's results.

The input data deck for the initialization run consisted of card groups 1-12 and card group 14. Card groups 1 and 3 each consisted of a single card punched with the data given on their value rows in figure 5.

For the restart run, card group 14 was replaced by card group 13. Card groups 1 and 3 were replaced by cards containing the data of the rows marked "Restart #1" in figure 5.

The printed portion of model output is illustrated in figures 6 and 7 which contain output for the sample problem. Printed output has three parts: (1) initialization data, (2) convergence monitoring data, and (3) pressure head and hydraulic head arrays. When the solution has converged acceptably, the latter arrays contain the data which portray the model's estimate of the prototype hydraulic regime.

Some data in the initialization part of the output are unmodified input data printed for the purpose of checking input and for recording a complete description of the conditions of the case. Other entries are derived from the input data. For example, card group 2 contains measured length and depth (in the sample, measurement was in centimeters because the units of  $K$  were cm/sec) of the cross section of figure 4. This group also contains variables which specify whether an imaginary row or column is needed at each extremity of the cross section. Card groups 9 and 10 contain specifications for  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  in various parts of the cross section. Using all these data, the model determines the total number of rows and columns needed in the solution mesh. These are printed and identified as MROW and MCOL, respectively.

Other noninput initialization data given in the output are of the same type as MROW and MCOL, that is, row and column equivalents




Figure 4.--Sample problem flow region with boundary conditions.



Figure 5.--Input data for STDY2 sample problem.

DATA SHEET STDY2

Date 3/74

Page 1 of 7

Case Small-Scale Septic Tank

Name

Card Grp 1										
Variable	ESTIME	KAREAD	KARECH	ITER	IFILE	IPSIG	ILSIG	KTABLE	MCHNGE	
Format	F5.0	I5							I5	
Value	15	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Restart #1	15	1	1	150	0	0	1	0	0	
Card Grp 2										
Variable	LGTH	DEPTH	SLOPE	IMGTOP	IMGROT	IMGLSD	IMGRSD	INISIG	PHEDS	ELEV
Format	F10.2	F10.2	F5.2	I5				I5	F10.2	F10.2
Value	6.00	7.00	0.00	1	0	1	1	1	0.00	7.00
Card Grp 3										
Variable	ITMAX	INTPR	OMEGA	NOMEGA	NNODES	IDBLE	NCARDY	NCARDX	JGEOM	IGEOM
Format	I5	I5	F10.2	I5						I5
Value	30	1	1.00	1	5	0	3	3	4	4
Restart #1	175	1	1.60	0	5	1	3	3	4	4
Card Grp 4										
Variable	COORDI	COORDJ	COORDI	COORDJ	COORDI	COORDJ	COORDI	COORDJ		
Format	F10.2							F10.2		
Value Cd 1	0.00	0.00	6.00	0.00	4.50	3.00	0.00	6.00		
Cd 2	6.00	6.00								

DATA SHEET STDY2

Date 3/74

Page 2 of 7

Case Small-Scale Septic Tank

Name

Card Grp 5										
Variable	COMENT									
Format	(20A4)									
Value Cd 1	STDY2 2D	SOR STEADY POROUS	MEDIA FLOW MODEL							
Cd 2	SMALL-SCALE SEPTIC TANK DISPOSAL,	6 x 7 CM,	FINER MESH NEAR NOTCH							
Cd 3	HOMOGENEOUS SOIL--IIC (HILL) HORIZON, SAYBROOK, S. L.									
Cd 4	SAMPLE CASE--INITIALIZATION RUN									
Cd 5	Blank									
Card Grp 6										
Variable	LUNITS	KHPRT								
Format	I5	I5								
Value	1	0								
Card Grp 7										
Variable	NUMLIN	NUMBRK	NUMLIN	NUMBRK	NUMLIN	NUMBRK	NUMLIN	NUMBRK	NUMLIN	NUMBRK
Format	I5									I5
Value	37	2								



Name \_\_\_\_\_

DATA SHEET STDY2 Date 3/74 Page 6 of 7  
Case Small-Scale Septic Tank Name \_\_\_\_\_

[illegible]

Figure 5.--Continued.

Case Small-Scale Septic Tank

Name \_\_\_\_\_

[illegible]

Figure 5.--Continued.

measured data. These row and column equivalents are necessary because the pressure and hydraulic head arrays contain the values of those variables in row and column order but do not reflect mesh spacing and do not reflect  $x,y$  coordinate position. Row and column equivalents, then, aid in finding the latter position.

In general, each derived datum in the trialization part of the output corresponds exactly to an input entry in figure 5. The sole exception is in the output labeled TABLE MESH INCREMENT DATA FOLLOW IN PLETS AS XYZ. Where three pairs of values are punched in each of the card groups 9 and four triplets resulted for each. In each one, the final triplet specifies that the  $\Delta y$ - or  $\Delta z$ -value continues all the way to the lower or right-hand boundary, that is, if  $J = 13$  or  $I = 12$  (the values of  $MROW$   $MCOL$ , respectively).

The flow region was subsectioned according to an earlier part of this publication, Division of Flow Cross Section. For discussion of the measurement of some of the quantities in card groups 11 and 12, see appendix A, card group 11.

The solution mesh with row and column sections outlined is shown in figures 8

Card groups 6-8 describe the soil units in the prototype. In this case, only one soil unit was used, so its bottom boundary coincided with the bottom boundary of the cross section. Nevertheless, the coordinates for two breakpoints--the two bottom corners of the cross section--were necessary.

In some previous runs, fluctuations in pressure head with the first few iterations had produced values smaller than  $-10^6$  cm of water, so the  $h$ - $K$  table was extended by the addition of a much lower pressure head (higher suction).

In figure 5, input card group 4 identifies five nodes for printing pressure head values in convergence checking, a procedure explained later. INTPRT in card group 3 specifies that the PHED-values at the corresponding nodes are printed every iteration.

NOMEGA = 1 on the value line in card group



STOY2 2D EQR STEADY PRCOUS MEDIA FLCW MODEL  
SMALL SCALE SEPTIC TANK DISPOSAL, 6 X 7 CM, FINER MESH NEAR NOTCH  
HOMOGENEOUS SOIL -- IIC (TILL) HCRIZCN, SAYBROOK S.L.  
SAMPLE CASE -- INITIALIZATION RUN

18

```

ITERATION NO. AND PRESSURE HEAD AT SELECTED NODES AS IDENTIFIED BELOW
COORDINATES AS MEASURED FROM AXES (X,Y)
0.0, 0.0 6.00, 0.0 4.50, 3.00 0.0, 6.00 6.00, 6.00
COORDINATES AS ROW AND COLUMN NUMBER (I,J)
ITER 2, 2 11, 2 9, 7 2, 12 11, 12
0 -0.700000D+01 -0.700000D+01 -0.400000D+01 -0.100000D+01 -0.100000D+01
1 -0.700000D+01 -0.700000D+01 -0.431640D+01 -0.169790D+01 -0.104860D+01
2 -0.700000D+01 -0.700000D+01 -0.468730D+01 -0.252800D+01 -0.111640D+01
3 -0.700000D+01 -0.700000D+01 -0.506360D+01 -0.291550D+01 -0.119240D+01
4 -0.700000D+01 -0.700000D+01 -0.538990D+01 -0.313990D+01 -0.126580D+01
5 -0.700000D+01 -0.700000D+01 -0.568140D+01 -0.330650D+01 -0.134450D+01
6 -0.700000D+01 -0.703260D+01 -0.595870D+01 -0.342840D+01 -0.141440D+01
7 -0.700000D+01 -0.707620D+01 -0.622560D+01 -0.351430D+01 -0.147800D+01
8 -0.700000D+01 -0.713890D+01 -0.648430D+01 -0.357600D+01 -0.153800D+01
9 -0.700520D+01 -0.721860D+01 -0.673490D+01 -0.362110D+01 -0.159210D+01
10 -0.701650D+01 -0.731260D+01 -0.698020D+01 -0.365480D+01 -0.164190D+01
11 -0.703420D+01 -0.741830D+01 -0.722130D+01 -0.367980D+01 -0.168760D+01
12 -0.705830D+01 -0.753370D+01 -0.745930D+01 -0.369850D+01 -0.172930D+01
13 -0.708970D+01 -0.765860D+01 -0.769310D+01 -0.371290D+01 -0.176760D+01
14 -0.712480D+01 -0.778640D+01 -0.792450D+01 -0.372420D+01 -0.180280D+01
15 -0.716430D+01 -0.792170D+01 -0.815380D+01 -0.373330D+01 -0.183530D+01
16 -0.721260D+01 -0.806230D+01 -0.838180D+01 -0.374060D+01 -0.186530D+01
17 -0.726340D+01 -0.820750D+01 -0.860310D+01 -0.374680D+01 -0.189320D+01
18 -0.731810D+01 -0.835600D+01 -0.881130D+01 -0.375190D+01 -0.191910D+01
19 -0.737670D+01 -0.850730D+01 -0.901330D+01 -0.375630D+01 -0.194320D+01
20 -0.743890D+01 -0.866100D+01 -0.920860D+01 -0.376000D+01 -0.196560D+01
21 -0.750450D+01 -0.881680D+01 -0.939750D+01 -0.376330D+01 -0.198640D+01
22 -0.757330D+01 -0.897460D+01 -0.957940D+01 -0.376620D+01 -0.200590D+01
23 -0.764530D+01 -0.913440D+01 -0.974540D+01 -0.376880D+01 -0.202410D+01
24 -0.772060D+01 -0.929540D+01 -0.991170D+01 -0.377110D+01 -0.204110D+01
25 -0.779910D+01 -0.945710D+01 -0.100680D+02 -0.377320D+01 -0.205700D+01
26 -0.788080D+01 -0.961900D+01 -0.102180D+02 -0.377510D+01 -0.207200D+01
27 -0.796560D+01 -0.978060D+01 -0.103620D+02 -0.377680D+01 -0.208610D+01
28 -0.805370D+01 -0.994150D+01 -0.105000D+02 -0.377840D+01 -0.209930D+01
29 -0.814470D+01 -0.101010D+02 -0.106320D+02 -0.377980D+01 -0.211170D+01
30 -0.823870D+01 -0.102600D+02 -0.107600D+02 -0.378120D+01 -0.212340D+01

RESTART PUNCHED
TOTAL CASE TIME = 0.976000 SECONDS.

CONTINUE WITH OMEGA = 1.20, ITMAX = 60
31 -0.835460D+01 -0.104850D+02 -0.109440D+02 -0.378280D+01 -0.215130D+01
32 -0.848090D+01 -0.107110D+02 -0.111240D+02 -0.378430D+01 -0.216790D+01
33 -0.861680D+01 -0.109360D+02 -0.112960D+02 -0.378570D+01 -0.218290D+01
34 -0.876390D+01 -0.111890D+02 -0.114610D+02 -0.378690D+01 -0.219620D+01
35 -0.892210D+01 -0.113770D+02 -0.116190D+02 -0.378810D+01 -0.220840D+01
36 -0.908570D+01 -0.115910D+02 -0.117700D+02 -0.378910D+01 -0.221980D+01
37 -0.925450D+01 -0.118010D+02 -0.119140D+02 -0.379020D+01 -0.222960D+01
38 -0.942770D+01 -0.120060D+02 -0.120510D+02 -0.379110D+01 -0.223890D+01
39 -0.960480D+01 -0.122060D+02 -0.121840D+02 -0.379210D+01 -0.224750D+01
40 -0.978540D+01 -0.124010D+02 -0.123110D+02 -0.379290D+01 -0.225550D+01
41 -0.996870D+01 -0.125980D+02 -0.124340D+02 -0.379380D+01 -0.226300D+01
42 -0.101540D+02 -0.127760D+02 -0.125510D+02 -0.379450D+01 -0.227000D+01
43 -0.103420D+02 -0.129660D+02 -0.126640D+02 -0.379520D+01 -0.227670D+01
44 -0.105300D+02 -0.131370D+02 -0.127740D+02 -0.379580D+01 -0.228320D+01
45 -0.107190D+02 -0.133090D+02 -0.128810D+02 -0.379640D+01 -0.228950D+01
46 -0.109080D+02 -0.134770D+02 -0.129840D+02 -0.379690D+01 -0.229560D+01
47 -0.110980D+02 -0.136420D+02 -0.130840D+02 -0.379740D+01 -0.230130D+01
48 -0.112880D+02 -0.138030D+02 -0.131810D+02 -0.379790D+01 -0.230690D+01
49 -0.114770D+02 -0.139600D+02 -0.132750D+02 -0.379830D+01 -0.231220D+01
50 -0.116650D+02 -0.141140D+02 -0.133660D+02 -0.379880D+01 -0.231730D+01
51 -0.118520D+02 -0.142680D+02 -0.134550D+02 -0.379920D+01 -0.232230D+01
52 -0.120380D+02 -0.144130D+02 -0.135420D+02 -0.379950D+01 -0.232700D+01
53 -0.122220D+02 -0.145550D+02 -0.136280D+02 -0.379990D+01 -0.233160D+01
54 -0.124040D+02 -0.147000D+02 -0.137120D+02 -0.380020D+01 -0.233590D+01
55 -0.125850D+02 -0.148400D+02 -0.137950D+02 -0.380050D+01 -0.234020D+01
56 -0.127640D+02 -0.149760D+02 -0.138750D+02 -0.380080D+01 -0.234430D+01
57 -0.129410D+02 -0.151100D+02 -0.139530D+02 -0.380110D+01 -0.234830D+01
58 -0.131160D+02 -0.152420D+02 -0.140300D+02 -0.380140D+01 -0.235210D+01
59 -0.132890D+02 -0.153710D+02 -0.141050D+02 -0.380170D+01 -0.235580D+01
60 -0.134610D+02 -0.154980D+02 -0.141780D+02 -0.380200D+01 -0.235940D+01

RESTART PUNCHED
TOTAL CASE TIME = 1.801999 SECONDS.

CONTINUE WITH OMEGA = 1.40, ITMAX = 90
61 -0.136590D+02 -0.156510D+02 -0.142940D+02 -0.380230D+01 -0.237290D+01
62 -0.138680D+02 -0.158660D+02 -0.144090D+02 -0.380270D+01 -0.237810D+01
63 -0.140850D+02 -0.160630D+02 -0.145210D+02 -0.380300D+01 -0.238330D+01
64 -0.143160D+02 -0.162630D+02 -0.146280D+02 -0.380330D+01 -0.238810D+01
65 -0.145590D+02 -0.164180D+02 -0.147300D+02 -0.380350D+01 -0.239400D+01
66 -0.147750D+02 -0.165920D+02 -0.148280D+02 -0.380390D+01 -0.239860D+01
67 -0.150300D+02 -0.167610D+02 -0.149220D+02 -0.380420D+01 -0.240290D+01
68 -0.152430D+02 -0.169260D+02 -0.150120D+02 -0.380450D+01 -0.240690D+01
69 -0.154930D+02 -0.170860D+02 -0.150970D+02 -0.380490D+01 -0.241060D+01
70 -0.157200D+02 -0.172410D+02 -0.151780D+02 -0.380530D+01 -0.241430D+01
71 -0.159460D+02 -0.173910D+02 -0.152560D+02 -0.380560D+01 -0.241770D+01
72 -0.161690D+02 -0.175370D+02 -0.153300D+02 -0.380580D+01 -0.242100D+01
73 -0.163500D+02 -0.176770D+02 -0.154010D+02 -0.380600D+01 -0.242410D+01
74 -0.165600D+02 -0.178130D+02 -0.154690D+02 -0.380630D+01 -0.242710D+01
75 -0.168220D+02 -0.179440D+02 -0.155340D+02 -0.380650D+01 -0.242990D+01
76 -0.170330D+02 -0.180700D+02 -0.155970D+02 -0.380670D+01 -0.243260D+01
77 -0.172410D+02 -0.181920D+02 -0.156580D+02 -0.380680D+01 -0.243530D+01
78 -0.174440D+02 -0.183090D+02 -0.157150D+02 -0.380700D+01 -0.243790D+01
79 -0.176440D+02 -0.184230D+02 -0.157720D+02 -0.380720D+01 -0.244030D+01
80 -0.178380D+02 -0.185320D+02 -0.158270D+02 -0.380740D+01 -0.244270D+01
81 -0.180270D+02 -0.186380D+02 -0.158790D+02 -0.380750D+01 -0.244500D+01
82 -0.182100D+02 -0.187400D+02 -0.159290D+02 -0.380770D+01 -0.244720D+01
83 -0.183880D+02 -0.188390D+02 -0.159770D+02 -0.380780D+01 -0.244920D+01
84 -0.185600D+02 -0.189340D+02 -0.160240D+02 -0.380790D+01 -0.245130D+01
85 -0.187270D+02 -0.190260D+02 -0.160680D+02 -0.380810D+01 -0.245320D+01
86 -0.188890D+02 -0.191180D+02 -0.161110D+02 -0.380820D+01 -0.245510D+01
87 -0.190450D+02 -0.192000D+02 -0.161530D+02 -0.380830D+01 -0.245680D+01
88 -0.191970D+02 -0.192830D+02 -0.161930D+02 -0.380840D+01 -0.245860D+01
89 -0.193440D+02 -0.193620D+02 -0.162320D+02 -0.380850D+01 -0.246020D+01
90 -0.194860D+02 -0.194390D+02 -0.162690D+02 -0.380870D+01 -0.246180D+01

```

Figure 6.--Continued.

Figure 6.--Continued.

RESTART PUNCHED

TOTAL CASE TIME = 2.594000 SECONDS.

```

CONTINUE WITH OMEGA = 1.80, ITHAX = 120
91 -0.156430+02 -0.195530+02 -0.163390+02 -0.380880+01 -0.247240+01
92 -0.158600+02 -0.196700+02 -0.164400+02 -0.380890+01 -0.247340+01
93 -0.159740+02 -0.197890+02 -0.164680+02 -0.380900+01 -0.247500+01
94 -0.201540+02 -0.199090+02 -0.165280+02 -0.380920+01 -0.247770+01
95 -0.203470+02 -0.200160+02 -0.165830+02 -0.380930+01 -0.247930+01
96 -0.205180+02 -0.201170+02 -0.166330+02 -0.380950+01 -0.248070+01
97 -0.206880+02 -0.202100+02 -0.166800+02 -0.380970+01 -0.248210+01
98 -0.208490+02 -0.202980+02 -0.167220+02 -0.380980+01 -0.248330+01
99 -0.210010+02 -0.203790+02 -0.167590+02 -0.381020+01 -0.248440+01
100 -0.211460+02 -0.204550+02 -0.167920+02 -0.381040+01 -0.248560+01
101 -0.212830+02 -0.205280+02 -0.168220+02 -0.381040+01 -0.248670+01
102 -0.214120+02 -0.205910+02 -0.168510+02 -0.381030+01 -0.248780+01
103 -0.215360+02 -0.206520+02 -0.168770+02 -0.381020+01 -0.248870+01
104 -0.216530+02 -0.207180+02 -0.169020+02 -0.381050+01 -0.248960+01
105 -0.217640+02 -0.207790+02 -0.169250+02 -0.381060+01 -0.249040+01
106 -0.218690+02 -0.208370+02 -0.169470+02 -0.381060+01 -0.249110+01
107 -0.219680+02 -0.208920+02 -0.169670+02 -0.381060+01 -0.249180+01
108 -0.220610+02 -0.209440+02 -0.169860+02 -0.381070+01 -0.249240+01
109 -0.221490+02 -0.209930+02 -0.170040+02 -0.381070+01 -0.249300+01
110 -0.222320+02 -0.209970+02 -0.170200+02 -0.381080+01 -0.249360+01
111 -0.223190+02 -0.210040+02 -0.170360+02 -0.381080+01 -0.249410+01
112 -0.223910+02 -0.210100+02 -0.170500+02 -0.381090+01 -0.249460+01
113 -0.224490+02 -0.210660+02 -0.170630+02 -0.381090+01 -0.249510+01
114 -0.225120+02 -0.210540+02 -0.170760+02 -0.381090+01 -0.249550+01
115 -0.225710+02 -0.211200+02 -0.170870+02 -0.381100+01 -0.249590+01
116 -0.226260+02 -0.211440+02 -0.170980+02 -0.381100+01 -0.249630+01
117 -0.226780+02 -0.211670+02 -0.171080+02 -0.381100+01 -0.249660+01
118 -0.227260+02 -0.211880+02 -0.171180+02 -0.381100+01 -0.249700+01
119 -0.227710+02 -0.212080+02 -0.171260+02 -0.381110+01 -0.249730+01
120 -0.228130+02 -0.212260+02 -0.171380+02 -0.381110+01 -0.249780+01

```

RESTART PUNCHED

TOTAL CASE TIME = 3.389999 SECONDS.

```

CONTINUE WITH OMEGA = 1.80, ITHAX = 150
121 -0.228650+02 -0.212550+02 -0.171540+02 -0.381110+01 -0.249820+01
122 -0.229620+02 -0.212850+02 -0.171710+02 -0.381110+01 -0.250140+01
123 -0.229470+02 -0.213170+02 -0.171880+02 -0.381120+01 -0.250190+01
124 -0.229560+02 -0.213540+02 -0.172040+02 -0.381120+01 -0.250180+01
125 -0.230520+02 -0.213860+02 -0.172180+02 -0.381120+01 -0.250150+01
126 -0.230560+02 -0.214030+02 -0.172290+02 -0.381130+01 -0.250110+01
127 -0.231140+02 -0.214210+02 -0.172370+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250080+01
128 -0.231820+02 -0.214380+02 -0.172430+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250060+01
129 -0.232160+02 -0.214510+02 -0.172450+02 -0.381170+01 -0.250070+01
130 -0.232470+02 -0.214620+02 -0.172450+02 -0.381180+01 -0.250130+01
131 -0.232750+02 -0.214710+02 -0.172440+02 -0.381130+01 -0.250170+01
132 -0.232950+02 -0.214770+02 -0.172450+02 -0.381090+01 -0.250190+01
133 -0.233200+02 -0.214810+02 -0.172460+02 -0.381070+01 -0.250170+01
134 -0.233400+02 -0.214820+02 -0.172470+02 -0.381210+01 -0.250170+01
135 -0.233570+02 -0.214810+02 -0.172490+02 -0.381170+01 -0.250160+01
136 -0.233720+02 -0.214810+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381130+01 -0.250140+01
137 -0.233830+02 -0.214830+02 -0.172520+02 -0.381110+01 -0.250130+01
138 -0.233930+02 -0.214850+02 -0.172530+02 -0.381130+01 -0.250150+01
139 -0.234000+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172520+02 -0.381170+01 -0.250150+01
140 -0.234050+02 -0.214890+02 -0.172520+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
141 -0.234080+02 -0.214900+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381130+01 -0.250160+01
142 -0.234100+02 -0.214900+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381130+01 -0.250160+01
143 -0.234100+02 -0.214900+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250160+01
144 -0.234090+02 -0.214890+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250180+01
145 -0.234080+02 -0.214880+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
146 -0.234080+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250140+01
147 -0.234080+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250140+01
148 -0.234080+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
149 -0.234090+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
150 -0.234090+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01

```

RESTART PUNCHED

TOTAL CASE TIME = 4.195559 SECONDS.

END OF JOB

curve show where changes in  $\omega$ -value took place. A steepening of the curve below each tic showed that each higher  $\omega$ -value resulted in faster convergence. The other curves in figure 10 show convergence for unsegmented runs using various  $\omega$ -values. Inspecting the convergence-checking data of figure 6 shows that with  $\omega = 1.80$ , there was a slight tendency to fluctuate in the interval from iteration 141 to iteration 150. Further trials indicated that 1.65 was the approximate  $\omega_{max}$  for this case. Disregarding the minor fluctuation at the end of figure 6, though, the PHED-values seemed to have reached a plateau, indicating that convergence was essentially complete.

The notation at the end of each segment of convergence-checking data in figure 6 indi-

cated that restart data were punched on cards at the end of each segment. To facilitate separating punched PHED-arrays, the model punches a card with five asterisks and the words END OF FILE after each restart deck. This resulted from the card group 1 data, KARCH = 1, and IFILE = 0. These data would have been written on magnetic tape, had IFILE  $\neq$  0, but an end-of-file mark would not appear on the tape until the run was terminated. See the discussion for IFILE, card group 1, glossary of input variables, appendix A.

Because PHED fluctuation near the 150th iteration was minor, the portion of the output deck corresponding to that iteration was used as card group 13 for the second or restart run.

Figure 7.--STDY2 printout for second run of sample problem.

```

STDY2 2D SOR STEADY POROUS MEDIA FLOW MODEL
SMALL SCALE SEPTIC TANK DISPOSAL, 6 X 7 CM, FINER MESH NEAR NOTCH
HOMOGENEOUS SOIL --TIC (TILL) HORIZON, SAYBROOK S.L.
SAMPLE CASE -- RESTART FROM ITERATION 150

ESTIME      KAREAD      KARPCH      ITER      IFILE      IPSIG      ILSIG      KTABLE      MCHNGE
15.          1          1          150         0           0           1           0           0

LGTH        DEPTH        SLOPE        IMGTOP        IMGBOT        IMGLSD        IMGRSD        INISIG        PHEOS        ELEV
6.00        7.00        0.0          1            0            1            1            1            0.0         7.00

ITMAX        INTPT        DMEGA        NDMEGA        NNODES        IDBLE        NCARDY        NCARDX        JGENDH        IGEOM
175          1          1.00         0            5            1            3            3            4            4

GEOMETRY AND BOUNDARY CONDITION DATA

MCCL        NROW
12          13

FOR ROW SUBSECTIONS
NSUBY       STARTY       STOPY       BEGX       ENDX       JBETA       JETA       BCLJ       BCRJ
1           0.0         2.00       0.0        6.00       1           1           0.0        0.0
2           2.10       2.90       3.00       6.00       1           1           0.0        0.0
3           3.00       4.00       3.10       6.00       0           1          -30.00     0.0
4           4.10       6.90       0.0        6.00       1           1           0.0        0.0

NSUBY       JSTART       JSTOP       JBEG       JEND
1           2           5           2          11
2           6           6           6          11
3           7           9           7          11
4           10          12          2          11

FOR COLUMN SUBSECTIONS
NSUBX       STARTX       STOPX       BEGY       ENDY       IBETA       IETA       BCUI       BCBI       FLUX
1           0.0         2.90       0.0        2.00       1           1           0.0        0.0        0.0
2           3.00       3.00       0.0        2.90       1           0           0.0        -30.00     0.0
3           0.0         3.00       0.0        6.90       0           0          -30.00     0.0        0.0
4           3.10       6.00       0.0        6.90       1           0           0.0        0.0        0.0

NSUBX       ISTART       ISTOP       JBEG       JEND
1           2           5           2          5
2           6           6           2          6
3           2           6          10         12
4           7          11           2          12

VARIABLE MESH INCREMENT DATA FOLLOW IN TRIPLETS AS XYZ
WHERE X = MEASURED DISTANCE FROM AXIS (DXLGTH OR DYLGTH)
      Y = ROW OR COLUMN NUMBER (JY OR IX)
      Z = INCREMENT LENGTH (DELY OR DELX)

VERTICAL
0.0        2        1.000          1.00        3        0.500          5.00        11        1.000          7.00        13        1.000
HORIZONTAL
0.0        2        1.000          2.00        4        0.500          5.00        10        1.000          6.00        12        1.000

HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TABLE FOR SOIL UNIT 1
AS (X,Y) MEASURED FROM AXES
0.0        7.00        6.00        7.00
AS COLUMN AND ROW NUMBER (I,J)
2          13        12        13

      P          K          P          K          P          K          P          K
0.0          0.926E-03      -0.170E+02      0.116E-03      -0.300E+02      0.787E-04      -0.770E+02      0.104E-04
-0.300E+01      0.532E-03      -0.180E+02      0.113E-03      -0.310E+02      0.752E-04      -0.100E+03      0.116E-05
-0.700E+01      0.231E-03      -0.190E+02      0.110E-03      -0.340E+02      0.671E-04      -0.300E+03      0.463E-07
-0.900E+01      0.197E-03      -0.210E+02      0.104E-03      -0.380E+02      0.579E-04      -0.500E+03      0.231E-08
-0.900E+01      0.185E-03      -0.230E+02      0.984E-04      -0.400E+02      0.544E-04      -0.790E+03      0.926E-09
-0.100E+02      0.174E-03      -0.240E+02      0.926E-04      -0.430E+02      0.498E-04      -0.100E+04      0.347E-10
-0.120E+02      0.162E-03      -0.250E+02      0.903E-04      -0.450E+02      0.463E-04      -0.100E+11      0.347E-10
-0.130E+02      0.150E-03      -0.260E+02      0.855E-04      -0.500E+02      0.394E-04
-0.150E+02      0.127E-03      -0.280E+02      0.833E-04      -0.680E+02      0.185E-04
-0.160E+02      0.118E-03      -0.290E+02      0.810E-04      -0.680E+02      0.162E-04

ITERATION NO. AND PRESSURE HEAD AT SELECTED NODES AS IDENTIFIED BELOW
COORDINATES AS MEASURED FROM AXES (X,Y)
0.0, 0.0        6.00, 0.0        4.50, 3.00        0.0, 6.00        6.00, 6.00
COORDINATES AS ROW AND COLUMN NUMBER (I,J)
ITER 2, 2      11, 2      9, 7      2, 12      11, 12

150 -0.234C9D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
151 -0.23409D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
152 -0.234C9D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
153 -0.234C9D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
154 -0.23408D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
155 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
156 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
157 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
158 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
159 -0.23408D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
160 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
161 -0.23408D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
162 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
163 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
164 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
165 -0.234C8D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
166 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
167 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
168 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
169 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
170 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
171 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
172 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
173 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
174 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01
175 -0.234C7D+02 -0.21487D+02 -0.17251D+02 -0.38114D+01 -0.25015D+01

```

Figure 7.--Continued.

```

PRESSURE HEAD DISTRIBUTION AFTER 175 ITERATIONS
1 -0.600000+01-0.244230+02-0.244210+02-0.244600+02-0.243590+02-0.242300+02-0.239200+02-0.234720+02-0.229920+02-0.225830+02
  -0.221920+02-0.800000+01
2 -0.700000+01-0.234070+02-0.233920+02-0.233170+02-0.232140+02-0.230400+02-0.227730+02-0.224370+02-0.220860+02-0.217840+02
  -0.214870+02-0.700000+01
3 -0.600000+01-0.224230+02-0.224210+02-0.224060+02-0.223590+02-0.222300+02-0.219200+02-0.214720+02-0.209920+02-0.205830+02
  -0.201920+02-0.600000+01
4 -0.550000+01-0.219320+02-0.219410+02-0.219890+02-0.220520+02-0.220970+02-0.217060+02-0.210460+02-0.203500+02-0.197780+02
  -0.192610+02-0.550000+01
5 -0.500000+01-0.214350+02-0.214510+02-0.215450+02-0.217610+02-0.224040+02-0.217690+02-0.206670+02-0.195990+02-0.187780+02
  -0.180910+02-0.500000+01
6 -0.450000+01-0.209320+02-0.209410+02-0.209890+02-0.210520+02-0.210870+02-0.202310+02-0.202850+02-0.186370+02-0.174880+02
  -0.166070+02-0.450000+01
7 -0.400000+01-0.400000+01-0.400000+01-0.400000+01-0.400000+01-0.300000+02-0.233470+02-0.196020+02-0.172510+02-0.157830+02
  -0.147770+02-0.400000+01
8 -0.350000+01-0.350000+01-0.350000+01-0.350000+01-0.350000+01-0.300000+02-0.223370+02-0.178400+02-0.151680+02-0.136490+02
  -0.126790+02-0.350000+01
9 -0.300000+01-0.300000+02-0.300000+02-0.300000+02-0.300000+02-0.300000+02-0.193950+02-0.148530+02-0.124940+02-0.111950+02
  -0.103470+02-0.300000+01
10 -0.250000+01-0.188090+02-0.187410+02-0.184160+02-0.178700+02-0.165710+02-0.133260+02-0.109530+02-0.942550+01-0.849110+01
  -0.786410+01-0.250000+01
11 -0.200000+01-0.110620+02-0.109820+02-0.106340+02-0.101830+02-0.942790+01-0.832400+01-0.730770+01-0.656250+01-0.608160+01
  -0.572920+01-0.200000+01
12 -0.100000+01-0.381140+01-0.377740+01-0.364580+01-0.351040+01-0.332410+01-0.310000+01-0.288750+01-0.271840+01-0.259830+01
  -0.250150+01-0.100000+01
13 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

RESTART PUNCHED
175 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
177 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
178 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
179 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
180 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
181 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
182 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
183 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
184 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
185 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
186 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
187 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
188 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
189 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01
190 -0.234700+02 -0.214870+02 -0.172510+02 -0.381140+01 -0.250150+01

PRESSURE HEAD DISTRIBUTION AFTER 190 ITERATIONS
1 -0.600000+01-0.244230+02-0.244210+02-0.244600+02-0.243590+02-0.242300+02-0.239200+02-0.234720+02-0.229920+02-0.225830+02
  -0.221920+02-0.800000+01
2 -0.700000+01-0.234070+02-0.233920+02-0.233170+02-0.232140+02-0.230400+02-0.227730+02-0.224360+02-0.220850+02-0.217840+02
  -0.214870+02-0.700000+01
3 -0.600000+01-0.224230+02-0.224210+02-0.224060+02-0.223590+02-0.222300+02-0.219200+02-0.214720+02-0.209920+02-0.205830+02
  -0.201920+02-0.600000+01
4 -0.550000+01-0.219310+02-0.219410+02-0.219890+02-0.220520+02-0.220970+02-0.217060+02-0.210460+02-0.203500+02-0.197780+02
  -0.192610+02-0.550000+01
5 -0.500000+01-0.214350+02-0.214510+02-0.215450+02-0.217610+02-0.224040+02-0.217690+02-0.206670+02-0.195990+02-0.187780+02
  -0.180910+02-0.500000+01
6 -0.450000+01-0.209310+02-0.209410+02-0.209890+02-0.210520+02-0.210870+02-0.202310+02-0.202850+02-0.186370+02-0.174880+02
  -0.166070+02-0.450000+01
7 -0.400000+01-0.400000+01-0.400000+01-0.400000+01-0.400000+01-0.300000+02-0.233470+02-0.196020+02-0.172510+02-0.157830+02
  -0.147770+02-0.400000+01
8 -0.350000+01-0.350000+01-0.350000+01-0.350000+01-0.350000+01-0.300000+02-0.223370+02-0.178400+02-0.151680+02-0.136490+02
  -0.126790+02-0.350000+01
9 -0.300000+01-0.300000+02-0.300000+02-0.300000+02-0.300000+02-0.300000+02-0.193950+02-0.148530+02-0.124940+02-0.111950+02
  -0.103470+02-0.300000+01
10 -0.250000+01-0.188090+02-0.187410+02-0.184160+02-0.178700+02-0.165710+02-0.133260+02-0.109530+02-0.942550+01-0.849110+01
  -0.786410+01-0.250000+01
11 -0.200000+01-0.110620+02-0.109820+02-0.106340+02-0.101830+02-0.942790+01-0.832400+01-0.730770+01-0.656250+01-0.608160+01
  -0.572920+01-0.200000+01
12 -0.100000+01-0.381140+01-0.377740+01-0.364580+01-0.351040+01-0.332410+01-0.310000+01-0.288750+01-0.271840+01-0.259830+01
  -0.250150+01-0.100000+01
13 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

RESTART PUNCHED
TOTAL CASE TIME = 1.235999 SECONDS.

HYDRAULIC HEAD DISTRIBUTION
1 0.0 -0.164230+02-0.164210+02-0.164060+02-0.163590+02-0.162300+02-0.159200+02-0.154720+02-0.149920+02-0.145830+02
  -0.141920+02 0.0
2 0.0 -0.164070+02-0.163920+02-0.163170+02-0.162140+02-0.160390+02-0.157730+02-0.154360+02-0.150850+02-0.147840+02
  -0.144870+02 0.0
3 0.0 -0.164230+02-0.164210+02-0.164060+02-0.163590+02-0.162300+02-0.159200+02-0.154720+02-0.149920+02-0.145830+02
  -0.141920+02 0.0
4 0.0 -0.164310+02-0.164140+02-0.164890+02-0.165520+02-0.165970+02-0.162060+02-0.155460+02-0.148500+02-0.142780+02
  -0.137160+02 0.0
5 0.0 -0.164350+02-0.164310+02-0.164510+02-0.165450+02-0.167610+02-0.174040+02-0.167690+02-0.156670+02-0.145990+02-0.137780+02
  -0.130910+02 0.0
6 0.0 -0.164310+02-0.164410+02-0.164890+02-0.165520+02-0.165870+02-0.163100+02-0.157850+02-0.141370+02-0.129880+02
  -0.121070+02 0.0
7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 -0.260000+02-0.193470+02-0.156020+02-0.132810+02-0.117830+02
  -0.107770+02 0.0
8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 -0.265000+02-0.188370+02-0.143400+02-0.116680+02-0.101490+02
  -0.917870+01 0.0
9 0.0 -0.270000+02-0.270000+02-0.270000+02-0.270000+02-0.270000+02-0.163950+02-0.118530+02-0.949420+01-0.819450+01
  -0.734650+01 0.0
10 0.0 -0.163090+02-0.162410+02-0.159160+02-0.153700+02-0.140710+02-0.108260+02-0.845380+01-0.692550+01-0.599110+01
  -0.536410+01 0.0
11 0.0 -0.906220+01-0.898180+01-0.863420+01-0.818260+01-0.742790+01-0.632400+01-0.530770+01-0.456250+01-0.408160+01
  -0.372920+01 0.0
12 0.0 -0.281140+01-0.277740+01-0.264580+01-0.251040+01-0.232410+01-0.210000+01-0.188750+01-0.171840+01-0.159830+01
  -0.150150+01 0.0
13 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

```

END OF JOB




Figure 8.--Sample problem solution mesh and row subsections.




Figure 9.--Sample problem solution mesh and column subsections.




Figure 10.--Convergence as a function of overrelaxation factor.




Figure 11.--Sample problem solution mesh showing equipotential distribution (imaginary nodes not shown).



Because  $\omega = 1.80$  created some fluctuation and because  $\omega = 1.60$  did not, the latter was used for the restart run. Complete convergence seemed nearly achieved, so the restart run was allowed only 25 iterations followed by an additional 15 for detailed convergence comparison. The need for 15 additional iterations and a second restart deck was signaled by IDBLE = 1 in card group 3.

Figure 7 contains initialization data, most of which are identical to those of figure 6. The exceptions reflect the changes made in card groups 1 and 3 before restarting. The convergence-checking data show that, on the basis of the five selected nodes, more than acceptable convergence was reached before the 175th iteration.

Node by node comparison of the two PHED-arrays (one at the 175th iteration, the other at the 190th) shows that the extra 15 iterations resulted in only six values being changed by 1 in the fifth significant digit.

Note that, because the left, top, and right boundaries are all impermeable, the left-hand column, the top row, and the right-hand column are all imaginary. So, in the PHED- and HEAD-arrays, the four outer corners of the cross section are at nodes (2,2), (11,2), (11,13), and (2,13) with the values -23.407, -21.487, 0.0, and 0.0, respectively, in the final PHED-distributions.

Data in the hydraulic head array of figure 7 were used to plot the lines of equal hydraulic head (equipotentials) in figure 11. Because of a boundary subject to -30 cm pressure head located only 3 cm higher than one subject to 0-cm pressure head, the hydraulic head gradient was directed from the lower to the higher boundary. Because the elevation datum was taken at the 0 pressure boundary,  $H$  on that boundary was 0 and therefore the  $H$ -values in the flow region were negative.

The sample cross section was also run with  $\Delta x = \Delta y$  throughout the solution mesh for 0.5-cm and 1-cm mesh increment sizes. The equipotential lines of figure 11 almost exactly duplicated those produced by the 0.5-cm mesh increment case. For the 1-cm mesh increment, however, the equipotentials in the upper part of the flow region were irregular in shape and considerably displaced from their counterparts in figure 11. One may conclude, then, that the irregularity in the equipotential for which  $H = -17$  would probably disappear if an even finer mesh was introduced near the notch.

### Determination of acceptable convergence

Many hundreds of iterations may be necessary to reach convergence for large cross sections in which flow is partly unsaturated.

To cause the program to keep track of the rate of convergence would consume a significant amount of computer time. Instead of incurring such costs, this model requires user interaction to determine when acceptable convergence has been reached. As one aid to this end, the program periodically prints the PHED-values for a user-selected set of nodes. The value of NNODES specifies the number of nodes selected. Through use of the input variable INTPRT, the user may select how often he wants these values printed.

For example, for INTPRT = 1, the selected set of PHED-values is printed every iteration. For INTPRT = 5, printing is obtained every fifth iteration. When the user wants to suppress such printing, he may give the input variable NNODES the value 0. But recall that INTPRT also controls the frequency of checking elapsed time against ESTIME and should be given a reasonable value even if NNODES = 0.

When selected nodes are printed, convergence rates may be examined by inspection or by plotting the manner in which PHED at a node varies with the number of iterations, as was done in the sample problem. If, after many iterations, convergence rate becomes slow, testing another set of overrelaxation factors may be worthwhile, because the optimum value found for the early iterations may not be optimum for later ones.

Selection of the printed nodes should be aimed at finding the node at which convergence is slowest. Experience with various cases will eventually guide the user in this respect, but one should probably start by considering a node from near each corner and at least one from near the center of the solution mesh. A maximum of eight nodes may be selected.

Scanning or plotting PHED as a function of iteration number for a few selected nodes is only an indication of how convergence is going. Some cases involving unsaturated flow have shown seemingly complete convergence in part of the flow region while in another part the PHED-values were still changing appreciably with each additional iteration. Hopefully, one or more nodes in the still-converging zone would have been included in the set selected for periodic printing. To be sure of this, after all the printed nodes have converged acceptably, one should compare two  $h$ -arrays that are separated by a few iterations.

Program COMPAR, documented in appendix C, was developed for the purpose of comparing PHED-arrays. To get two decks for comparison, a user may restart a STDY2 case for, say, 15 iterations and compare the PHED-distribution deck obtained with the one produced by the preceding run. Or, if he thinks he will be close to convergence at the end of a longer

an, he may give the input variable IDBLE the value 1. This will cause the program to punch the PHED deck (or write it on tape) when ITMAX is reached, then run 15 more iterations and produce another PHED-array, both as written printout and in punchcard or magnetic tape form. When recording output on magnetic tape, one should use the IDBLE = 1 method. In this way, he obtains the two PHED-arrays within one logical tape file.

Experience has shown that a given  $\omega$ -value may produce smooth convergence at most nodes, at certain nodes may begin to show instability as final convergence is approached. This will usually be detected when the result at the end of an odd-numbered iteration is compared with that of an even-numbered iteration. If fluctuation occurs and if the amplitude is too wide, then OMEGA should be reduced and further convergence obtained.

The subsectioning facility of the model may be used to save computer time if one portion of the solution mesh continues to change rapidly while the rest has apparently converged or is changing slowly. In this circumstance, rows and columns may be identified which are, in effect, boundaries between the converged and nonconverged parts of the solution mesh. These rows and columns may be considered as boundaries of known pressure head on the nonconverged part.

A restart run may then be set up in which:

1. Subsection parameters in input card groups 11 and 12, glossary of input variables, appendix A are given so that only nodes in the nonconverged part of the flow region are processed. The variables JBETA, ETA, IBETA, and IETA when on a boundary between converged and nonconverged regions should have the value 0 for known pressure head. When requirement 4 below is fulfilled, CUI, BCBI, BCLJ, and BCRJ will not influence the solution.

2. Nodes selected under control of INTPRT should be specified inside the nonconverged part with one or two of them being located near the new boundaries.

3. All other such geometrical input data as overall length and depth,  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$ , and so forth, remain unchanged from the run that produced the restart deck.

4. The input variable MCHNGE (card group 1) has the value 0.

5. The entire restart data deck, including the entire PHED-array whether on cards or on tape, is submitted with no changes other than those mentioned above.

Such a restart preserves the input PHED-values at all nodes on the boundary of and outside the nonconverged part of the mesh whereas further convergence is obtained in the zone of interest.

After reasonable convergence has been achieved in the truncated model, subsectioning data for the complete cross section should be put back into the input data deck and iterations continued until acceptable overall convergence is reached.

Definition of what constitutes an acceptable degree of convergence (the maximum acceptable difference between PHED-arrays) rests ultimately with the user. He should keep in mind that he is running a model. Regardless of how well successive PHED-distributions agree, his solution is only an approximation to the actual pressure distribution of the prototype. Besides model inaccuracies associated with non-zero  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$ , the complexity of natural prototype systems and the difficulties of measuring their characteristics and properties are such that the modeler will be fortunate if he achieves better than 15- to 20-percent correspondence between model results and prototype truth. All he should be striving for, then, is a reasonable approximation.

Anomalies in the isobar or equipotential patterns will sometimes be observed. These are not necessarily because of incomplete convergence. For example, if the isobars or equipotentials plotted from final PHED- and HEAD-arrays are quite irregular and show abrupt changes in direction, without physical reason, the mesh increments may have been too coarse.

### Changing mesh increment size

An auxiliary program, called CARRY and documented in appendix B, was developed to facilitate changing mesh increment size. It is useful when one already has a PHED-array in punched card form and wishes to refine the solution mesh either in total or in some localized area and then obtain further convergence without returning to a completely arbitrary starting distribution. CARRY produces an output PHED deck with the number of nodes needed for the refined mesh. PHED-values at extraneous nodes in the input PHED deck are eliminated from the output deck. PHED-values at new nodes inserted into the original mesh are interpolated from values at neighboring nodes in that original mesh. The output deck, then, portrays the same pressure head distribution as the input deck but in a differently arranged solution mesh.

CARRY concerns itself only with nodes inside and on the boundaries of the flow region. Its output deck does not contain the proper values for imaginary nodes. To restart STDY2 with a deck of CARRY output, one must give the STDY2 input variable MCHNGE some value other than 0. This assures that boundary conditions are properly set before further solution begins. For all normal restarts, MCHNGE should have the value 0.



### Model dimensions

The DOUBLE PRECISION and the DIMENSION statements near the beginning of the program listing, appendix A, show the number of values which can be given each array variable used by the program. For example, PHED(60,70), HEAD(60,70), and HCON(60,70) indicate that a solution mesh can have 60 columns and 70 rows, including those containing imaginary nodes. NUMLIN(5) shows that a maximum of five soil layers may be modeled. PTAB(50,5) and KTAB(50,5) indicate that five *h*-*K* tables, each with a maximum of 50 lines can be read in.

The user is free, within the limits of computer storage available to him, to change these dimension values, thus changing the number of columns and rows, the number of subsections, and so on, that can be handled in the model. When making changes in dimension, one should be sure that dimensions in all associated variables are changed. For example, PHED and HEAD are equivalenced, so that their dimensions must be the same. Also, every node has associated with it a PHED-value and an HCON-value, so the dimensions of HCON should be the same as PHED and HEAD.

### Computer facility adjustments

The program listed in appendix A was written in USASI Fortran, so should be compatible with most computer systems now in operation. However, each computer facility has certain unique characteristics that must be considered when implementing the model. Job control cards, not shown with the listing, will almost certainly vary from facility to facility. In addition, some program statements may also have to be modified to be compatible with a particular system. The statements likely to require modification are flagged in the listing by M1, M2, . . . The same flag is given for all statements of like category. They are discussed as follows:

M1.--Precision varies widely. The computer on which the listing was obtained and the sample problem run had single precision of four significant digits. If a computer with eight or more significant digits is used, the DOUBLE PRECISION statement could be removed to save on storage requirements. If this is done, the dimensioned variables PHED and HEAD should be added to the DIMENSION statement.

facility and probably will need changing when implementing this program for the first time at a given computer center. The routine in use with the computer producing the listing of appendix A and on which the sample problem was run returned time in milliseconds. The variable TTIME was introduced to convert to seconds for comparison with ESTIME. This would not be wanted at a facility where time is returned in seconds.

M3.--COMENT is a variable to which an A-format applies, that is, which can give alphanumeric information to a program. This information is read in as words of a certain number of characters each. On the computer used in connection with this report, a word contains only four characters (20 words per 80-column card). The statement under which COMENT is read is such that the total number of words read is specified in the DIMENSION statement, for example, 100. The FORMAT statement gives the number of words per card and the number of characters per word--20A4. Thus, with COMENT dimensioned with 100, the computer will read  $100/20 = 5$  cards. When running on a computer that has another word length, both the DIMENSION and the FORMAT statements must be changed to reflect the number of words and the number of characters per word in five 80-column cards. The user may want to change the number of COMENT cards. If his FORMAT statement is compatible with the computer he is using, then a change in the dimension of COMENT will change the number of cards required.

M4.--In READ(5, . . .), WRITE(6, . . .), and WRITE(7, . . .), the numerals refer to the read, write, and punch units, respectively. One or more of these numbers may differ from facility to facility. Because of the number of these statements, only one of each type was flagged. There are usually two ways to change the numeral assigning read, write, and punch units. One may replace the numerals in all read, write, and punch statements in the program so that they conform to the standard assignments at the facility being used. The other method involves the use of job control cards to reassign the read function of the computer being used to unit 5, the write function to unit 6, and the punch function to unit 7.

M5.--The two statements flagged by this symbol refer to reading and writing magnetic tapes. Some computer centers have special routines for accomplishing these

The status of each of the above possible modifications, as well as questions regarding job control card requirements, should be discussed with consultants at the computer

center. A user should also have a consultant check the punching in the source deck of Fortran statements. Such symbols as the equal sign or parentheses are represented by

different punch combinations on different computers. A conversion routine is often available for converting the punching to a form compatible with the machine to be used.

## Literature Cited

- (1) Bayer, L. D., Gardner, W. H., and Gardner, W. R. 1972. Soil Physics. Ed. 4. 498 pp., illus. John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y.
- (2) Childs, E. C. 1969. An Introduction to the Physical Basis of Soil Water Phenomena. 493 pp., illus. John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y.
- (3) Forsythe, G. E. and Wasow, W. R. 1960. Finite Difference Methods for Partial Differential Equations. 444 pp., illus. John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y.
- (4) Greenspan, D. 1968. Lectures on the Numerical Solution of Linear, Singular, and Nonlinear Differential Equations. 185 pp., illus. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- (5) Hillel, D. 1971. Soil and Water Physical Principles and Processes. 288 pp., illus. Academic Press, New York, N.Y.
- (6) Reisenauer, A. E., Nelson, R. W., and Knudsen, C. N. 1963. Steady Darcian Transport of Fluids in Heterogeneous Partially Saturated Porous Media. AEC Res. and Develop. (Richland, Wash.) Rpt. HW-72335-PT2, 88 pp., illus.
- (7) Remson, I., Hornberger, G. M., and Molz, F. J. 1971. Numerical Methods in Subsurface Hydrology with an Introduction to the Finite Element Method. 389 pp., illus. Wiley-Interscience, New York, N.Y.
- (8) Smith, G. D. 1965. Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations. 179 pp., illus. Oxford University Press, New York, N.Y.

## Appendix A:

### STDY2 — The Generalized Steady-State, Two-Dimensional Porous Media Flow Model

The general philosophy and the key concepts of the model are presented in the preceding text. This appendix contains detailed documentation of the computer program that embodies the model. Included are (1) a program listing, (2) a flow chart for the program, (3) a glossary of input variables arranged in the order of their

appearance in the input card deck, and (4) an alphabetical glossary of all other variables used by the program.

The following program listing contains a number of flags to alert the user to possible modifications that may be necessary before running the model on his computer. These modifications are discussed in the the text, Computer Facility Adjustments.

#### Program listing

```

C STDY2      - STEADY STATE GENERAL GEOMETRY, GENERAL BOUNDARY
C             CONDITION MODEL.  VARIABLE DELTA X AND Y.  LAYERED SLOPING SOILS.
C
C S.O.R. METHOD
C
C 3/18/74
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M1.
C
0001      DOUBLE PRECISION PHED(60,70), HEAD(60,70), HEDA,HEDB,XA,XC,YA,YC
1          ,DELTA,AY,CY,AX,CX,YB,XB,ELEV,A,B,SINAL,COSAL,XDIST
0002      REAL KTAB, KAVE, LGTH
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M2.
C
0003      INTEGER CHKTH
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M3.
C
0004      DIMENSION HCON(60,70),CCMENT(100),NUMLIN(5),PTAB(50,
1          5), KTAB(50,5), JY(12),DELY(12),IX(12),DELX(12),JSTART(10),
2          JSTOP(10),IBEG(10),IEND(10),JBETA(10),JETA(10),BCLJ(10),BCRJ(10),
3          ,ISTART(10),ISTOP(10),JBEG(10),JEND(10),IBETA(10),IETA(10),
4          BCUI(10),BCBI(10),FLUX(10),COORDI(8),COORDJ(8),XBRK(8,5),
5          YBRK(8,5),DYLGT(12),DXLGT(12),STARY(10),STOPY(10),BEGX(10),
6          ENDX(10),STARTX(10),STOPX(10),BEGY(10),ENDY(10),INODE(8),
7          JNODE(8),KD(12),DELK(12),KEND(10),KSTART(10),JBRK(8,5),
8          IBRK(8,5),NUMBRK(5),BCL(10),BCR(10)

```

Text continues on page 40.

```

0005      EQUIVALENCE (PHED(1,1),HEAD(1,1))
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M2.
C
0006      CALL TASKTM
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M4.
C
0007      5 READ (5,10,END=15) ESTIME,KAREAD,KARPCN,ITER,IFILE,
          1 IPSIG,ILSIG,KTABLE,MCHNGE
0008      10 FORMAT (F5.0,8I5)
0009      GO TO 25
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M4
C
0010      15 WRITE (6,20)
0011      20 FORMAT (1H0,10HEND OF JOB )
0012      STOP
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M2 -- NEXT TWO STATEMENTS.
C
0013      25 CHKTM=0
0014      TTIME = 0.
0015      READ (5,30) LGTH,DEPTH,SLOPE,IMGTOP,IMGBOT,IMGLSD,IMGRSD,
          1 INISIG,PHEDS,ELEV
0016      30 FORMAT (2F10.2,F5.2,5I5,2F10.2)
0017      READ (5,35) ITMAX,INTPRT,OMEGA,NOMEGA,NNODES,IDBLE,NCARDY,
          1 NCARDX,JGEOM,IGEOM
0018      35 FORMAT (2I5,F10.2,7I5)
0019      NYCRD = NCARDY
0020      NXCRD = NCARDX
0021      ALPHA = ATAN(SLOPE)
0022      SINL = SIN(ALPHA)
0023      COSAL = COS(ALPHA)
0024      ITMAXS = ITMAX
0025      IF (NNODES.NE.0) READ (5,40) (COORDI(K),COORDJ(K),K=1,NNODES)
0026      40 FORMAT (8F10.2)
0027      READ (5,45) CCMENT
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M3.
C
0028      45 FORMAT (20A4)
0029      READ (5,50) LUNITS,KHPRNT
0030      50 FORMAT (2I5)
0031      READ (5,55) (NUMLIN(NS),NUMBRK(NS),NS=1,LUNITS)
0032      55 FORMAT (10I5)
0033      DO 60 NS = 1,LUNITS
0034          IF (NUMLIN(NS).EQ.999) GO TO 60
0035          IDUM = NUMLIN(NS)
0036          IDUMA = NUMBRK(NS)
0037          READ (5,65) (XBRK(K,NS),YBRK(K,NS),K=1,IDUMA)
0038          IF (KTABE.EQ.1) GO TO 60
0039          READ (5,70) (PTAE(IT,NS),IT = 1,IDUM)
0040          READ (5,70) (KTAB (IT,NS),IT = 1,IDUM)
0041      60      CONTINUE
0042      65 FORMAT(8F10.2)
0043      70 FORMAT (8E10.3)
0044      READ (5,75) (DYLGH(MY), DELY(MY),MY = 1,NCARDY)
0045      READ (5,75) (DXLGH(MX),DELX(MX),MX = 1,NCARDX)
0046      75 FORMAT (4(F10.2,F10.3))
C
C*** CONVERT X,Y COORDINATES TO I,J COORDINATES *****
C
0047      NCARDY = NCARDY + 1
0048      DELY(NCARDY) = DELY(NCARDY-1)
0049      DYLGH(NCARDY) = DEPTH
0050      NCARDX = NCARDX + 1
0051      DELX(NCARDX) = DELX(NCARDX - 1)
0052      DXLGH(NCARDX) = LGTH
0053      ELEVS = ELEV
0054      IF (IMGTOP.EQ.1) ELEV = ELEV + DELY(1)
0055      IF (IMGTOP.EQ.1) GO TO 80

```

```

0056      JY(1) = 1
0057      GO TO 85
0058      80 JY(1) = 2
0059      85 DO 90 MY = 2, NCARDY
0060          IDUM = (DYLGT(MY) - DYLGT(MY-1)) / DELY(MY-1)
0061      90      JY(MY) = JY(MY-1) + IDUM
0062          IF (IMGBOT.EQ.1) JY(NCARDY) = JY(NCARDY) + 1
0063          MROW = JY(NCARDY)
0064          IF (IMGLSD.EQ.1) GO TO 95
0065          IX(1) = 1
0066          GO TO 100
0067      95 IX(1) = 2
0068      100 DO 105 MX = 2, NCARDX
0069          IDUM = (DXLGT(MX) - DXLGT(MX-1)) / DELX(MX-1)
0070      105      IX(MX) = IX(MX-1) + IDUM
0071          IF (IMGRSD.EQ.1) IX(NCARDX) = IX(NCARDX) + 1
0072          MCOL = IX(NCARDX)
0073          DO 130 K = 1, NCDES
0074              SUMX = 0.
0075              MX = 2
0076              IF (IMGLSD.EQ.1) GO TO 110
0077              I = 1
0078              GO TO 115
0079      110      I = 2
0080      115      IDUM = (COORDI(K) + .00005) * 1000.
0081              IF (IDUM.EQ.0) GO TO 125
0082      120      SUMX = SUMX + DELX(MX-1)
0083              IDUMA = (SUMX + .00005) * 1000.
0084              I = I + 1
0085              IF (I.GE.IX(MX)) MX = MX + 1
0086              IF (IDUMA.GE.IDUM) GO TO 125
0087              GO TO 120
0088      125      INODE(K) = I
0089      130      CONTINUE
0090          DO 155 K = 1, NCDES
0091              SUMY = 0.
0092              MY = 2
0093              IF (IMGTOP.EQ.1) GO TO 135
0094              J = 1
0095              GO TO 140
0096      135      J = 2
0097      140      IDUM = (COORDJ(K) + .00005) * 1000.
0098              IF (IDUM.EQ.0) GO TO 150
0099      145      SUMY = SUMY + DELY(MY-1)
0100              IDUMA = (SUMY + .00005) * 1000.
0101              J = J + 1
0102              IF (J.GE.JY(MY)) MY = MY + 1
0103              IF (IDUMA.GE.IDUM) GO TO 150
0104              GO TO 145
0105      150      JNODE(K) = J
0106      155      CONTINUE
0107      NS = 1
0108      160 IJK = NUMBRK(NS)
0109          DO 185 K = 1, IJK
0110              SUMX = 0.
0111              MX = 2
0112              IF (IMGLSD.EQ.1) GO TO 165
0113              I = 1
0114              GO TO 170
0115      165      I = 2
0116      170      IDUM = (XBRK(K,NS) + .00005) * 1000.
0117              IF (IDUM.EQ.0) GO TO 180
0118      175      SUMX = SUMX + DELX(MX-1)
0119              IDUMA = (SUMX + .00005) * 1000.
0120              I = I + 1
0121              IF (I.GE.IX(MX)) MX = MX + 1
0122              IF (IDUMA.GE.IDUM) GO TO 180
0123              GO TO 175
0124      180      IBRK(K,NS) = I
0125              IF (I.EQ.MCOL-1) IBRK(K,NS) = MCOL
0126      185      CONTINUE
0127      DO 210 K = 1, IJK

```

```

0128      SUMY = 0.
0129      MY = 2
0130      IF (IMGTOP.EQ.1) GO TO 190
0131      J = 1
0132      GO TO 195
0133      190 J = 2
0134      195 IDUM = (YBRK(K,NS) + .00005) * 1000.
0135      IF (IDUM.EQ.0) GO TO 205
0136      200 SUMY = SUMY + DELY(MY-1)
0137      IDUMA = (SUMY + .00005) * 1000.
0138      J = J + 1
0139      IF (J.GE.JY(MY)) MY = MY + 1
0140      IF (IDUMA.GE.IDUM) GO TO 205
0141      GO TO 200
0142      205 JBRK(K,NS) = J
0143      IF (J.EQ.MRCW-1) JBRK(K,NS) = MROW
0144      210 CONTINUE
0145      NS = NS + 1
0146      IF (NS.LE.LUNITS) GO TO 160
0147      READ (5,215) (STARTY(NSUBY),STOPY(NSUBY),BEGX(NSUBY),ENDX(NSUBY),
1 JBETA(NSUBY),JETA(NSUBY),BCLJ(NSUBY),BCRJ(NSUBY),NSUBY = 1,
2 JGECM)
0148      215 FORMAT(4F10.2,2I5,2F10.2)
0149      READ (5,220) (STARTX(NSUBX),STOPX(NSUBX),BEGY(NSUBX),ENDY(NSUBX),
1 IBETA(NSUBX),IETA(NSUBX),BCUI(NSUBX),BCBI(NSUBX),FLUX(NSUBX),
2 NSUBX = 1,IGECM)
0150      220 FORMAT(4F10.2,2I5,2F10.2,E10.2)
0151      DO 222 NSUBY = 1,JGECM
0152          BCL(NSUBY) = BCLJ(NSUBY)
0153      222 BCR(NSUBY) = BCRJ(NSUBY)
0154      NSIG = 1
0155      225 GO TO (230,240,245,255),NSIG
0156      230 ICHK = IMGTOP
0157      MCT = JGECM
0158      DO 235 MY = 1,NCARDY
0159          KD(MY) = JY(MY)
0160      235 DELK(MY) = DELY(MY)
0161      GO TO 260
0162      240 MCT = IGECM
0163      GO TO 260
0164      245 ICHK = IMGLSD
0165      MCT = IGECM
0166      DO 250 MX = 1,NCARDX
0167          KD(MX) = IX(MX)
0168      250 DELK(MX) = DELX(MX)
0169      GO TO 260
0170      255 MCT = JGECM
0171      260 DO 370 NCT = 1,MCT
0172          GO TO (265,270,275,280),NSIG
0173      265 SDUMA = STARTY(NCT)
0174          SDUMB = STOPY(NCT)
0175          GO TO 285
0176      270 SDUMA = BEGY(NCT)
0177          SDUMB = ENDY(NCT)
0178          GO TO 285
0179      275 SDUMA = STARTX(NCT)
0180          SDUMB = STOPX(NCT)
0181          GO TO 285
0182      280 SDUMA = BEGX(NCT)
0183          SDUMB = ENDX(NCT)
0184      285 KSIG = 0
0185          SUMY = 0.

```

1) GO TO 290

```

05) * 1000.
305
-1)
05) * 1000.

```

```

0196         IF (J.GE.KD(I)) I = I + 1
0197         IF (K SIG.NE.0) GO TO 310
0198         IF (IDUMA.LT.IDUM) GO TO 300
0199     305     KSTART(NCT) = J
0200         IDUM = (SDUMB + .00005) * 1000.
0201         K SIG = 1
0202         GO TO 300
0203     310     IF (IDUMA-IDUM) 300,315,320
0204     315     KEND(NCT) = J
0205         GO TO 325
0206     320     KEND(NCT) = J - 1
0207     325     GO TO (330,340,350,360),NSIG
0208     330     DO 335 NSUBY = 1,JGECM
0209             JSTART(NSUBY) = KSTART(NSUBY)
0210     335     JSTOP(NSUBY) = KEND(NSUBY)
0211         GO TO 370
0212     340     DO 345 NSUBX = 1,IGECM
0213             JBEG(NSUBX) = KSTART(NSUBX)
0214     345     JEND(NSUBX) = KEND(NSUBX)
0215         GO TO 370
0216     350     DO 355 NSUBX = 1,IGECM
0217             ISTART(NSUBX) = KSTART(NSUBX)
0218     355     ISTOP(NSUBX) = KEND(NSUBX)
0219         GO TO 370
0220     360     DO 365 NSUBY = 1,JGECM
0221             IBEG(NSUBY) = KSTART(NSUBY)
0222     365     IEND(NSUBY) = KEND(NSUBY)
0223     370     CONTINUE
0224         NSIG = NSIG + 1
0225         IF (NSIG.LT.5) GO TO 225
0226         IF (KAREAD.NE.1) GO TO 395
0227         IF (IFILE.NE.0) GO TO 385
0228         READ (5,375) ((PHED(I,J),I = 1,MCOL),J = 1,MROW)
0229     375     FORMAT (6D13.6)
0230     380     MSIG = 0
0231         IF(MCHNGE.EQ.0) GO TO 550
0232         GO TO 420

C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M5.
C
0233     385     DO 390 ICT = 1,IFILE
0234     390     READ(9) PHED
0235         GO TO 380

C
C*** INITIALIZE PHED ARRAY.*****
C
0236     395     IF(INISIG.EQ.0)GO TO 410
0237         ZHED = -ELEV
0238         MY = 1
0239         J = 1
0240     400     DO 405 I = 1, MCOL
0241     405     PHED(I,J) = ZHED
0242         J = J + 1
0243         IF (J.GT.MROW) GO TO 420
0244         ZHED = ZHED + DELY(MY)
0245         IF (J.EQ.JV(MY+1)) MY = MY + 1
0246         GO TO 400
0247     410     DO 415 J = 1,MROW
0248         DO 415 I = 1,MCOL
0249     415     PHED(I,J) = PHEDS
0250     420     MSIG = 0
0251         GO TO 830

C
C*** SET H-SPECIFIED BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AT ENDS OF ROWS.
C     PRIOR TO 1ST ITERATION ONLY.*****
C
0252     425     DO 445 NSUBY = 1,JGECM
0253         IJK = JSTART(NSUBY)
0254         KSTOP = JSTOP(NSUBY)
0255         I = IBEG(NSUBY)
0256         LSTOP = IEND(NSUBY)
0257         IF (JBETA(NSUBY)-1) 430,432,426

```

```

0258 426 MY = 2
0259 427 IF (IJK.LE.JY(MY)) GO TO 428
0260 MY = MY + 1
0261 GO TO 427
0262 428 DO 429 J = IJK,KSTOP
0263 PHED(I-1,J) = BCL(NSUBY)
0264 IF (J.EQ.JY(MY)) MY = MY + 1
0265 429 BCL(NSUBY) = BCL(NSUBY) + DELY(MY-1)
0266 GO TO 432
0267 430 DO 431 J = IJK,KSTOP
0268 431 PHED(I-1,J) = BCLJ(NSUBY)
0269 432 IF (JETA(NSUBY)-1) 437,445,433
0270 433 MY = 2
0271 434 IF (IJK.LE.JY(MY)) GO TO 435
0272 MY = MY + 1
0273 GO TO 434
0274 435 DO 436 J = IJK,KSTOP
0275 PHED(LSTOP+1,J) = BCR(NSUBY)
0276 IF (J.EQ.JY(MY)) MY = MY + 1
0277 436 BCR(NSUBY) = BCR(NSUBY) + DELY(MY-1)
0278 GO TO 445
0279 437 DO 440 J = IJK,KSTOP
0280 440 PHED(LSTOP+1,J) = BCRJ(NSUBY)
0281 445 CONTINUE

C
C*** SET H-SPECIFIED BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AT ENDS OF COLUMNS.
C PRIOR TO 1ST ITERATION ONLY.*****
C*** SET FLUX AND IMPERMEABLE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AT TOPS OF COLUMNS.
C AFTER EACH ITERATION.*****
C
0282 450 DO 545 NSUBX = 1,IGECM
0283 IJK = ISTART(NSUBX)
0284 KSTOP = ISTOP(NSUBX)
0285 J = JREG(NSUBX)
0286 LSTOP = JEND(NSUBX)
0287 IF (IBETA(NSUBX).EQ.0) GO TO 490
0288 MY = 2
0289 460 IF (J-JY(MY)) 470,475,465
0290 465 MY = MY + 1
0291 GO TO 460
0292 470 DEL = 2. * DELY(MY-1) * COSAL
0293 GO TO 480
0294 475 DEL = (DELY(MY-1) + DELY(MY)) * COSAL
0295 480 DO 485 I = IJK,KSTOP
0296 KAVE = (HCON(I,J-1) + HCON(I,J) + HCON(I,J+1))/3.
0297 485 PHED(I,J-1) = PHED(I,J+1) - DEL * (1. + FLUX(NSUBX)/KAVE)
0298 GO TO 500
0299 490 IF (MSIG.NE.0) GO TO 545
0300 DO 495 I = IJK,KSTOP
0301 495 PHED(I,J-1) = BCUI(NSUBX)
0302 500 IF (MSIG.NE.0) GO TO 545
0303 IF (IETA(NSUBX).EQ.0) GO TO 535
0304 J = LSTOP
0305 MY = 2
0306 505 IF (J-JY(MY)) 520,515,510
0307 510 MY = MY + 1
0308 GO TO 505
0309 515 DEL = (DELY(MY-1) + DELY(MY)) * COSAL
0310 GO TO 525
0311 520 DEL = 2. * DELY(MY-1) * COSAL
0312 525 DO 530 I = IJK,KSTOP
0313 530 PHED(I,J+1) = PHED(I,J-1) + DEL
0314 GO TO 545
0315 535 DO 540 I = IJK,KSTOP
0316 540 PHED(I,LSTOP+1) = BCBI(NSUBX)
0317 545 CONTINUE
0318 IF (MSIG.EQ.1) GO TO 1085

C
C*** PRINT HEADING AND INITIALIZATION DATA.*****
C
0319 550 MSIG = 1
0320 WRITE (6,555) CCMENT

```



C  
C\*\*\*\*\* POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M3.  
C

```

0321 555 FORMAT(1H1,20A4/(20A4))
0322 WRITE (6,560)
0323 560 FORMAT (1H0,6HESTIME 5X,6HKAREAD 5X,6HKARPCH 5X,4HITER 5X,
1 SHIFILE 5X,SHIPSIG 5X,SHILSIG 5X,6HKTABLE 5X,6HNMCHNGE )
0324 WRITE (6,565) ESTIME,KAREAD,KARPCH,ITER,IFILE,IPSIG,
1 ILSIG,KTABLE,MCHNGE
0325 565 FORMAT (1H F6.0,I9,2I11,I8,3I10,I12)
0326 WRITE (6,570)
0327 570 FORMAT (1H0 3X,4HLGTH 5X,5HDEPTH 5X,5HSLOPE 5X,6HIMGTOP 5X,6HIMGBD
1T 5X,6HIMGLSD 5X,6HIMGRSD 5X,6HINISIG 5X,5HPHEDS 5X,4HELEV )
0328 WRITE (6,575) LGTH,DEPTH,SLOPE,IMGTOP,IMGBOT,IMGLSD,IMGRSD,
1 INISIG,PHEDS,ELEVS
0329 575 FORMAT (1H F8.2,F10.2,F8.2,I10,4I11,F13.2,F9.2)
0330 WRITE (6,580)
0331 580 FORMAT (1H0 SHITMAX 5X,6HINTPRT 5X,5HOMEGA 5X,6HNODES 5X,6HNNODES
1 5X,SHIDBLE 5X,6HNCRDY 5X,6HNCRDX 5X,5HJGEO 5X,5HIGEO )
0332 WRITE (6,585) ITMAX,INTPRT,OMEGA,NOMEGA,NNODES,IDBLE,NYCRD,
1 NXCRD,JGEO,IGEO
0333 585 FORMAT (1H I4,I10,F11.2,2I10,3I11,2I10)
0334 WRITE (6,590)
0335 590 FORMAT (1H0,37HGEOMETRY AND BOUNDARY CONDITION DATA )
0336 WRITE (6,595)
0337 595 FORMAT (1H0 4HMCOL 5X,4HMROW )
0338 WRITE (6,600) MCOL,MROW
0339 600 FORMAT (1H I4,I9)
0340 WRITE (6,605)
0341 605 FORMAT (1H0,20HFOR ROW SUBSECTIONS )
0342 WRITE (6,610)
0343 610 FORMAT (1H 5HNSUBY 5X,6HSTARTY 5X,5HSTOPY 5X,4HBEGX 5X,4HENDX 5X,
15HJBETA 5X,4HJETA 5X,4HBCLJ 6X,4HBCRJ )
0344 WRITE (6,615) (N,STARTY(N),STOPY(N),BEGX(N),ENDX(N),JBETA(N),
1 JETA(N),BCLJ(N),BCRJ(N),N = 1,JGEO)
0345 615 FORMAT (1H I3,F13.2,F10.2,2F9.2,I8,I10,2F10.2)
0346 WRITE (6,620)
0347 620 FORMAT (1H 5HNSUBY 3X,6HJSTART 3X,5HJSTOP 3X,4HIBEG 3X,4HIEND )
0348 WRITE (6,625) (NSUBY, JSTART(NSUBY),JSTOP(NSUBY),IBEG(NSUBY),
1 IEND(NSUBY),NSUBY = 1,JGEO)
0349 625 FORMAT (1H I3,I9,I8,2I8)
0350 WRITE (6,630)
0351 630 FORMAT (1H0,23HFOR COLUMN SUBSECTIONS )
0352 WRITE (6,635)
0353 635 FORMAT (1H 5HNSUBX 5X,6HSTARTX 5X,5HSTOPX 5X,4HBEGY 5X,4HENDY 5X,
15HIBETA 5X,4HIETA 5X,4HBCUI 6X,4HBCBI 5X,4HFLUX )
0354 WRITE (6,640) (N,STARTX(N),STOPX(N),BEGY(N),ENDY(N),IBETA(N),
1 IETA(N),BCUI(N),BCBI(N),FLUX(N),N=1,IGEO)
0355 640 FORMAT (1H I3,F13.2,F10.2,2F9.2,I8,I10,2F10.2)
0356 WRITE (6,645)
0357 645 FORMAT (1H 5HNSUBX 3X,6HISTART 3X,5HISTOP 3X,4HJBEG 3X,4HJEND )
0358 WRITE (6,625) (NSUBX,ISTART(NSUBX),ISTOP(NSUBX),JBEG(NSUBX),
1 JEND(NSUBX),NSUBX = 1,IGEO)
0359 WRITE (6,650)
0360 650 FORMAT (1H0 55HVARIBLE MESH INCREMENT DATA FOLLOW IN TRIPLETS AS
1XYZ )
0361 WRITE (6,655)
0362 655 FORMAT (1H 5X,67HWHERE X = MEASURED DISTANCE FROM AXIS (DXLGTH OR
1DYLGH) )
0363 WRITE (6,660)
0364 660 FORMAT (1H 11X,36HY = ROW OR COLUMN NUMBER (JY OR IX) )
0365 WRITE (6,665)
0366 665 FORMAT (1H 11X,36HZ = INCREMENT LENGTH (DELY OR DELX) )
0367 WRITE (6,670)
0368 670 FORMAT (1H 9HVERTICAL )
0369 WRITE (6,675) (DYLGH(N),JY(N),DELY(N),N = 1,NCARDY)
0370 675 FORMAT (1H 4(F8.2,I5,F8.3,8X))
0371 WRITE (6,680)
0372 680 FORMAT (1H 11HHORIZONTAL )
0373 WRITE (6,675) (DXLGTH(N),IX(N),DELX(N),N = 1,NCARDX)
0374 DO 755 NS = 1,LUNITS
0375 IF (NUMLIN(NS).EQ.999) GO TO 750
0376 WRITE (6,685) NS

```



```

0377 685 FORMAT(1H0,30X,43HHYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TABLE FOR SOIL UNIT 13)
0378 WRITE (6,690)
0379 690 FORMAT (1H 79HBOTTOM CF UNIT LIES ALONG STRAIGHT LINES CONNECTIN
      1G THE FOLLOWING COORDINATES )
0380 WRITE (6,695)
0381 695 FORMAT(1H 28HAS (X,Y) MEASURED FROM AXES )
0382 IDUM = NUMBRK(NS)
0383 WRITE (6,700) (XBRK(N,NS),YBRK(N,NS), N = 1,IDUM)
0384 700 FORMAT (1H 5(2F9.2,5X))
0385 WRITE (6,705)
0386 705 FORMAT (1H 31HAS COLUMN AND ROW NUMBER (I,J) )
0387 WRITE (6,710) (IBRK(N,NS),JBRK(N,NS), N = 1,IDUM)
0388 710 FORMAT (1H 7(2I5,5X))
0389 WRITE(6,715)
0390 715 FORMAT (1H0,12X,1HP 12X,1HK3(14X,1HP12X,1HK))
0391 NUM = NUMLIN(NS)/4
0392 NUMA = NUM*4
0393 IF (NUMA.EQ.NUMLIN(NS)) GO TO 720
0394 LNUM = 0
0395 NUM = NUM + 1
0396 NUMA = NUM*3
0397 NUMA = NUMLIN(NS) - NUMA
0398 GO TO 725
0399 720 LNUM = 1
0400 NUMA = NUM
0401 725 IT = 1
0402 730 WRITE (6,735) PTAB(IT,NS),KTAB(IT,NS),PTAB(IT+NUM,NS),KTAB
      1 (IT+NUM,NS),PTAB(IT+2*NUM,NS),KTAB(IT+2*NUM,NS),PTAB(IT+
      2 3*NUM,NS),KTAB(IT+3*NUM,NS)
0403 735 FORMAT (1H ,4(5X,E10.3,3X,E10.3))
0404 IT = IT + 1
0405 IF (IT.LE.NUMA) GO TO 730
0406 IF (LNUM.EQ.1) GO TO 755
0407 740 WRITE (6,745) PTAB(IT,NS),KTAB(IT,NS),PTAB(IT+NUM,NS),KTAB
      1 (IT+NUM,NS),PTAB(IT+2*NUM,NS),KTAB(IT+2*NUM,NS)
0408 745 FORMAT (1H ,3(5X,E10.3,3X,E10.3))
0409 IT = IT + 1
0410 IF (IT.LE.NUM) GO TO 740
0411 GO TO 755
0412 750 WRITE (6,760) NS
0413 755 CONTINUE
0414 760 FORMAT (1H0 32HHYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY FOR UNIT 15, 53H IS OBTAIN
      1ED FROM AN EQUATION. SEE PROGRAM LISTING. )
0415 IF (KHPRT.NE.1) GO TO 775
0416 WRITE (6,765)
0417 765 FORMAT (1H0 48X,23HINITIAL K-DISTRIBUTION )
0418 DO 770 J = 1,MROW
0419 770 WRITE(6,1190) J,(HCCN(I,J),I=1,MCOL)
0420 775 IF (IPSIG.NE.1) GO TO 785
0421 WRITE (6,780)
0422 780 FORMAT (1H0 ,40X,39HSTARTING DISTRIBUTION OF PRESSURE HEAD )
0423 LSIG = 2
0424 GO TO 1180
0425 785 IF (NNODES.EQ.0) GO TO 825
0426 WRITE (6,790)
0427 790 FORMAT(1H0 ,70HITERATION NO. AND PRESSURE HEAD AT SELECTED NODES A
      1S IDENTIFIED BELOW )
0428 WRITE (6,795)
0429 795 FORMAT (1H 40HCOORDINATES AS MEASURED FROM AXES (X,Y) )
0430 WRITE (6,800) (COORDI(K),COORDJ(K),K = 1,NNODES)
0431 800 FORMAT(1H 5(F8.2,1H,,F8.2,4X))
0432 WRITE (6,805)
0433 805 FORMAT (1H 43HCOORDINATES AS ROW AND COLUMN NUMBER (I,J) )
0434 WRITE (6,810) (INODE(K),JNODE(K),K=1,NNODES)
0435 810 FORMAT (1H ,4HITER,1X,14,1H,,14,8(4X,14,1H,,14 ) )
0436 WRITE (6,815)
0437 815 FORMAT (1H )
0438 WRITE (6,820) ITER,(PHED(INODE(K),JNODE(K)),K=1,NNODES)
0439 820 FORMAT (1H ,15,9D13.5)
C
C*** BEGIN AN ITERATION.*****
C
0440 825 ITER = ITER + 1

```

```

C
C*** TABLE LOOKUP FOR HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY.*****
C
0441      830 YDIST = 0.
0442      IF (IMGTOP.EQ.1) YDIST = -DELY(1)
0443      MY = 2
0444      J = 1
0445      835 I = 1
0446      MX = 2
0447      XDIST = 0.
0448      IF (IMGLSD.EQ.1) XDIST = - DELX(1)
0449      NS = 1
0450      840 N = 2
0451      845 IF (I.LE.IBRK(N,NS)) GO TO 860
0452      850 N = N + 1
0453      IF (N.LE.NUMBRK(NS)) GO TO 845
0454      855 NS = NS + 1
0455      IF (NS.LE.LUNITS) GO TO 840
0456      GO TO 870
0457      860 GRAD = (YBRK(N,NS) - YBRK(N-1,NS)) / (XBRK(N,NS) - XBRK(N-1,NS))
0458      ELIJ = YBRK(N-1,NS) + (XDIST - XBRK(N-1,NS)) * GRAD
0459      IF (YDIST.LE.ELIJ) GO TO 880
0460      865 NS = NS + 1
0461      IF (NS.LE.LUNITS) GO TO 840
0462      870 WRITE (6,875)
0463      875 FORMAT(1H0 27H SOIL UNIT INPUT DATA ERROR )
0464      LSIG = 1
0465      GO TO 1152
0466      880 IF (NUMLIN(NS).NE.999) GO TO 885

C
C *****INSERT PROGRAMMING FOR CALCULATING K FROM EQUATIONS, IF
C      REQUIRED.
C
0467      IF (MSIG.EQ.0) GO TO 425
0468      GO TO 980
0469      885 IF (PHED(I,J).GE.0.) GO TO 895
0470      IT = NUMLIN(NS)/2
0471      IF (PHED(I,J) - PTAB(IT,NS)) 890,930,900
0472      890 L = 5
0473      GO TO 905
0474      895 HCON(I,J) = KTAB(1,NS)
0475      GO TO 935
0476      900 L = 1
0477      905 IT = L*NUMLIN(NS)/8
0478      IF (PHED(I,J) - PTAB(IT,NS)) 910,930,925
0479      910 L = L + 1
0480      IF (L.LE.8) GO TO 905
0481      915 WRITE (6,920)ITER,I,J
0482      920 FORMAT (1H0,39HK TABLE LIMITS EXCEEDED, ITERATION NO. 15,5H I =
1 15,5H J = 15)
0483      LSIG = 1
0484      GO TO 1180
0485      925 IT = IT - 1
0486      IF (PHED(I,J).GT.PTAB(IT,NS))GO TO 925
0487      930 FACTOR = (PHED(I,J) - PTAB(IT,NS)) / (PTAB(IT+1,NS) - PTAB(IT,NS))
0488      HCON(I,J) = KTAB(IT,NS) + FACTOR * (KTAB(IT+1,NS) - KTAB(IT,NS))
0489      935 I = I + 1
0490      IF (I-MCOL) 950,940,945
0491      940 IF (IMGRSD.EQ.1) GO TO 955
0492      GO TO 950
0493      945 J = J + 1
0494      IF (J.GT.MROW) GO TO 975
0495      IF (J.EQ.MROW.AND.IMGBOT.EQ.1) GO TO 835
0496      YDIST = YDIST + DELY(MY-1)
0497      IF (J.GE.JY(MY)) MY = MY + 1
0498      GO TO 835
0499      950 XDIST = XDIST + DELX(MX-1)
0500      IF (I.GE.IX(MX)) MX = MX + 1
0501      IF (I.GT.IBRK(N,NS)) GO TO 850
0502      ELIJ = YBRK(N-1,NS) + (XDIST - XBRK(N-1,NS)) * GRAD
0503      IF (YDIST.GT.ELIJ) GO TO 865
0504      955 IF (PHED(I,J).GE.0.) GO TO 895
0505      IF (PHED(I,J) - PTAB(IT,NS))960,930,970

```

```

0506     960 IT = IT + 1
0507         IF (IT.GT.NUMLIN(NS))GO TO 915
0508         IF (PHED(I,J) - PTAB(IT,NS)) 960,930,965
0509     965 IT = IT - 1
0510         GO TO 930
0511     970 IT = IT - 1
0512         IF (PHED(I,J).GT.PTAB(IT,NS)) GO TO 970
0513         GO TO 930
0514     975 IF (MSIG.EQ.0) GO TO 425
C
C*** BEGIN EQUIVALENT OF "DO-LOOP" SOLVING FINITE DIFFERENCE
C     EQUATION FOR EACH NODE OF MESH.*****
C
0515     980 DO 1080 NSUBY = 1,JGEOM
C
C*** BEGIN A ROW SUBSECTION.*****
C
0516         J = JSTART(NSUBY)
0517         KSTOP = JSTOP(NSUBY)
0518         IJK = IBEG(NSUBY)
0519         LSTOP = IEND(NSUBY)
C
C*** LOCATE DELTA X AND DELTA Y AT TOP AND LEFT SIDE OF THE
C     ROW SUBSECTION.*****
C
0520         MY = 2
0521     985     IF (J.LE.JY(MY)) GO TO 990
0522         MY = MY + 1
0523         GO TO 985
0524     990     MX = 2
0525     995     IF (IJK.LE.IX(MX)) GO TO 1000
0526         MX = MX + 1
0527         GO TO 995
0528     1000    MXMYST = MX
C
C*** START A ROW WITHIN THE SUBSECTION.*****
C
0529     1005    I = IJK
0530         KBETA = JBETA(NSUBY)
0531         KETA = 0
0532         MX = MXMYST
0533         DELYM = DELY(MY-1)
0534         IF (J.LT.JY(MY)) GO TO 1010
0535         DELYP = DELY(MY)
0536         MY = MY + 1
0537         GO TO 1015
0538     1010    DELYP = DELY(MY-1)
0539     1015    IF (J.NE.KSTOP) GO TO 1045
C
C*** SET IMPERMEABLE BOUNDARY CONDITION, IF REQUIRED, AT BOTTOM
C     OF COLUMNS PRIOR TO SWEEPING LAST ROW OF SUBSECTION.*****
C
0540         DO 1040 NSUBX = 1,IGEOM
0541     1020         IF (J.NE.JEND(NSUBX)) GO TO 1040
0542         IF (IETA(NSUBX).EQ.0) GO TO 1040
0543         IF (J.EQ.JY(MY-1)) GO TO 1025
0544         DEL = 2. * DELY(MY-1) * COSAL
0545         GO TO 1030
0546     1025    DEL = (DELY(MY-2) + DELY(MY-1)) * COSAL
0547     1030    IDUMA = ISTART(NSUBX)
0548         IDUM = ISTOP(NSUBX)
0549         DO 1035 K = IDUMA,IDUM
0550             PHED(K,J+1) = PHED(K,J-1) + DEL
0551     1040    CONTINUE
C
C*** CALCULATIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL NODE WITHIN A ROW STARTS HERE*****
C
0552     1045    DELXM = DELX(MX-1)
0553         IF (I.LT.IX(MX)) GO TO 1050
0554         DELXP = DELX(MX)
0555         MX = MX + 1
0556         GO TO 1055

```

```

0557 1050 DELXP = DELX(MX-1)
C
C*** PREPARE PARAMETERS FOR FINITE DIFFERENCE EQUATION.*****
C
0558 1055 YA = .5 * (HCON(I,J) + HCON(I,J-1))
0559 YC = .5 * (HCON(I,J) + HCON(I,J+1))
0560 XA = .5 * (HCON(I,J) + HCON(I-1,J))
0561 XC = .5 * (HCON(I,J) + HCON(I+1,J))
0562 HEDA = PHED(I-1,J)
0563 HEDB = PHED(I+1,J)
0564 IF (KBETA.EQ.0) GO TO 1060
0565 KBETA = 0
0566 XA = XC
0567 HEDA = HEDB + (DELXM + DELXP) * SINL
0568 GO TO 1065
0569 1060 IF (KETA.EQ.0) GO TO 1065
0570 XC = XA
0571 HEDB = HEDA - (DELXM + DELXP) * SINL
0572 1065 AY = YA / DELYM
0573 CY = YC / DELYP
0574 AX = XA / DELXM
0575 CX = XC / DELXP
0576 YB = (DELYP * YA + DELYM * YC) / (DELYM * DELYP)
0577 XB = (DELXP * XA + DELXM * XC) / (DELXM * DELXP)
0578 EY = 2. / (DELYM + DELYP)
0579 EX = 2. / (DELXM + DELXP)
0580 DELTA = ((HCON(I,J-1) - HCON(I,J+1)) / (DELYM + DELYP)) *
1 COSAL + ((HCON(I-1,J) - HCON(I+1,J)) / (DELXM + DELXP)) *
2 SINL
C
C*** THE FINITE DIFFERENCE EQUATION.*****
C
0581 PHED(I,J) = (1.- OMEGA) * PHED(I,J) + OMEGA *
1 (EX * (AX * HEDA + CX * HEDB) +
2 EY * (AY * PHED(I,J-1) + CY * PHED(I,J+1)) + DELTA) /
3 (EX * XB + EY * YB)
0582 I = I + 1
0583 IF (I-LSTOP) 1045,1070,1075
0584 1070 KETA = JETA(NSUBY)
0585 GO TO 1045
0586 1075 J = J + 1
0587 IF (J.LE.KSTOP) GO TO 1005
0588 1080 CONTINUE
0589 GO TO 450
C
C*** THIS COMPLETES AN ITERATION*****
C*** CHECK WHETHER TO PRINT, ON CPU TIME, AND ON NUMBER OF
C ITERATIONS*****
C
0590 1085 IPRINT = ITER/INTPRT
0591 IPRINT = INTPRT * IPRINT
0592 IF (ITER.NE.IPRINT) GO TO 825
0593 IF (NNODES.EQ.0) GO TO 1090
0594 WRITE (6,820) ITER,(PHED(INODE(K),JNODE(K)),K=1,NNODES)
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M2 -- NEXT 3 STATEMENTS.
C
0595 1090 CALL TASKTM(CHKTM)
0596 TTIME = TTIME + CHKTM
0597 TIME = TTIME / 1000.
0598 IF (TIME.GE.ETIME) GO TO 1100
0599 IF (ITER.LT.ITMAX) GO TO 825
0600 IF (ILSIG.NE.1) GO TO 1110
0601 WRITE (6,1095) ITER
0602 1095 FORMAT (1H0,33X,33HPRESSURE HEAD DISTRIBUTION AFTER 15,
112H ITERATIONS )
0603 LSIG = 3
0604 GO TO 1180
0605 1100 LSIG = 4
0606 WRITE (6,1105)
0607 1105 FORMAT (1H0 16HETIME EXCEEDED )
0608 1110 IF (KARPCH.NE.1) GO TO 1145

```

```

C
C*** PUNCH ON CARDS OR WRITE ON TAPE RESTART*****
C
0609      IF (IFILE.NE.0) GO TO 1130
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M4.
C
0610      WRITE (7,375) ((PHED(I,J),I = 1,MCOL),J = 1,MROW)
0611      WRITE (7,1120)
0612      1120 FORMAT (16H*****END OF FILE)
0613      WRITE (6,1125)
0614      1125 FORMAT (1H0,16HRESTART PUNCHED )
0615      GO TO 1140
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M5.
C
0616      1130 WRITE(10)PHED
0617      WRITE (6,1135)
0618      1135 FORMAT (1H0,24HRESTART WRITTEN ON TAPE )
0619      1140 IF (IDBLE.NE.1.OR.TIME.GE.ESTIME) GO TO 1145
0620      IDBLE = 0
0621      ITMAX = ITMAX + 15
0622      GO TO 825
0623      1145 WRITE (6,1150) TIME
0624      1150 FORMAT (1H0,17HTOTAL CASE TIME =,F10.6,10H SECONDS. )
0625      1152 IF (NOMEGA.NE.0) GO TO 1205
0626      IF (LSIG.EQ.1) GO TO 5
C
C*** CONVERT PRESSURE HEAD TO HYDRAULIC HEAD,*****
C
0627      J = 1
0628      MY = 2
0629      1155 A = ELEV * COSAL
0630      I = 0
0631      MX = 2
0632      XDIST = 0
0633      IF (IMGLSD.EQ.1) XDIST = -DELX(1)
0634      1160 B = XDIST * SINL
0635      I = I + 1
0636      IF (I.GT.MCOL) GO TO 1165
0637      XDIST = XDIST + DELX(MX-1)
0638      IF(IX(MX).EQ.I) MX= MX + 1
0639      HEAD(I,J) = PHED(I,J) + A + B
0640      GO TO 1160
0641      1165 J = J + 1
0642      IF (J.GT.MROW) GO TO 1170
0643      ELEV = ELEV - DELY(MY-1)
0644      IF (JY(MY).EQ.J) MY= MY + 1
0645      GO TO 1155
0646      1170 WRITE (6,1175)
0647      1175 FORMAT (1H1,46X,28HHYDRAULIC HEAD DISTRIBUTION )
0648      LSIG = 4
C
C*** OBTAIN PRINTOUT OF "PHED" ARRAY OR "HEAD" ARRAY.*****
C
0649      1180 DO 1185 J = 1,MROW
0650      1185      WRITE (6,1190) J,(PHED(I,J),I = 1,MCOL)
0651      1190 FORMAT (1H 13,2X,10D12.5/(6X,10D12.5))
0652      IF (LSIG -2) 1152,785,1200
0653      1200 IF (LSIG.EQ.4) GO TO 5
0654      GO TO 1110
C
C*** READ IN OMEGA VALUE FOR NEXT SEGMENT OF SEGMENTED RUN.*****
C
0655      1205 READ (5,1210) OMEGA,ITMAX
0656      1210 FORMAT (F5.2,I5)
0657      IF (ITMAX.EQ.0) GO TO 5
0658      IF (LSIG.EQ.1) GO TO 1205
0659      WRITE (6,1215) OMEGA,ITMAX
0660      1215 FORMAT (1H0 22HCONTINUE WITH OMEGA = F 5.2,10H, ITMAX = I5)
0661      GO TO 825
0662      END

```




Figure 12.--Flow chart for STDY2.







Figure 12.--Continued.

### Flow chart

The flow chart (fig. 12) contains the major branching points in the STDY2 program listing. A major branch, in this context, significantly shifts the flow of the program from one part of the listing to another.

The numbers over each box of the flow chart key the operation(s) described in that box to statements in the program listing. When the number key over a box consists of the end points of a range of numbers, the program processes the included statements in the order of their appearance as modified by local branching to nearby statements.

### Glossary of input variables

Input variables are defined in the following glossary in the order in which they must appear in the input data deck. As noted in their explanations, not all card groups are needed for every case.

Figure 13 shows punchcard layouts for the input data. The format number at the left end of each card serves to identify it with an identically numbered format statement in the STDY2 program listing. Each layout image

Text continues on page 45.



Figure 13.--Continued.

[illegible]

Figure 13.—Continued.

[illegible]

Figure 13.--Continued.

[illegible]

represents a group of one or more cards. They are in the order of their appearance in the input deck, which is also the order in which they are discussed in the glossary. Some of these variables were also discussed in the text and their use illustrated in the sample problem. Besides variable names, Fortran formats are given in the figure and the glossary. A sample entry of each variable is shown in figure 13.

The input deck for any given run may include the data for as many cases as the user desires. Simply add one case behind another, that is, card group 1 for case m follows immediately behind the last card group of case m-1.

Card Group 1 - A single card. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (F5.0,8I5).

ESTIME - Time in seconds that user allots for the processing of a case within a computer's central processing unit. It obtains restart data before a run stops when it exceeds the time limit given on the job control card. This latter limit must be greater than ESTIME by at least the amount of time necessary to compile the run. CAUTION: The frequency of time checks depends on INTPRT--see card group 3. When several cases follow each other in a single run, the job control card time should exceed the sum of the several ESTIME values. CAUTION: When a run enters an endless loop because of an input data error, ESTIME cannot be checked. See the discussion of ITMAX, card group 3, for the procedure to follow when initializing a case--or when making a major change in input data.

KAREAD = 1 means restart data are read in.

\* 1 means case starts from one of program-generated initial PHED-arrays.

KARPCN = 1 means restart data are punched or written on magnetic tape at the end of case processing.

\* 1 means restart data are not saved in punched card or magnetic tape form.

ITER - Iteration number. Its input value should be 0 when starting to solve a new case. Otherwise, it should have the value of the number of the iteration at the end of which the restart data were produced. The value of ITER is not critical to the solution, but the number of iterations processed by a run is ITMAX-ITER. So, if one increases ITMAX without increasing ITER, he will get more iterations than he wants or else ESTIME will be exceeded.

IFILE = 0 for card readin, card punchout of restart PHED data.

\* 0 for tape readin, tape readout of restart PHED data.

A given input tape may have several files or PHED-arrays.<sup>5</sup>

The value assigned IFILE for reading that tape may be determined as follows:

IFILE = R - S

where R = the number representing the position on the tape of the desired file.

S = the number representing the position on the tape of the file read in by the preceding case (has the value zero for the first case of a run).

For example, if the first case of a new run should start from the PHED-array of the first file, then IFILE = 1 - 0 = 1. If the second case must then use the fourth file, IFILE = 4 - 1 = 3. Again, if the third case should use the sixth file, IFILE = 6 - 4 = 2. When the initial PHED-array is set up under control of INISIG, card group 2, IFILE must either be 0 to produce punchcard restart or any number other than 0 to produce tape restart.

IPSIG = 1 to print PHED-distribution with initialization data.

\* 1 to suppress print of PHED-distribution during initialization.

ILSIG = 1 to print PHED-distribution at end of case.

\* 1 to suppress print of PHED-distribution at end of case.

KTABLE = 1 to retain h-K table from the immediately preceding case of the same run for use in processing a new case.

\* 1 to read new h-K table before processing a case.

KTABLE must be 0 or some value other than 1 for first case of run.

MCHNGE = 0 means that restart data have not been modified in any way after they were punched at the end of the preceding run, so that boundary conditions in the deck are compatible with the pressure head distribution of the deck.

\* 0 means that restart data to be read in have been processed through program CARRY or modified in some other way such that boundary conditions must be set during initialization of the new run. MCHNGE may also be given a value other than 0 for a normally restarting run when the user wants to print the starting distribution of K-values. See KHPRNT, card group 6.

MCHNGE has no meaning for a run not starting with a restart PHED-array.

Card Group 2 - A single card. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (2F10.2,F5.2,5I5,2F10.2)

LGTH - Perpendicular distance from y-axis to the rightmost boundary of the flow system. See page 6 Solution Mesh and the Cartesian Coordinate System.

DEPTH - Perpendicular distance from x-axis to the lowest boundary of the flow system.

SLOPE - Tangent of the angle between the x-axis and the horizontal.

IMGTOP = 1 if any part of top cross section boundary coincident with x-axis is impermeable or is subject to a non-zero flux.

\* 1 if all parts of the top boundary are subject to a specified pressure head.

IMGBOT = 1 if lower cross section boundary (or its lowest segment, if complex) is impermeable.

\* 1 otherwise.

IMGLSD =



PHEDS - The beginning PHED-value for each node in the solution mesh except those on  $h$ -specified boundaries when beginning the processing of a new case. Has no meaning for restarts. Has no meaning if INISIG = 1.

ELEV - Elevation above a datum of the origin of coordinates of the Cartesian coordinate system. It is often convenient to set the datum at the lower left-hand corner of the cross section, but its position may be completely arbitrary. See INISIG.

Card Group 3 - A single card. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (2I5,F10.2,7I5)

ITMAX - ITMAX-ITER is the number of iterations to be processed during a given run. When this number is reached, if KARPCH = 1 (card group 1), restart data are obtained in cards or on tape. This is the best way to stop the processing of a case. Also see the definition for IDBLE below. If the case is segmented to try different values of OMEGA, this is the number of iterations allotted to the first OMEGA value. The value given ITMAX should be a multiple of that given INTPRT. When starting a new case or when making such major changes in input data as changing  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$ , ITMAX should be given a small value, say from 1 to 3, to obtain just enough iterations to make sure no errors have been made in the input data. For such a run, the time limit on the job control card should be set at only 5 seconds or so to assure that an input error that throws the program into an endless loop does not result in the use of excessive computer time.

INTPRT - The number of iterations between printouts of pressure heads for selected nodes. Time checks (for comparison with ESTIME, card group 1) and iteration checks (for comparison with ITMAX) take place only after such printouts. Even if no nodes are selected for printing (see NNODES), INTPRT must be given a value to control the frequency of checking ESTIME and ITMAX.

OMEGA - The overrelaxation factor ( $\omega$ ). If the case is segmented and several  $\omega$ -values tested, this is the initial  $\omega$ -value.

NOMEGA = 0 for normal, unsegmented case.

\* 0 for case segmented for changing relaxation factor ( $\omega$ ).

When KARPCH = 1, NOMEGA  $\neq$  0 results in a program-defined file of PHED data in cards or magnetic tape for each value of  $\omega$ .

NNODES - The number of nodes selected for printing under control of INTPRT. May range from 0 to 8.

IDBLE = 1 means that two sets of restart data 15 iterations apart are wanted for convergence checking by program COMPAR. In this event, 15 iterations in excess of the given ITMAX are processed. KARPCH must have the value 1.

\* 1 means that case stops at the end of ITMAX iterations with only one restart data set (the latter is obtained only if KARPCH = 1).

NCARDY - The maximum value of MY, that is, the number of pairs of DYLGTH(MY), DELY(MY). See card group 9.

NCARDX - The maximum value of MX, that is, the number of pairs of DXLGTH(MX), DELX(MX). See card group 10.

JGEOM - The number of row subsections into which a flow region has been divided. See card group 11.

IGEOM - The number of column subsections into which a flow region has been divided. See card group 12.

Card Group 4 - An optional card group which must be included if NNODES (card group 3) is different from 0. Consists of one or two cards, depending on the number of nodes selected for printing under control of INTPRT. Format (8F10.2)--up to four pairs of the following variables per card. Unneeded fields may be blank.

COORDI(K) - The  $x$ -coordinate of the  $K$ th node selected for printing under control of INTPRT.

COORDJ(K) - The  $y$ -coordinate (positive down) of the  $K$ th node selected for printing under control of INTPRT.

NOTE: For use in the model, the above must be converted to I,J coordinates. If a point identified by its  $x,y$  coordinates as given by the above variables does not coincide with a node of the solution mesh, the nearest node to the right and below the  $x,y$  position will be used.

Card Group 5 - Five cards, even if some or all of them are blank, must be in input deck for processing each case. Format (20A4)

COMENT - Variable representing the string of alphanumeric characters that serve as an identification and heading for a case's printout.

Card Group 6 - A single card that must be present in the input deck for processing each case. Format (2I5)

LUNITS - The number of soil units present in the cross section. May be any number up to and including 5.

KHPRNT = 1 if the starting  $K$ -distribution is printed.  $\neq$  1 otherwise.

NOTE: The facility for printing the  $K$ -distribution is provided as a useful way to check whether soil unit boundaries have been assigned correctly in the solution mesh. The user should set INISIG = 0 and PHEDS  $\geq$  0.00 (both in card group 2). This will specify a starting condition of  $h \geq 0$  at all nodes so that the printed  $K$ -distribution will contain only saturated hydraulic conductivities. With such a distribution, it is relatively easy to correlate nodes and soil units. A single iteration is all that is needed to make this check. Then the case may be started over again with whatever initial PHED-distribution is most appropriate to the case. The initial  $K$ -distribution will contain meaningless data if KAREAD = 1 (if the case is being restarted), unless MCHNGE has some value other than 0.

Card Group 7 - A single card which must be present in the input deck for processing each case. Format (10I5)--up to five pairs of the following variables. Unneeded fields may be blank.

NUMLIN(NS) - Number of pairs of values of pressure head vs. hydraulic conductivity appearing in the  $N$ th  $h$ - $K$  table.

= 999 indicates that  $h$ - $K$  relation is given by equation rather than by table.

NUMBRK(NS) - Number of  $x,y$  coordinate pairs needed to describe the lower boundary of the  $N$ th soil unit. See XBRK(K), YBRK(K), card group 8. NUMBRK(NS) has a minimum value of 2 for each soil unit.

Card Group 8 - A multiple card group. The input deck must contain a separate card group 8 for each soil unit in the modeled cross section.

Subset a - One or two cards depending upon the number of  $x,y$  coordinate pairs needed to describe the lower boundary of a soil unit. Format (8F10.2)--up to four pairs per card of the following variables. Unneeded fields may be blank.

XBRK(N,NS) - The  $x$ -coordinate of the  $N$ th breakpoint in the bottom boundary of the  $N$ th soil unit. Such a boundary, which may be curving or complex in shape, may be approximated by a series of up to seven straight lines, the meeting point between two consecutive segments of different slope being called a breakpoint. An intersection of the lower boundary of a soil unit with a boundary of the modeled cross section is also considered a breakpoint. So, each soil unit has a minimum of two lower-boundary breakpoints.

YBRK(N,NS) - The  $y$ -coordinate (positive down) of the  $N$ th breakpoint in the bottom boundary of the  $N$ th soil unit.

NOTE: The note appended to card group 4 applies to this subset also.

Subset b - One or more cards depending on NUMLIN(NS) (card group 7). This subset is absent from the input deck if NUMLIN(NS) = 999. It is also omitted if KTABLE = 1 (card group 1). Format (8E10.3)--eight values of the following variable per card--unneeded fields in the last card may be blank.

PTAB(IT,NS) - The array of pressure heads in the table of pressure head versus hydraulic conductivity for soil unit NS. Subscript IT identifies the particular line of the table, that is,  $1 \leq IT \leq \text{NUMLIN(NS)}$ . The order of entry should be from high pressure to low (in the order of increasing suction).

Subset c - One or more cards depending on NUMLIN(NS) (card group 7). This subset is absent from the input deck if NUMLIN(NS) = 999. It is also omitted if KTABLE = 1 (card group 1). Format (8E10.3) - eight values of the following variable per card--unneeded fields in the last card may be blank.

KTAB(IT,NS) - The array of hydraulic conductivities in the table of pressure head versus hydraulic conductivity for soil unit NS. See discussion of subset b. There should be the same number of KTAB entries as there are PTAB entries. KTAB(1,NS) should be the saturated value of K, corresponding to  $h = 0 = \text{PTAB}(1,NS)$ . KTAB(2,NS) should be the K-value corresponding to PTAB(2,NS) and so on.

Card Group 9 - One to three cards depending on the number of changes in  $\Delta y$  in the solution mesh. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4(F10.2,F10.3))--up to four pairs of the following variables per card--unneeded fields in the last card may be blank.

DYLGTH(MY) - The distance from the  $x$ -axis to the MYth boundary between regions of different  $\Delta y$  in the solution mesh. The  $x$ -axis itself is the first such boundary, so DYLGTH(1) = 0.00. If  $\Delta y$  is constant throughout the solution mesh, only DYLGTH(1) is needed. One does not need to measure DYLGTH values precisely. If DYLGTH(MY) does not correspond exactly to the J-value of some row of the solution mesh, then  $\Delta y$  in that mesh will change at the row immediately above the indicated position.

DELY(MY) - The MYth value of  $\Delta y$ .

Card Group 10 - One to three cards depending on the number of changes in  $\Delta x$  in the solution mesh. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4(F10.2,F10.3))--up to four pairs of the following variables per card--unneeded fields in the last card may be blank.

DXLGTH(MX) - The distance from the  $y$ -axis to the MXth boundary between regions of different  $\Delta x$  in the solution mesh. The  $y$ -axis itself is the first such boundary, so DXLGTH(1) = 0. If  $\Delta x$  is constant throughout the solution mesh, only DXLGTH(1) is needed. One does not need to measure DXLGTH values exactly. If DXLGTH(MX) does not correspond exactly to the I-value of some column of the solution mesh, then  $\Delta x$  in that mesh will change at the column immediately to the left of the indicated position.

DELX(MX) - The MXth value of  $\Delta x$ .

Card Group 11 - One card for each row subsection. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4F10.2,2I5,2F10.2)--one set of the following variables per card.

STARTY(NSUBY) - The distance from the  $x$ -axis to the top boundary row of row subsection NSUBY. NSUBY is an index variable taking the values 1, 2, . . . , JGEOM. See page 8, Subdivision of Flow Cross Section and the note below for details of dividing the cross section into subsections.

STORY(NSUBY) - The distance from the  $x$ -axis to the bottom boundary row of row subsection NSUBY.

BRGX(NSUBY) - The distance from the  $y$ -axis to the left-hand boundary column of row subsection NSUBY.

ENDX(NSUBY) - The distance from the  $y$ -axis to the right-hand boundary column of row subsection NSUBY.

JBETA(NSUBY) - Signals type of boundary condition at the beginning of rows in subsection NSUBY.

= 0 where  $h$  is known and has the same value everywhere on the boundary. An exception to the condition of  $h$  being equal everywhere on the boundary is discussed on page 25.

= 1 for impervious boundary.

= 2 where the  $h$ -distribution along the boundary is hydrostatic.

JETA(NSUBY) - Signals type of boundary condition at the ends of rows in subsection NSUBY.

= 0 where  $h$  is known and has the same value everywhere on the boundary. See JBETA(NSUBY) for an exception.

= 1 for impervious boundary.

= 2 where the  $h$ -distribution along the boundary is hydrostatic.

BCLJ(NSUBY) - The pressure head for an  $h$ -specified boundary at the left end of the rows in subsection NSUBY. If  $h$  is distributed hydrostatically, this is the value of  $h$  at the left end of the top row of subsection NSUBY. Has no meaning for an impervious boundary or for the pressure head boundary discussed on page 25.

BCRJ(NSUBY) - The pressure head for an  $h$ -specified boundary at the right end of the rows in subsection NSUBY. If  $h$  is distributed hydrostatically, this is the value of  $h$  at the right end of the top row of subsection NSUBY. Has no meaning for an impervious boundary or for the pressure head boundary discussed on page 25.

NOTE: Before being used for program control in a computer, the first two variables on this card are converted to the J-value of the top and bottom boundary rows of subsection NSUBY. The next pair of variables is converted to the I-value of the left and right boundary columns of the same subsection.

Consider two row subsections, one of which lies immediately above the other. At the point where the two subsections connect, there is either a geometrical change or a boundary condition change. In either event, one usually selects  $\Delta y$ -values so that the point of change coincides with a row of nodes. Such a row is in a fixed position relative to the  $x$ -axis, though its J-value will change if  $\Delta y$  between the  $x$ -axis and the row changes. Other rows in the vicinity are subject to change both in position and in J-value when  $\Delta y$  changes. The row of fixed position will either be the bottom boundary of the upper subsection or the top boundary of the lower. Thus, the floating row immediately below it or above it, respectively, will be a boundary of the other subsection. These floating subsection boundaries are also encountered next to boundaries on which pressure heads are specified because such boundaries are fixed but are not included in cross sections.

Because it depends on  $\Delta y$  or  $\Delta x$ , the exact position of a floating column or boundary may be tedious to determine and may also change if mesh increments are changed during the course of solving a given case. Determining them exactly is not necessary, however, if one follows certain precautions. In general, these rules should guide the specification of subsection boundary positions:

1. The position of fixed boundaries should be specified exactly as a distance  $x$  or  $y$ .
2. For a floating boundary next to a fixed row or column:
  - a. If nearer the principal axis than the fixed line, measure to the latter and subtract some quantity that is smaller than the smallest mesh increment likely to be used.

b. If farther from the principal axis than the fixed line, measure to the latter and add some quantity that is smaller than the smallest mesh increment likely to be used. The small quantities mentioned in 2a and 2b above should not be smaller than 0.001.

If  $h$  at one or both ends of a subsection is distributed hydrostatically, one must specify its value(s) for the top row of the subsection. Thus, if that row is a floating boundary, one has to locate it precisely to specify  $h$ . STARTY(NSUBX) may be given either precisely or according to the method given in the preceding paragraph, but  $h$  must be given its exact value.

Card Group 12 - One card for each column subsection. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4F10.2, 2I5, 2F10.2, E10.2)--one set of the following variables per card.

STARTX(NSUBX) - The distance from the  $y$ -axis to the left-hand boundary column of column subsection NSUBX. NSUBX is an index variable taking the values 1, 2, . . . , IGEOM. See page 8, Sub-division of Flow Cross Section, and the note at the end of card group 11 for detailed discussion of dividing a cross section into subsections.

STOPX(NSUBX) - The distance from the  $y$ -axis to the right-hand boundary column of column subsection NSUBX.

BEGY(NSUBX) - The distance from the  $x$ -axis to the top boundary row of column subsection NSUBX.

ENDY(NSUBX) - The distance from the  $x$ -axis to the bottom boundary row of column subsection NSUBX.

IBETA(NSUBX) - Signals type of boundary condition at the top of the columns in subsection NSUBX.  
= 0 for known  $h$  boundary.  
≠ 0 for impervious or flux boundary.

IETA(NSUBX) - Signals type of boundary condition at the bottom of the columns in subsection NSUBX.  
= 0 for known  $h$  boundary.  
≠ 0 for impervious boundary.

BCUI(NSUBX) - The pressure head for an  $h$ -specified boundary at the tops of columns in subsection NSUBX. Has no meaning for an impervious or flux boundary.

BCBI(NSUBX) - The pressure head for an  $h$ -specified boundary at the bottoms of columns in subsection NSUBX. Has no meaning for an impervious boundary.

FLUX(NSUBX) - The flux of water perpendicular to the upper, horizontal surface of column subsection NSUBX. Units should be the same as hydraulic conductivity units. For an impervious surface, FLUX = 0.0. Has no meaning for an  $h$ -specified boundary.

Card Group 13 - A multiple card group produced by a previous run for restart purposes. May also be a keypunched initialization deck when a user has some way to closely approximate the solution PHED-array. For a new run for which the user cannot give an approximate PHED-distribution, there is no card group 13. When a magnetic tape is used for restart data, there is no card group 13. Format (6D13.6)--six values of the following variable per card--unnecessary fields in the last card may be blank.

PHED(I,J) - The pressure head value at node I,J as it was at the end of the last iteration of the previous run.

NOTE: See discussion of DOUBLE PRECISION mentioned previously under Model Dimensions, page 26. When magnetic tape is used for input/output and when it is anticipated that CARRY might subsequently be used to refine the mesh size, then PHED should be dimensioned for the most refined mesh expected, that is, so that I,J in PHED(I,J) for the DOUBLE PRECISION statement have the largest values they are ever expected to have for the case at hand.

NOTE: For cross sections containing a large number of nodes, using a magnetic tape in place of card group 13 is faster, cheaper, and easier. This calls for the inclusion of tape assignment cards among the job control cards given ahead of the source deck. It also calls for giving IFILE (card group 1) some value besides 0. KAREAD (card group 1), however, must have the value 1 for tape readin as well as for card readin. See the discussion of IFILE, card group 1.

Card Group 14 - A group consisting of two or more cards. Present in the input deck only if the processing of a case is segmented to try different overrelaxation factors (NOMEGA = 1). Format (F5.2, I5)--one set of the following variables per card.

OMEGA - See same variable in card group 2. When given in this card group, OMEGA is the 2d, 3d, 4th, . . . value of  $\omega$  to be tried while processing the case at hand.

ITMAX - See same variable in card group 2. When given in this card group, ITMAX is the value of the iteration number (accumulating) at which processing using the associated  $\omega$ -value will stop. ITMAX should have a value that is a multiple of INTPRT.

= 0 on final card of this group.

## Glossary of noninput variables

A - Used in calculation of HEAD.

ALPHA - Represents the angle whose tangent is the value SLOPE (input card group 2).

AX - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$= \frac{K_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x_-}$$

AY - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$= \frac{K_{i,j-1}}{\Delta y_-}$$

B - Used in calculation of HEAD.

BCL(NSUBY) = BCLJ(NSUBY) and used to set hydrostatic boundary condition.

BCR(NSUBY) = BCRJ(NSUBY) and used to set hydrostatic boundary condition.

CHKTM - To set or reset computer clock and to read elapsed time. This variable might not be necessary at other facilities.

COSAL - Cosine of the angle ALPHA.

CX - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$= \frac{K_{i+1,j}}{\Delta x_+}$$

CY - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$= \frac{K_{i,j+1}}{\Delta y_+}$$

DEL - Used in setting impermeable and flux boundary conditions at ends of columns and is the elevation difference between an imaginary node and its real counterpart immediately inside the boundary.

DELK(MY) = DELK(MX) or DELY(MY) (input card groups 10 and 9) introduced so that either could be used in a single algorithm.

DELTA - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$= \left( \frac{K_{i,j-1}}{\Delta y_-} - \frac{K_{i,j+1}}{\Delta y_+} \right) \cos \alpha + \left( \frac{K_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x_-} - \frac{K_{i+1,j}}{\Delta x_+} \right) \sin \alpha$$

DELXM =  $\Delta x_-$ , that is,  $\Delta x$  to the left of a node.

DELXP =  $\Delta x_+$ , that is,  $\Delta x$  to the right of a node.

DELYM =  $\Delta y_-$ , that is,  $\Delta y$  above a node.

DELYP =  $\Delta y_+$ , that is,  $\Delta y$  below a node.

ELEVS - Readin value of ELEV, saved because the latter is modified twice in the program.  
 ELIJ - Elevation of node I,J; used in determining which soil unit applies at node I,J.  
 EX - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$= \frac{2}{\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+}$$

EY - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$= \frac{2}{\Delta y_- + \Delta y_+}$$

FACTOR - Interpolation factor for calculating hydraulic conductivity when the corresponding pressure head lies between two entries in the table of PTAB versus KTAB.

GRAD - Represents the slope of a straight-line segment in the lower boundary of a soil unit. Used in determining which soil unit applies at each node.

HCON(I,J) - Conductivity for node I,J.

HEAD(I,J) - Hydraulic head at node I,J.

HEDA - Dummy variable that represents PHED(I-1,J) in finite difference equation. Provides vehicle for substituting

$$PHED(I+1,J) + (\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+) \sin \alpha$$

in equation for left boundary node when that boundary is impermeable.

HEDB - Dummy variable that represents PHED(I+1,J) in finite difference equation. Provides vehicle for substituting

$$PHED(I-1,J) - (\Delta x_- + \Delta x_+) \sin \alpha$$

in equation for right boundary node when that boundary is impermeable.

I - Column number, I, in the finite difference equation. Also used as a DO loop index.

IBEG(NSUBY) - The I-value of the left-hand boundary column of row subsection NSUBY.

IBRK(N,NS) - The I-coordinate of the Nth breakpoint in the bottom boundary of the NSth soil unit.

ICLK - Represents IMGTOP or IMGSD (input card group 2) so that either can appear in a single algorithm.

ICT - Counter used in reading restart tape. Enables program to skip over unwanted files of data on a tape produced by a multicase run.

IDUM - Dummy variable that represents other variables where the latter, because of subscripting or because they are of the REAL type, cannot be used in USASI Fortran.

IDUMA - Dummy variable used in the same way as IDUM.

IEND(NSUBY) - The I-value of the right-hand boundary column of row subsection NSUBY.

IJK - Dummy variable that represents a given value of I or J as the starting index of a DO loop, using I or J as an index.

INODE(K) - The I-coordinate of the Kth node selected for printing under control of INTPRT.

IPRINT - Used with INTPRT (input card group 3) in controlling frequency of printing PHED-values for selected nodes and also frequency of checking elapsed time and number of iterations processed.

ISTART(NSUBX) - The I-value of the left-hand boundary column of column subsection NSUBX.

ISTOP(NSUBX) - The I-value of the right-hand boundary column of column subsection NSUBX.

ITMAXS - A storage variable for ITMAX. When changing OMEGA values in a run, each  $\omega$  is used a number of iterations equal to ITMAX. ITMAXS is set equal to ITMAX at the beginning and increments ITMAX each time a new  $\omega$  is read.

IX(MX) - The I-value of the MXth column at which  $\Delta x$  changes in value.

J - Row number, J, in the finite difference equation.

JBEG(NSUBX) - The J-value of the top boundary row of column subsection NSUBX.

JBRK(N,NS) - The J-coordinate of the Nth breakpoint in the bottom boundary of the NSth soil unit.

JEND(NSUBX) - The J-value of the bottom boundary row of column subsection NSUBX.

JNODE(K) - The J-coordinate of the Kth node selected for printing control of INTPRT.

JSTART(NSUBY) - The J-value of the top row of row subsection NSUBY.

JSTOP(NSUBY) - The J-value of the bottom row of row subsection NSUBY.

JY(MY) - The J-value of the MYth row at which  $\Delta y$  changes in value.

K - A subscripting index for DO loops.

KAVE - An average value of hydraulic conductivity at the surface of the soil. Used in setting non-saturated flux boundary condition.

KBETA - Unsubscripted representation of JBETA(NSUBY), whose value can be changed during execution. Used in setting boundary condition at left end of row.

KD(MY) - Represents IX or JY so that either may appear in a single algorithm.

KEND(NCT) - Represents ISTOP(NSUBX), JSTOP(NSUBY), IEND(NSUBY), JEND(NSUBX) so that any one of them may appear in a single equation.

KETA - Unsubscripted representation of JETA(NSUBY), whose value can be changed during execution. Sets boundary condition at right end of row.

KSIG - A signal variable; controls flow of program.

KSTART(NCT) - Represents ISTART(NSUBX), JSTART(NSUBY), IBEG(NSUBY), JBEG(NSUBX) so that any one of them may appear in a single equation.

KSTOP - The I-value or J-value of the last column or row, respectively, in a subsection. Replaces subscripted variables as an index in DO loops.

L - Takes the values 1-8 and is used to break the h-K table into eighths for the rapid lookup of HCON(I,J).

LNUM - Signal variable; directs flow of program while printing h-K table.

LSIG - Signal variable; directs flow of program after writing PHED- or HEAD-array.

LISTOP - The I- or J-value of the final (boundary) node at the end of a row or column. Replaces subscripted variables as an index in DO loops.

MCOL - The number of columns, including imaginary columns, in the solution mesh.

MCT - Represents JGEOM or IGEOM so that either may appear in a single algorithm.

MROW - The number of rows, including imaginary rows, in the solution mesh.

MSIG - Signal variable; directs flow of program after setting boundary conditions.

MXMYST - Stores the starting value of MX for a given subsection. Resets MX when starting new rows within the subsection.

NCT - An index; controls certain program loops.

NSIG - A signal variable; controls flow of program.

NUM - Separates the printed pressure head-hydraulic conductivity table into four columns in which PTAB increases down first row first, then down second, and so forth.

NUMA - Used in printing PTAB-KTAB table. Allows changing format when blanks occur in fourth segment of table.

NXCRD - Initial value of NCARDX.

NYCRD - Initial value of NCARDY.

SDUMA - A dummy variable that represents STARTJ(NSUBY), BEGJ(NSUBY), STARTI(NSUBX), and BEGI(NSUBX), so that any one of them may appear in a single algorithm.

SDUMB - A dummy variable used to represent STOPJ(NSUBY), ENDI(NSUBY), STOPI(NSUBX), and ENDJ(NSUBX), so that any one of them may appear in a single algorithm.

SINAL - The sine of ALPHA.

SUMX - Determines the I- and J-values associated with various x and y input measurements.

SUMY - Used in same way as SUMX.



TIME - Accumulated CPU time in seconds. Its value is updated periodically by the internal timing routine (TASKTM) and compared against ESTIME. When TIME exceeds ESTIME, the run is stopped.

TIME - Converts time obtained from TASKTM to seconds. This variable might not be necessary at some computer facilities.

XA - An average hydraulic conductivity

$$\frac{HCON(I,J) + HCON(I-1,J)}{2}$$

for preparing terms for the finite difference equation.

XB - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$\frac{\Delta x_+(K_{i-\frac{1}{2},j}) + \Delta x_-(K_{i+\frac{1}{2},j})}{\Delta x_+ \cdot \Delta x_-}$$

XC - An average hydraulic conductivity

$$\frac{HCON(I,J) + HCON(I+1,J)}{2}$$

for preparing terms for the finite difference equation.  
XDIST - Represents distance in x-direction from y-axis.

YA - An average hydraulic conductivity

$$\frac{HCON(I,J) + HCON(I,J-1)}{2}$$

for preparing terms for the finite difference equation.

YB - A term in the finite difference equation.

$$\frac{\Delta y_+(K_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}) + \Delta y_-(K_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}})}{\Delta y_+ \cdot \Delta y_-}$$

YC - An average hydraulic conductivity

$$\frac{HCON(I,J) + HCON(I,J+1)}{2}$$

for preparing terms for the finite difference equation.

YDIST - Represents distance in y-direction from x-axis.  
ZNED - Elevation head used in calculating total hydraulic head and in setting the drained-to-equilibrium initial PHED-array.

## Appendix B:

### CARRY — To Facilitate Changing Finite Difference Mesh Spacing

Operation of such a finite difference model as STDY2 produces an array of values of the dependent variable, each value being associated with a node of the solution mesh superimposed over the region of interest. The accuracy with which these values represent the true values of the dependent variable at these points depends in large measure upon the mesh spacing chosen before running the model.

After beginning or even finishing a solution for a particular mesh spacing, one may wish to refine this spacing in part or all of the solution mesh and continue running the model for an improved estimate. The results of the previous run provide a good estimated distribution from which to start the improvement run, but a refined mesh will usually contain nodes at positions where values of the dependent variable have not been estimated and may eliminate some nodes of the original mesh. Considerable time and effort would be required to effect the necessary changes by hand.

In terms of the dependent variable of STDY2, the purpose of CARRY is to convert a given PHED-array into another of different mesh spacing. Linear interpolation provides PHED-values for nodes whose positions do not correspond with those of nodes in the original mesh.

Processing imaginary rows and columns on the outer limits of the solution mesh is unnecessary and, for operational reasons, undesirable in CARRY; but providing these rows and columns in CARRY's output deck is necessary for later input to STDY2. To reserve their positions in the card deck or on magnetic tape, a value of 0 is applied at each imaginary node on the outer limits.

For economy of operation, such imaginary and unused nodes within the solution mesh as those within the trench or notch of figures 5

and 6 are processed in the same way as all other nodes. Their new values are of no consequence to STDY2.

This appendix contains (1) a program listing, (2) a glossary of input variables, (3) a glossary of noninput variables, and (4) a sample problem.

A program listing of CARRY follows. Modifications which might be necessary before running the program on other computers are flagged. Their numbering and explanations are the same as for STDY2, appendix A. In general, the variables in the DOUBLE PRECISION and DIMENSION statements should have the same dimensions as in STDY2. For magnetic tape input/output, it is particularly necessary that PHED and PHEDN have the same dimensions as PHED in STDY2.

The logic of this program is straightforward and is readily apparent from inspection of the listing. Therefore, a flow chart is not included.

#### Glossary of input variables

Input variables are defined in the order of their appearance in the input data deck. Figure 14 shows punchcard layouts for the input data. The manner of presentation is the same as in appendix A. As with STDY2, several cases may be processed during a single computer run.

Card Group 1 - Five cards, even if some are blank.

Must be in the input deck for processing each case.

Format (20A4)

COMENT - Alphanumeric identification printed at head of output.

Card Group 2 - A single card. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (2F10.2,6I5)

LGTH - Same value as variable of same name in input card group 2 of STDY2.

DEPTH - Same value as variable of same name in input card group 2 of STDY2.

Text continues on page 56.

# Program listing

```

C CARRY - GIVEN A TWO DIMENSIONAL ARRAY OF VALUES OF SOME QUANTITY AT A
C GIVEN, NOT NECESSARILY UNIFORM, GRID SPACING, THIS PROGRAM PRODUCES
C A SECOND ARRAY OF THE SAME QUANTITY DISTRIBUTED OVER THE SAME SIZE
C AREA AS THE ORIGINAL ARRAY, BUT WITH DIFFERENT, NOT NECESSARILY
C UNIFORM, GRID SPACING. OLD AND NEW GRID SPACINGS ARE COMPLETELY
C INDEPENDENT.
C
C 3/18/74.
C
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M1.
C
0001 DOUBLE PRECISION PHED(60,70)
0002 REAL LGTH
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M3.
C
0003 DIMENSION PHEDN(60,70),DELX(12),DELXN(12),DELY(12),DELYN(12),
      IX(12),IXN(12),JY(12),JYN(12),COMENT(100),DXLGTH(12),DXLGTHN(12),
      DYLGTH(12),DYLGTHN(12)
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M4.
C
0004 1 READ (5,5,END=15) COMENT
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M3.
C
0005 5 FORMAT (20A4)
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M4.
C
0006 WRITE (6,10) COMENT
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M3.
C
0007 10 FORMAT (11I1,20A4/(20A4))
0008 GO TO 20
0009 15 STOP
0010 20 READ (5,25) LGTH,DEPTH,IFILE,ITAPE,NCARDY,NCARDX,NCRDYN,NCRODXN
0011 25 FORMAT (2F10.2,6I5)
0012 READ (5,30)IMGTOP,IMGBOT,IMGLSD,IMGRSD
0013 30 FORMAT (4I5)
0014 READ (5,35) (DYLGTH(MY),DELY(MY),MY=1,NCARDY)
0015 35 FORMAT (4(F10.2,F10.3))
0016 READ (5,35) (DXLGTH(MX),DELX(MX),MX=1,NCARDX)
0017 READ (5,35) (DYLGTHN(MYN),DELYN(MYN),MYN=1,NCRDYN)
0018 READ (5,35) (DXLGTHN(MXN),DELXN(MXN),MXN=1,NCRODXN)
C
C*** CONVERT X,Y COORDINATE DATA TO I J COORDINATES.*****
C
0019 NYCRD = NCARDY
0020 NXCRD = NCARDX
0021 NYCRDN = NCRDYN
0022 NXCRDN = NCRDXN
0023 NCARDY = NCARDY + 1
0024 NCARDX = NCARDX + 1
0025 NCRDYN = NCRDYN + 1
0026 NCRDXN = NCRDXN + 1
0027 DYLGTH(NCARDY) = DEPTH
0028 DYLGTHN(NCRDYN) = DEPTH
0029 DXLGTH(NCARDX) = LGTH
0030 DXLGTHN(NCRDXN) = LGTH
0031 DELY(NCARDY) = DELY(NCARDY-1)
0032 DELX(NCARDX) = DELX(NCARDX-1)
0033 DELYN(NCRDYN) = DELYN(NCRDYN-1)
0034 DELXN(NCRDXN) = DELXN(NCRDXN-1)
0035 IF (IMGTOP.EQ.1) GO TO 40
0036 JY(1) = 1
0037 JYN(1) = 1
0038 GO TO 45
0039 40 JY(1) = 2
0040 JYN(1) = 2
0041 45 DO 50 MY = 2,NCARDY
0042 IDUM = (DYLGTH(MY) - DYLGTH(MY-1)) / DELY(MY-1)
0043 JY(MY) = JY(MY-1) + IDUM
0044 DO 55 MYN = 2,NCRDYN
0045 IDUM = (DYLGTHN(MYN) - DYLGTHN(MYN-1)) / DELYN(MYN-1)
0046 JYN(MYN) = JYN(MYN-1) + IDUM
0047 IF (IMGBOT.NE.1) GO TO 60
0048 JY(NCARDY) = JY(NCARDY) + 1
0049 JYN(NCRDYN) = JYN(NCRDYN) + 1
0050 MROW = JY(NCARDY)
0051 MROWN = JYN(NCRDYN)
0052 IF (IMGLSD.EQ.1) GO TO 65
0053 IX(1) = 1
0054 IXN(1) = 1
0055 GO TO 70
0056 65 IX(1) = 2
0057 IXN(1) = 2
0058 70 DO 75 MX = 2,NCARDX
0059 IDUM = (DXLGTH(MX) - DXLGTH(MX-1)) / DELX(MX-1)
0060 IX(MX) = IX(MX-1) + IDUM

```



```

0061      DO 80 MXN = 2,NCRODXN
0062          IDUM = (DXLGTN(MXN) - DXLGTN(MXN-1)) / DELXN(MXN-1)
0063      80      IXN(MXN) = IXN(MXN-1) + IDUM
0064          IF (INGRSD.NE.1) GO TO 85
0065          IX(NCARDX) = IX(NCARDX) + 1
0066          IXN(NCRODXN) = IXN(NCRODXN) + 1
0067      85      MCOL = IX(NCARDX)
0068          MCOLN = IXN(NCRODXN)

C
C*** PRINT HEADING AND INPUT DATA. *****
C
0069      WRITE (6,90)
0070      90      FORMAT (1H0 3X,4HLGTH 3X,5SHDEPTH 3X,5SHIFILE 3X,5SHITAPE 3X,6HNCARDY
1 3X,6HNCARDX 3X,6HNCRDYN 3X,6HNCRODXN )
0071      WRITE (6,95) LGTH,DEPTH,IFILE,ITAPE,NYCRD,NXCROD,NYCRON,NXCROD
0072      95      FORMAT (1H 2F8.2,15,18,419)
0073      WRITE (6,100)
0074      100     FORMAT (1H0,4HMCOL, 3X,4HMROW 3X,5HMCOLN 3X,5HMROWN )
0075      WRITE (6,105)NCL,HROW,MCOLN,MROWN
0076      105     FORMAT (1H 14,17,218)
0077      WRITE (6,110)
0078      110     FORMAT (1H0 6HINGTOP 3X,6HINGBOT 3X,6HINGLSD 3X,6HINGRSD )
0079      WRITE (6,115)INGTOP,INGBOT,INGLSD,INGRSD
0080      115     FORMAT (1H 14,319)
0081      WRITE (6,120)
0082      120     FORMAT (1H0 (5SHVARIABLE MESH INCREMENT DATA FOLLOW IN TRIPLETS AS
1 XYZ )/(5X,73HWHERE X = MEASURED DISTANCE FROM AXIS (DXLGTH, DYL
2GTH, DXLGTN OR DYLGTN) )/(11X,46HY = ROW OR COLUMN NUMBER (IX, J
3Y, IXN OR JYN) )/(11X,50HZ = INCREMENT LENGTH (DELX, DELY, DELXN
4 OR DELYN) )/(13H OLD VERTICAL ))
0083      WRITE (6,125) (DYLGTN(K),JY(K),DELY(K),K=1,NCARDY)
0084      125     FORMAT (1H 4(F8.2,15,F8.3,8X))
0085      WRITE (6,130)
0086      130     FORMAT (1H 15HOLD HORIZONTAL )
0087      WRITE (6,125) (DXLGTH(K),IX(K),DELX(K),K=1,NCARDX)
0088      135     FORMAT (1H 13HNEW VERTICAL )
0089      WRITE (6,125) (DYLGTN(K),JYN(K),DELYN(K),K=1,NCRDYN)
0090      140     FORMAT (1H 15HNEW HORIZONTAL )
0091      WRITE (6,125) (DXLGTN(K),IXN(K),DELXN(K),K=1,NCRODXN)
0092
C
C*** READ AND PRINT OLD (INPUT) ARRAY. *****
C
0094      IF (IFILE.EQ.0) GO TO 150
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE MS.
0095      DO 145 ICT = 1,IFILE
0096      145      READ(9) PHED
0097      GO TO 160
0098      150      READ (5,155) ((PHED(I,J),I=1,MCOL),J=1,MROW)
0099      155      FORMAT (6D13.6)
0100      160      WRITE (6,165)
0101      165      FORMAT (1H0 49X,23HOLD PRESSURE HEAD ARRAY )
0102      DO 170 J = 1,MROW
0103      170      WRITE (6,175) J,(PHED(I,J),I=1,MCOL)
0104      175      FORMAT (1H 13,2X,10D12.5/(6X,10D12.5))
0105      DO 180 J = 1,MROW
0106      180      PHED(MCOL+1,J) = 0.
0107      DO 185 I = 1,MCOLN
0108      185      PHEDN(I,MROW+1) = 0.

C
C*** SWEEP ROWS, INTERPOLATING NEW COLUMNS OR COPYING OR DELETING OLD
C COLUMNS AS REQUIRED. *****
C
0109      IF (INGTOP.EQ.1) GO TO 190
0110      IJK = 1
0111      GO TO 195
0112      190      IJK = 2
0113      195      DO 230 J = IJK,MROW
0114          MX = 1
0115          IDUMA = 2
0116          MXN = 1
0117          IDUM = 2
0118          IF (INGLSD.EQ.1) GO TO 200
0119          I = 1
0120          IN = 1
0121          GO TO 205
0122      200      I = 2
0123          IN = 2
0124      205      XPOSA = 0.
0125          XPOSN = 0.
0126          XPOSB = XPOSA + DELX(I)
0127      210      PHEDN(IN,J) = PHED(I,J) + ((XPOSN - XPOSA) / (XPOSB - XPOSA)) *
1 (PHED(I+1,J) - PHED(I,J))
0128          IN = IN + 1
0129          IF (IN.LE.IXN(IDUM)) GO TO 215
0130          MXN = MXN + 1
0131          IDUM = MXN + 1
0132          IF (MXN.GE.NCRODXN) GO TO 230
0133      215      XPOSN = XPOSN + DELXN(MXN)
0134          XPOSB = (XPOSB + .000005) * 10000
0135          XPOSN = (XPOSN + .000005) * 10000
0136          IF (IXPOSN.LT.IXPOSB) GO TO 210
0137      220      I = I + 1

```

```

0138      IF (I.LT.IX(IDUMA)) GO TO 225
0139      MX = MX + 1
0140      IDUMA = MX + 1
0141 225    XPOSA = XPOSB
0142      XPOSB = XPOSB + DELX(MX)
0143      IXPOSB = (XPOSB + .000005) * 10000
0144      IF (IXPOSB.GE.IXPOSB) GO TO 220
0145      GO TO 210
0146 230    CONTINUE
C
C*** SWEEP COLUMNS INTERPOLATING NEW ROWS OR COPYING OR DELETING OLD
C      ROWS AS REQUIRED.*****
C
0147      IF (IMGLSD.EQ.1) GO TO 235
0148      IJK = 1
0149      K = MCOLN
0150      GO TO 240
0151 235    IJK = 2
0152 240    DO 275 I = IJK,MCOLN
0153          NY = 1
0154          IDUMA = 2
0155          MYN = 1
0156          IDUM = 2
0157          IF (IMGTOP.EQ.1) GO TO 245
0158          J = 1
0159          JN = 1
0160          GO TO 250
0161 245    J = 2
0162          JN = 2
0163 250    YPOSA = 0.
0164          YPOSN = 0.
0165          YPOSB = YPOSA + DELY(1)
0166 255    PHED(I,JN) = PHEDN(I,J) + ((YPOSN - YPOSA) / (YPOSB - YPOSA)) *
      1 (PHEDN(I,J+1) - PHEDN(I,J))
C
C*** SET IMAGINARY NODES. IF ANY, ON OUTERMOST ROWS AND COLUMNS TO ZERO.
C      *****
C
0167      JN = JN + 1
0168      IF (JN.LE.JYN(IDUM)) GO TO 260
0169      MYN = MYN + 1
0170      IDUM = MYN + 1
0171      IF (MYN.GE.NCRDYN) GO TO 275
0172 260    YPOSN = YPOSN + DELYN(MYN)
0173      IYPOSB = (YPOSB + .000005) * 10000
0174      IYPOSN = (YPOSN + .000005) * 10000
0175      IF (IYPOSN.LT.IYPOSB) GO TO 255
0176 265    J = J + 1
0177      IF (J.LT.JY(IDUMA)) GO TO 270
0178      NY = NY + 1
0179      IDUMA = NY + 1
0180 270    YPOSA = YPOSB
0181      YPOSB = YPOSB + DELY(MY)
0182      IYPOSB = (YPOSB + .000005) * 10000
0183      IF (IYPOSB.GE.IYPOSB) GO TO 265
0184      GO TO 255
0185 275    CONTINUE
0186      IF (IMGRSD.NE.1) GO TO 285
0187      DO 280 J = 1,MROWN
0188 280    PHED(MCOLN,J) = 0.
0189 285    IF (IMGBOT.NE.1) GO TO 295
0190      DO 290 I = 1,MCOLN
0191 290    PHED(I,MROWN) = 0.
0192 295    IF (INGLSD.NE.1) GO TO 305
0193      DO 300 J = 1,MROWN
0194 300    PHED(1,J) = 0.
0195 305    IF (IMGTOP.NE.1) GO TO 315
0196      DO 310 I = 1,MCOLN
0197 310    PHED(I,1) = 0.
C
C*** PRINT AND PUNCH (OR WRITE ON TAPE) THE NEW (OUTPUT) ARRAY.*****
C
0198      315 WRITE (6,320)
0199      320 FORMAT (1H0 49X,23HNEW PRESSURE HEAD ARRAY )
0200      DO 325 J = 1,MROWN
0201 325    WRITE (6,175) J,(PHED(I,J),I=1,MCOLN)
0202      IF (ITAPE.EQ.0) GO TO 335
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M5.
C
0203      WRITE (10) PHED
0204      WRITE (6,330)
0205      330 FORMAT (1H0 13HTAPE WRITTEN. )
0206      GO TO 1
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M4.
C
0207      335 WRITE (7,155) ((PHED(I,J),I=1,MCOLN),J=1,MROWN)
0208      WRITE (7,340)
0209      340 FORMAT (16H*****END OF FILE )
0210      WRITE (6,345)
0211      345 FORMAT (1H0 14HCARDS PUNCHED. )
0212      GO TO 1
0213      END

```



55

GPO 916-687

IFILE = 0 when input array is in card form and output array is in card form.  
 > 0 when input is read from tape and output is written on tape. The value indicates the position of the input file on a multifile tape. See explanation of same variable in STDY2, card group 1, for instructions regarding determination of position.

ITAPE = 0 when output is on cards.

\* 0 when output is on tape.

NCARDY - Same value as variable of same name in card group 3 of STDY2.

NCARDX - Same value as variable of same name in card group 3 of STDY2.

NCRDYN - The number of DYLGTH-DELYN pairs in card group 6 of this program.

NCRDXN - The number of DXLGTH-DELXN pairs in card group 7 of this program.

Card Group 3 - A single card. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4I5)

IMGTOP - Same value as variable of same name in input card group 2 of STDY2.

IMGBOT - Same value as variable of same name in input card group 2 of STDY2.

IMGLSD - Same value as variable of same name in input card group 2 of STDY2.

IMGRSD - Same value as variable of same name in input card group 2 of STDY2.

Card Group 4 - One to three cards depending on the number of changes in  $\Delta y$  in the old (input) solution mesh. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4(F10.2,F10.3))  
 For a given case, this card group is identical both in variable names and in values to card group 9 of the input deck for STDY2.

Card Group 5 - One to three cards depending on the number of changes in  $\Delta x$  in the old (input) solution mesh. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4(F10.2,F10.3))  
 For a given case, this card group is identical both in variable names and in values to card group 10 of the input deck for STDY2.

Card Group 6 - One to three cards depending on the number of changes in  $\Delta y$  in the new (output) solution mesh. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4(F10.2,F10.3))-- four pairs of the following variables per card-- unneeded fields in the last card may be blank.

DYLGTH(MYN) - The distance from the  $x$ -axis to the MYNth boundary between regions of different  $\Delta y$  in the new (output) solution mesh.

DELYN(MYN) - The MYNth value of  $\Delta y$  in the new (output) mesh.

NOTE: When the new (output) deck has been obtained from CARRY, this card group may be substituted directly into STDY2's input deck as card group 9. The values in the cards are then equated to DYLGTH(MY) and DELY(MY).

Card Group 7 - One to three cards depending on the number of changes in  $\Delta x$  in the new (output) solution mesh. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (4(F10.2,F10.3))-- four pairs of the following variables per card-- unneeded fields in the last card may be blank.

DXLGTH(MXN) - The distance from the  $y$ -axis to the MXNth boundary between regions of different  $\Delta x$  in the new (output) solution mesh.

DELXN(MXN) - The MXNth value of  $\Delta x$  in the new (output) mesh.

NOTE: When the new (output) deck has been obtained from CARRY, this card group may be substituted directly into STDY2's input deck as card group 10. The values in the cards are then equated to DXLGTH(MX) and DELX(MX).

Card Group 8 - Group of several cards, the number being dependent upon the number of nodes in the solution mesh. When magnetic tape is used for input, there is no card group 8. Format (6D13.6)-- six values of the following variable per card-- unneeded fields in the last card may be blank.

PHED(I,J) - The value of pressure head at the node at the intersection of the Ith column and Jth row of the old (input) mesh.

See PHEDN(I,J) in glossary of noninput variables for use of PHED-array after input is complete.

NOTE: When magnetic tape is used for input/output, be sure that PHED(I,J) and PHEDN(I,J) in CARRY are dimensioned exactly as PHED(I,J) is in STDY2. When punch cards are used, PHED(I,J) and PHEDN(I,J) must have dimensions at least as large as those needed for the new (output) mesh. Dimensioning is specified in the DOUBLE PRECISION and DIMENSION statements.

## Glossary of noninput variables

I - Column number, I, in the solution mesh.

IGT - Counter used in reading restart tape. Enables program to skip over unwanted files of data on a tape produced by a multicase run.

IDUM - Dummy variable; represents other variables where the latter, because of subscripting or because they are of the REAL type, cannot be used.

IDUMA - Dummy variable used in same way as IDUM.

IJK - Dummy variable representing the I- or J-value with which to start a DO loop.

IN - Index variable used in place of I to represent column position when setting up the output array.

IX(MX) - The I-value of the MXth column in the old (input) mesh at which  $\Delta x$  changes in value.

IXN(MXN) - The I-value of the MXNth column in the new (output) mesh at which  $\Delta x$  changes in value.

IXPOSB, IXPOSN - Integer representations of XPOSB and XPOSN after adding .000001 and multiplying by 10,000. Necessary for comparing equality because real number comparisons are not reliable.

IYPOSB, IYPOSN - Integer representations of YPOSB and YPOSN. See IXPOSB and IXPOSN.

J - Row number, J, in the solution mesh.

JN - Index variable used in place of J to represent row position when setting up the output array.

JY(MY) - The J-value of the MYth row in the old (input) mesh at which  $\Delta y$  changes in value.

JYN(MYN) - The J-value of the MYNth row in the new (output) mesh at which  $\Delta y$  changes in value.

K - A DO loop index.

MCOL - The number of columns, including imaginary columns, in the old (input) solution mesh.

MCOLN - The number of columns, including imaginary columns, in the new (output) solution mesh.

MROW - The number of rows, including imaginary rows, in the old (input) solution mesh.

MROWN - The number of rows, including imaginary rows, in the new (output) solution mesh.

NXCRD - Storage variable representing the input variable NCARDX (card group 2).

NXCRDN - Storage variable representing the input variable NCRDXN (card group 2).

NYCRD - Storage variable representing the input variable NCARDY (card group 2).

NYCRDN - Storage variable representing the input variable NCRDYN (card group 2).

PHEDN(I,J)--The value of pressure head at the (I,J)th node in an intermediate mesh. Each row of PHED(I,J) is swept from left to right so that PHED-values at nodes at common distances from the left boundary are copied into PHEDN. Values at inserted nodes are interpolated linearly and also entered into PHEDN at the proper distance from the left boundary. When all rows are swept, PHEDN contains an array with the number of columns

to be contained in the output array but with the number of rows contained in the input array. The columns in PHEDN are then swept from top to bottom, reading values at common distances from the top back into PHED and interpolating values for inserted nodes. When column sweeping is finished, PHED contains the output array with the desired number of columns and rows.

XPOSA, XPOSN, XPOSB - Distances from the  $y$ -axis.

A node in the new array (PHEDN) with coordinates (IN,J) may fall between two nodes in the old (input) array with coordinates (I,J) and (I+1,J).

XPOSA gives the distance to (I,J)

XPOSN gives the distance to (IN,J)

XPOSB gives the distance to (I+1,J)

These form the basis for interpolating PHEDN(IN,J) between PHED(I,J) and PHED(I+1,J).

YPOSA, YPOSN, YPOSB - Distances from the  $x$ -axis.

See XPOSA, XPOSN, XPOSB description.

YPOSA gives the distance to (I,J)

YPOSN gives the distance to (I,JN)

YPOSB gives the distance to (I,J+1)

These form the basis for interpolating PHED(I,JN) between PHEDN(I,J) and PHEDN(I,J+1).

### Sample problem

Figure 15 shows the cross section of figure 4 with a superimposed uniform mesh of 1-cm spacing. Figure 16 shows sample data

for converting the PHED-array yielded by a STDY2 solution for that spacing to an array with the mesh spacing of figures 8 and 9. Figure 17 shows the printout for the sample run, including the new array of PHED-values.

Discussion of the STDY2 sample problem in the text noted that node (2,2) seemed to converge most slowly. Taking its converged value, -23.407 cm, as an index of comparison, the STDY2 sample problem converged to within 99 percent of that value in about 80 iterations with  $\omega = 1.60$ . Conceivably, an investigator might have approached the septic tank problem first with the coarser mesh and then might have wanted to refine it. Instead of starting anew, as in the STDY2 sample problem, the converged PHED-array for the coarser mesh might have been used as the basis of the initial guess for the refined mesh. The data for the CARRY printout were given STDY2 in the form of a restart deck, and convergence to the same solution as that achieved in the STDY2 sample problem was reached in 45 iterations, a saving of about 43 percent.




Figure 15.--Uniform 1-cm mesh



Figure 16.--Input data for CARRY sample problem.

DATA SHEET CARRY Date 3/74 Page 1 of 3

Case Small-Scale Septic Tank Name

Card Grp 1									
Variable	COMENT								
Format	(20A4)								
Value Cd 1	CARRY--CONVERTING SMALL-SCALE SEPTIC TANK (6 x 7 CM)	RESTART							
Cd 2	OLD: DELTA X = DELTA Y = 1 CM								
Cd 3	NEW: FINE MESH SIZE NEAR NOTCH								
Cd 4	BLANK								
Cd 5	BLANK								
Card Grp 2									
Variable	LGTH	DEPTH	IFILE	ITAPE	NCARDY	NCARDX	NCRDYN	NCRDXN	
Format	F10.2	F10.2	I5					I5	
Value	6.00	7.00	0	0	1	1	3	3	
Card Grp 3									
Variable	IMGTOP	IMGBOT	IMGLSD	IMGRSD					
Format	I5			I5					
Value	1	0	1	1					

DATA SHEET CARRY Date 3/74 Page 2 of 3

Case Small-Scale Septic Tank Name

Card Grp 4									
Variable	DYLGTH	DELY	DYLGTH	DELY	DYLGTH	DELY	DYLGTH	DELY	
Format	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	
Value	0.00	1.000							
Card Grp 5									
Variable	DXLGTH	DELX	DXLGTH	DELX	DXLGTH	DELX	DXLGTH	DELX	
Format	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	
Value	0.00	1.000							
Card Grp 6									
Variable	DYLGTH	DELYN	DYLGTH	DELYN	DYLGTH	DELYN	DYLGTH	DELYN	
Format	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	
Value	0.00	1.000	1.00	0.500	5.00	1.000			
Card Grp 7									
Variable	DXLGTH	DELXN	DXLGTH	DELXN	DXLGTH	DELXN	DXLGTH	DELXN	
Format	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	F10.2	F10.3	
Value	0.00	1.000	2.00	0.500	5.00	1.000			



## Appendix C: COMPAR — For Comparing Two-Dimensional Data Arrays

This program was developed specifically for comparing PHED-arrays obtained from program STDY2. But it can be used for comparing any pair of two-dimensional data arrays, provided they have the same numbers of rows and columns.

STDY2 iteratively solves a system of finite difference equations to change an arbitrary array of PHED-values to one that satisfies some particular set of boundary conditions. This solution array is approached asymptotically, so that one may decide that a solution is acceptable if, at each node in the solution mesh, PHED-values from successive iterations do not differ by more than some small amount.

COMPAR receives two PHED-arrays as input. At each node of the solution mesh, it obtains the ratio of the difference in the two PHED-values to the value of one of them. If the absolute value of this ratio is larger than a value specified by the user, information is printed that identifies the location of the node and gives the two PHED-values and the ratio.

A program listing of COMPAR is given below. Modifications that might be necessary before running the program on other computers are flagged. Their numbering and explanations are the same as for STDY2, appendix A. For magnetic tape input, PHED1(I,J) and PHED2(I,J) must be given the same dimensions as PHED(I,J) in STDY2. This dimensioning is done by means of the DOUBLE PRECISION statement in COMPAR.

The logic of this program is straightforward and is readily deduced from inspection of the listing. No flow chart is given.

A user may compare more than one pair of arrays in one COMPAR run by simply submitting an input data deck for each case compared.

### Glossary of input variables

Input variables are defined in the order of their appearance in the input data deck. Figure 18 shows punchcard layouts for these data. The manner of presentation is the same as in appendix A.

Card Group 1 - Five cards, even if some are blank. Must be in the input deck for processing each case. Format (20A4)

COMMENT - Alphanumeric identification printed at head of output.

Card Group 2 - A single card. Must be present in input deck for processing each case. Format (D13.6,3I5)

DLIMIT - If  $RATIO$  (defined in the glossary of noninput variables) exceeds the value given for  $DLIMIT$ , a

line of printout identifies the offending node. Because the main purpose of COMPAR is convergence checking, one usually selects as a value for  $DLIMIT$  the maximum value of  $RATIO$  he is willing to accept in what he considers a converged solution.

MROW - The number of rows in the solution mesh. This value is obtained from the printout of the STDY2 case producing the arrays compared.

MCOL - The number of columns in the solution mesh. This value is obtained from the printout of the STDY2 case producing the arrays compared.

IFILE = 0 if input array is in card form.

> 0 if input is read from tape. The value is the position of the input file on a multifile tape. See explanation of same variable in STDY2, glossary of input variables, card group 1. This program reads two files at once, however, so that the definition of  $R$  and  $S$  in the equation  $IFILE = R - S$  must be modified:

$R$  = the number representing the position of the PHED1 file on the tape

$S$  = the number representing the position on the tape of the second file (PHED2) read in by the preceding case of the same run (has the value zero for the first case of the run)

Card Group 3 - A multiple card group produced by a STDY2 run. If STDY2 wrote magnetic tape, there is no card group 3. Format (6D13.6)--six values of the following variable per card--unnecessary fields in the last card may be left blank.

PHED1(I,J) - The pressure head value at node (I,J) of the solution mesh. See PHED2(I,J), card group 4.

Card Group 4 - A multiple card group produced by a STDY2 run. If STDY2 wrote magnetic tape, there is no card group 4. Format (6D13.6)--six values of the following variable per card--unnecessary fields in the last card may be blank.

PHED2(I,J) - The pressure head value at node (I,J) of the solution mesh. The arrays containing PHED1 and PHED2 are obtained from STDY2 one or more iterations apart. Through the use of  $IDBLE$ , STDY2 card group 3, these arrays are 15 iterations apart. When using cards, however, the user may restart a case and obtain PHED2 after any number of iterations, considering the cards used in the input data deck for restart as PHED1 if he wishes.

NOTE: If magnetic tape is used for input, be sure that PHED1(I,J) and PHED2(I,J) are dimensioned exactly the same as PHED(I,J) in STDY2. If punch cards are used, PHED1(I,J) and PHED2(I,J) must have dimensions at least as large as those exhibited by the input data. Dimensioning is specified in the DOUBLE PRECISION and DIMENSION statements.

### Glossary of noninput variables

DIFF - The absolute difference between PHED1 and PHED2.

I - Column number, I, in the arrays being compared.

ICT - A counter used in selecting the wanted input file from a multifile tape.

J - Row number, J, in the arrays being compared.

RATIO - DIFF divided by PHED1.



## Program listing

```

C
C  COMPAR --- COMPARES DATA IN TWO ARRAYS, PHED1(I,J)
C  AND PHED2(I,J), OF EQUAL DIMENSIONS.
C
C  3/18/74
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M1.
0001  C  DOUBLE PRECISION PHED1(60,70),PHED2(60,70),RATIO,DIFF,DLIMIT
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M3.
0002  C  DIMENSION CMENT (100)
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M4.
C
0003  5 READ (5,10,END=70)CMENT
0004  10 FORMAT (20A4)
0005  WRITE (6,15) CMENT
0006  15 FORMAT (1H1,20A4/(20A4))
0007  READ (5,20) DLIMIT,MROW,MCOL,IFILE
0008  20 FORMAT (D13.6,3I5)
0009  WRITE (6,25)
0010  25 FORMAT (1H0,4X,6HDLIMIT 6X,4HROW 3X,4HMCOL 2X,5HIFILE )
0011  WRITE (6,30) DLIMIT,MROW,MCOL,IFILE
0012  30 FORMAT (1H D13.6,16,17,16)
0013  WRITE (6,35)
0014  35 FORMAT (1H0 2X,1H1 2X,1HJ 5X,5HRATIO 5X,10HPHED1(I,J) 3X,
C      10HPHED2(I,J) //)
0015  IF (IFILE.NE.0) GO TO 45
0016  READ (5,40) ((PHED1(I,J),I=1,MCOL),J=1,MROW)
0017  40 FORMAT (6D13.6)
0018  READ (5,40) ((PHED2(I,J),I=1,MCOL),J=1,MROW)
0019  GO TO 55
C
C***** POSSIBLE MODIFICATION TYPE M5.
C
0020  45 DO 50 ICT = 1,IFILE
0021  READ (9) PHED1
0022  50 READ (9) PHED2
C
C*** COMPARE ARRAYS NODE BY NODE *****
C
0023  55 DO 65 J = 1,MROW
0024  DO 65 I = 1,MCOL
0025  IF (PHED1(I,J).EQ.0.OR.PHED2(I,J).EQ.0) GO TO 65
0026  DIFF = DABS(PHED1(I,J) - PHED2(I,J))
0027  RATIO = DABS (DIFF / PHED1(I,J))
0028  IF (RATIO.LT.DLIMIT) GO TO 65
0029  WRITE (6,60) I,J,RATIO,PHED1(I,J),PHED2(I,J)
0030  60 FORMAT (1X,2I3,3D13.6)
0031  65 CONTINUE
0032  GO TO 5
0033  70 STOP
0034  END

```

## Appendix D: List of Non-Fortran Symbols

- $h$  - Soil water pressure head (L).
- $H$  - Hydraulic head (L).
- $I$  - Column number in solution mesh.
- $J$  - Row number in solution mesh.
- $K$  - Hydraulic conductivity (LT<sup>-1</sup>).
- $m$  - Iteration number in the finite differencing scheme.
- $v$  - Flux rate (LT<sup>-1</sup>).
- $x$  - Distance parallel to  $x$ -axis of the Cartesian coordinate system, positive to the right (L).
- $y$  - Distance parallel to the  $y$ -axis. For purposes of presentation of the model equations,  $y$  is positive upward. The significance of this is that infiltration is a negative flux and upward evaporation is a positive flux. For purposes of measurement between the  $x$ -axis and rows of nodes in the solution mesh, however,  $y$  is positive downward (L).
- $z$  - Elevation above a datum (L).
- $\alpha$  - The tangent of the angle  $\alpha$  is the slope of the cross section.
- $\Delta x_-$  - Length of mesh increment to left of node  $I, J$  in the solution mesh (L).
- $\Delta x_+$  - Length of mesh increment to right of node  $I, J$  (L).
- $\Delta y_-$  - Length of mesh increment above node  $I, J$  (L).
- $\Delta y_+$  - Length of mesh increment below node  $I, J$  (L).
- $\omega$  - Overrelaxation factor.

## Appendix E: Program Updating

Although the program has been run for a number of different cases, there will undoubtedly be reason to alter it in the future--either to correct as yet undetected errors, to modify output formats, or to improve efficiency.

Notification of updating will be by mimeographed reports. Users who wish to receive update notices should ask to be placed on the update mailing list by writing to the author:

C. R. Amerman  
USDA ARS  
207 Business Loop 70 East  
Columbia, MO 65201

[Illegible text]